

TAUTOG: Summary of Management Options in Draft Amendment 1

2.2 Goals (pg. 48-49)

Option A. Status Quo. Maintain the 1996 Goals

Option B. Revised Goal Statement

2.3 Objectives (pg. 49-51)

Option A. Status Quo: Maintain the 1996 Objectives

Options B-H: Modified Objectives

2.5 Biological Reference Points (pg. 53-54)

Option A. Status Quo - Reference Points can be modified via a Management Document

Option B. Reference Points can be modified via Board Action (i.e., Management Document Not Required)

2.7.1 Fishing Mortality (F) Target (pg. 54-55)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. Managing to the Regional F Target

Sub-Option B1: No Time Requirement

Sub-Option B2: Board Action within One Year

Sub-Option B3: Board Action within Two Years

Probability of Achieving F Target (pg. 55)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. 50% Probability of Achieving F Target

2.7.2 F Reduction Schedule (pg. 55-56)

Option A: Status Quo

Option B: Three Years

Option C: Five Years

2.7.4 Stock Rebuilding Schedule (pg. 56)

Option A: Status Quo

Option B. A Stock Rebuilding Schedule can be developed via an Addendum

Option C. A Stock Rebuilding Schedule can be developed via an Addendum, Not to Exceed 10 Years

4.0 Management Program Implementation

4.1 Regional Boundaries (pg. 65-66)

Option A. Status Quo – Coastwide Management

Option B. Regional Management (Four Regions)

Long Island Sound Boundaries (pg. 69)

Sub-Option B1: LIS Boundaries, Montauk Point, NY to Watch Hill, RI

Sub-Option B2: LIS Boundaries, Orient, NY to Watch Hill, RI

4.2.2 MASSACHUSETTS-RHODE ISLAND (starting on pg. 72)

4.2.2.1 MARI Recreational Management Measures (pg. 73)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. All measures consistent (16", 3 & 4 fish)

Option C. All measures consistent (16", 3 fish)

4.2.3 LONG ISLAND SOUND (starting on pg. 74)

4.2.3.1 LIS Recreational Management Measures (pg. 74-75)

50% Probability of Achieving F Target (47.2% or more harvest reduction)

Option A. Status Quo; state-specific reduction

Option B1. Consistent Minimum Size (16") and Possession Limit (1)

Option B2. Consistent Minimum Size (17") and Possession Limit (2)

Option B3. All Measures Consistent (16", 1 fish)

4.2.3.2 LIS Commercial Management Measures (pg. 76)

50% Probability of Achieving F Target (47.2% or more harvest reduction)

Option A1. Status Quo

Option B1. Regional Quota

4.2.3.3 LIS Slot Limit for the recreational and commercial fisheries (pg. 76-77)

Option C. 16-18" Slot Limit

4.2.4 NEW JERSEY - NEW YORK BIGHT (starting on pg. 78)

4.2.4.1 NJ-NYB Recreational Management Measures (pg. 79)

50% Probability of Achieving F Target (2% or more harvest reduction)

Option A1. Status Quo

Option B1. Consistent Minimum Size (15") and Possession Limit (4)

Option B2. Consistent Minimum Size (16")

C1. Slot Limit (15-18") with Consistent Possession Limits (4)

4.2.4.2 NJ-NYB Commercial Management Measures (pg. 80)

50% Probability of Achieving F Target (2% or more harvest reduction)

Option A1. Status Quo

Option B1. Consistent Minimum Size (15")

Option B2. Consistent Minimum Size (16")

Option B3. Commercial Quotas

Option C4: Slot Limit (15-18")

4.2.5 DELAWARE - MARYLAND – VIRGINIA (starting on pg. 81)

4.2.5.1. DelMarVa Recreational Management Measures (pg. 82)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. Consistent Possession Limit (4) and Seasons

Option C. Consistent Minimum Size (16")

Option D. All Measures Consistent (16" and 4 fish)

4.2.5.2 DelMarVa Commercial Management Measures (pg. 82)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. Adopt recreational measures as commercial measures for DE and MD

4.3 Commercial Quota (pg. 83-84)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. Commercial Quota Procedures

4.4 Commercial Harvest Tagging Program (pg. 84-86)

Option A. Status Quo

Option B. Implement a Commercial Harvest Tagging Program

4.4.3 Tag Application (pg. 85-86)

Option A. Harvester Application at Harvest or Upon Landing

Option B. Application by Dealer