ASSESSMENT REPORT

FOR

GULF OF MAINE NORTHERN SHRIMP – 2010

Prepared

October 26, 2010

by the

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's

Northern Shrimp Technical Committee

Margaret Hunter, Chair (Maine)

Kelly Whitmore and Robert Glenn (Massachusetts)

Jessica Fischer, (New Hampshire)

Josef Idoine, (NMFS NEFSC)

and

Robert Beal (ASMFC)

INTRODUCTION

Biological Characteristics

Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis* Krøyer) are hermaphroditic, maturing first as males at about 2½ years of age and then transforming to females at about 3½ years of age in the Gulf of Maine.



Distribution of adult female northern shrimp (NAMA, 2006)

Spawning takes place in offshore waters beginning in late July. By early fall, most adult females extrude their eggs onto the abdomen. Egg-bearing females move inshore in late autumn and winter, where the eggs hatch. Juveniles remain in coastal waters for a year or more before migrating to deeper offshore waters, where they mature as males. The exact extent and location of these migrations is variable and unpredictable. The males pass through a series of transitional stages before maturing as females. Some females may survive to repeat the spawning process in succeeding years. The females are the individuals targeted in the Gulf of Maine fishery. Natural mortality seems to be most pronounced immediately following hatching, and it is believed that most northern shrimp do not live past age 5 in the Gulf of Maine.

Fishery Management

The Gulf of Maine fishery for northern shrimp is managed through interstate agreement between the states of Maine, New Hampshire and Massachusetts. The management framework evolved during 1972-1979 under the auspices of the State/Federal Fisheries Management Program. In 1980, this program was restructured as the Interstate Fisheries Management Program (ISFMP) of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). The Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Northern Shrimp was approved under the ISFMP in October 1986 (McInnes, 1986, FMR No. 9). The full Commission in May 2004 approved Amendment 1 to the FMP (ASMFC, FMR No. 42). Amendment 1, which entirely replaces the original FMP, establishes biological

reference points for the first time in the shrimp fishery and expands the tools available to manage the fishery. Any new tools proposed to manage the shrimp fishery must be implemented through the ASMFC addendum process.

Within the ISFMP structure, the Northern Shrimp Technical Committee (NSTC) provides annual stock assessments and related information to the ASMFC Northern Shrimp Section. Annually, the Section decides on management regimes after thorough consideration of the NSTC stock assessment, input from the Northern Shrimp Advisory Panel, and comment from others knowledgeable about the shrimp fishing industry. Management under the 1986 FMP was conducted primarily by seasonal closures and mesh size restrictions and was intended "to optimize yield, recognizing that natural fluctuations in abundance will occur" (McInnes 1986, p ii.). The goal of Amendment 1 is "to manage the northern shrimp fishery in a manner that is biologically, economically, and socially sound, while protecting the resource, its users, and opportunities for participation by all stakeholders."

At its Fall 2009 meeting, the Northern Shrimp Section approved a 180-day season: December 1, 2009, through May 29, 2010, inclusive. This will be referred to as the "2010 season" throughout this document. In addition, it continued to require the use of a finfish excluder device known as the "Nordmore Grate" throughout the shrimp fishing season. The Section also maintained the requirement that made it unlawful to use mechanical "shaking" devices to cull, grade, or separate catches of shrimp.

In April 2010, the Section took emergency action to close the northern shrimp fishery on May 5, 2010. The decision to close the fishery prior to the season end of May 29th was based on preliminary landings data that indicated that harvest was already at or above 4,957 metric tons, 57 metric tons in excess of the upper end of the Technical Committee recommended landings range. In addition, the Section voiced concern about more harvest of smaller shrimp, a significant component of past May fisheries. The Technical Committee projected landings could range between 5,700 and 6,500 metric tons if harvest continued through May 29th.

Fishery Assessment

Stock assessments conducted since the 1980's have keyed on strong year classes, (e.g. those hatched in 1982, 1987, 1992, 2001, 2004). Each strong year class supports the shrimp fishery for about three years commencing about three years after hatching.

In its 2009 assessment, the Committee estimated the current exploitable biomass of shrimp to be above the average for the 1984-2009 time series, and recommended the Section set a 2010 season that would result in landings of no more than 4,400 to 4,900 mt, depending of the size of the individual shrimp, in order to maintain a fishing mortality rate (F) of no more than 0.22, in accordance with the FMP target.

The following report presents the results of the Technical Committee's 2010 stock assessment. Analyses and recommendations are based on: 1) research vessel survey data collected by the Committee during the annual summer shrimp survey, by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) during the fall trawl survey, and by the Maine-New Hampshire spring inshore trawl survey; 2) past commercial landings data collected by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) port agents; 3) biological sampling of the commercial landings by personnel from the participating states and the NMFS; and 4) data from federal and Maine vessel trip reports (VTRs) filed by shrimp fishers. In addition to previously used traditional methods of assessing the stock (i.e. landings data, commercial effort and CPUE estimates, indices of abundance, etc.), more innovative, quantitative tools, such as the Collie-Sissenwine Analysis, ASPIC surplus production, yield per recruit, and eggs per recruit models were introduced in 1997 and continue to be used to provide guidance for management of the stock.

COMMERCIAL FISHERY TRENDS

Landings

Annual landings of Gulf of Maine northern shrimp declined from an average of 11,400 metric tons (mt) during 1969-1972 to about 400 mt in 1977, culminating in a closure of the fishery in 1978 (Table 1). The fishery reopened in 1979 and landings increased steadily to over 5,000 mt by 1987. Landings ranged from 2,300-4,400 mt during 1988-1994, and then rose dramatically to

9,200 mt in 1996, the highest since 1973. Landings declined to an average of 1,800 mt for 1999 to 2001, and dropped further in the 25-day 2002 season to 424 mt, the lowest northern shrimp landings since the fishery was closed in 1978. Landings then increased steadily, averaging 2,000 mt during the 2003 to 2006 seasons, then jumping to 4,100 mt in 2007 and 4,900 mt in the 2008 season. In 2009, 2,300 mt were landed during a season that was market-limited. The proposed 180-day season for 2010 was cut short to 156 days due to the industry exceeding the committee's 2009 recommended landings cap for that year, and concerns about small shrimp. The preliminary landings for 2010 are 5,600 mt which is more than double the landings observed in 2009 (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Maine landed 90% (5,081 mt) of the 2010 season total, while New Hampshire and Massachusetts combined landed 10% (535 mt) of the season total (preliminary data, Table 1). The proportional distribution of landings among the states was similar to 2003-2009, but has shifted gradually since the 1980's when Massachusetts accounted for about 30% of the catch (Table 1 and Figure 1).

The relative proportion of landings by month (Table 2 and Figure 2a, preliminary data) remained generally similar to past years. The month of February 2010 (28 open days) yielded the highest proportion of the catch (51%) and the greatest catch per open day. May (5 open days) exhibited the lowest proportion of the catch (0.7%) and the lowest catch per open day likely due to the early closure.

Most northern shrimp fishing in the Gulf of Maine is conducted by otter trawls, although traps are also employed off the central Maine coast. According to federal and state of Maine Vessel Trip Reports (VTRs), trappers averaged 15% of Maine's landings during 2001 to 2009 (preliminary data), and 21% (preliminary data) in 2010 (Table 3).

Size, Sex, and Maturity Stage Composition of Landings

Size composition data (Figures 3-5), collected from catches since the early 1980s, indicate that trends in landings have been determined primarily by recruitment of strong (dominant) year classes. Landings more than tripled with recruitment of a strong assumed 1982 year class in

1985 – 1987 and then declined sharply in 1988. A strong 1987 year class was a major contributor to the 1990-1992 fisheries. A strong 1992 year class, supplemented by a moderate 1993 year class, partially supported large annual landings in 1995 – 1998. Low landings in 1999 – 2003 were due in part to poor 1994, 1995, 1997, 1998, and 2000 year classes with only moderate 1996 and 1999 year classes. A very strong 2001 year class supported higher landings in 2004 – 2006. In the 2007 fishery, landings were mostly comprised of assumed 4-year-old females from the moderate to strong 2003 year class, and possibly 6-year-olds from the 2001 year class. 2008 landings were mostly composed of the assumed 4 year-old females from the strong 2004 year class, and the 2003 year class (assumed 5 year–old females, which first appeared as a moderate year class in the 2004 survey). In the 2009 fishery, catches were comprised mainly of assumed 5-year old females from the strong 2004 year class. Catches in the 2010 fishery consisted of assumed 5-year old females from the 2005 year class and possibly some 4 year old females from the weak 2006 year class. A few transitionals and female I's were observed from the assumed 2007 year class, and some juveniles from the assumed 2008 year class. A few shrimp in the <10 to 12 mm range may be our first look at the one-year-old shrimp (2009 year class) (Figures 3-5).

Maine trappers landed fewer small shrimp, and generally were more apt to catch females after egg hatch, than trawlers, as in previous years (Figure 3). See the table below for the average counts per pound by month and gear. The overall average count per pound for Maine (all samples) was 39.8, which is the lowest seen since we began calculating it in 2000.

Mean counts of all shrimp species per pound of catch, from 2010 season port samples

	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May
Maine Trawls	41	41	38	39	55	53
Maine Traps	n/a	37	34	34	n/a	n/a
Maine Total	41	40	37	37	55	53
Massachusetts	n/a	53	52	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Hampshire	41	45	47	n/a	51	n/a

n/a = no samples

Spatial and temporal differences in the timing of egg-hatch can be estimated by noting the relative abundance of ovigerous females to females that have borne eggs in the past but are no

longer carrying them (female stage II). According to port samples, in December 2009, in Maine, 3.5% of the trawled catch was female stage II; in January 2010 this increased to 8.1% and in February it increased to 30.9%. In March, female stage II's further increased to 70.6%. These percentages are higher than in recent seasons, suggesting that egg hatch in the 2010 season was somewhat earlier than in 2008 and 2009, but not as early as 2007. Maine trappers caught 13.1% female stage II in January 2010, 50.2% in February, and 95.8% in March, consistently higher than in the trawl catches each month and higher than in trap catches in respective months in 2008 and 2009. The Massachusetts port sampler also reported comments by harvesters about early egg hatch in 2010.

In New Hampshire and Massachusetts trawl catch samples combined, the percentage of female stage II shrimp was 23.8% in December 2009, 24.6% in January 2010, 52.0% in February, and 56.3% in April (Figure 4), all more than Maine for the same months, probably reflecting the eastern Gulf lagging the west in the timing of egg hatch.

Discards

Port samplers in Maine reported seeing manual shakers on a few trawl vessels during April 2010. Maine trappers also manually pick or shake out small northern shrimp, and the smaller pandalid species of veined or striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui* and *Dichelopandalus leptocerus*) on occasion. Because of a lack of detailed information, shrimp discards from the shrimp and other fisheries are not evaluated in this assessment.

Black Gill Syndrome

Shrimp collected during routine port-sampling in Maine in 2003 exhibited a high incidence (greater than 70%) of Black Gill Syndrome, also called Black Gill Disease or Black Spot Syndrome. Affected shrimp displayed melanized, or blackened gills, with inflammation, necrosis, and significant loss of gill filaments. Black Gill Syndrome has also been documented in white shrimp in South Carolina (http://praise.manoa.hawaii.edu/news/eh216.html) and in the Gulf of Maine in the 1960s and 1970s (Apollonio and Dunton, 1969; Rinaldo and Yevitch, 1974). Its etiology is unknown, although fungal and ciliated protist parasites have been

implicated. In samples collected in Maine during the 2004-2010 fisheries, the incidence of Black Gill Syndrome was much lower, and detected cases were much less severe, than in 2003.

Effort and Distribution of Effort

Since the late 1970's, effort in the fishery (measured by numbers of trips in which shrimp gear is used) has increased and then declined on several occasions. The total number of trawl trips in the fishery (Table 4 and Figure 6a) peaked at 12,285 during the 1987 season. Increases in season length, shrimp abundance, and record ex-vessel prices, coupled with reduced abundance of groundfish, all contributed to this increase. Effort subsequently fell to 5,990 trips in the 1994 season. Effort nearly doubled between 1994 and 1996 and then declined again from the 1996 level of 11,791 to 1,010 trips in 2002, a year with only a 25-day open season. The number of trips increased during 2003-2005 as the seasons were lengthened, to 2,962 trawl trips in 2005. Trips in 2006 dropped to 1,557, likely due to poor market conditions, increased in 2007 to 2,605, and increased in 2008 to 5,480, the most since 1999. In 2009, the length of the season was increased to 180 days while the effort decreased to 2,893 trips, likely caused by limited demand from the processors and poor market conditions (preliminary data, Table 4). In what turned out to be a 156-day season in 2010, effort increased dramatically from 2009 to 5,263 trips (preliminary data, Table 4). The market conditions were improved from prior years due to Canada's limited supply and an increase in local markets.

The number of vessels participating in the fishery in recent years has varied from a high of 347 in 1996 to a low of 142 in 2006 (Table 6). In 2010, there were 209 vessels from Maine, 5 from Massachusetts, and 16 from New Hampshire, for a total of 230, according to federal VTR and Maine harvester logbook data (preliminary). Of these, 98 of the Maine boats were trapping.

Maine trapping operations accounted for an average of 22% of the Maine shrimp fishing trips in 2001 - 2005. This number has gradually increased, to 33% for 2006 - 2010, according to VTRs (preliminary, Table 5).

Prior to 1994, effort (numbers of trips by state and month) was estimated from landings data collected from dealers, and landings per trip information (LPUE) from dockside interviews of vessel captains: $Effort = \frac{Landings}{LPUE}$

Beginning in the spring of 1994, a vessel trip reporting system (VTR) supplemented the collection of effort information from interviews. From 1995 to 2000, landings per trip (LPUE) from these logbooks were expanded to total landings from the dealer weighouts to estimate the total trips: $Total.Trips = VTR.Trips \frac{Total.Landings}{VTR.Landings}$

Since 2000, VTR landings have exceeded dealer weighout landings, and the above expansion is not necessary. However, VTRs for 2009 and 2010 are still being received and processed. Therefore, landings and effort estimates reported here for recent years should be considered extremely preliminary. The 1996 assessment report (Schick et al. 1996) provides a comparison of 1995 shrimp catch and effort data from both the NEFSC interview and logbook systems and addresses the differences between the systems at that time. It showed a slightly larger estimate from the logbook system than from the interview system. Thus effort statistics reported through 1994 are not directly comparable to those collected after 1994. However, patterns in effort can be examined if the difference between the systems is taken into account. An additional complication of the logbook system is that one portion of the shrimp fishery may not be adequately represented by the logbook system during 1994-1999. Smaller vessels fishing exclusively in Maine coastal waters are not required to have federal groundfish permits and were not required to submit shrimp vessel trip reports until 2000. In the 1994-2000 assessments, effort from unpermitted vessels was characterized by catch per unit effort of permitted vessels.

Seasonal trends in distribution of trawl effort can be evaluated from port interview data. The relative magnitude of offshore fishing effort (deeper than 55 fathoms) has varied, reflecting seasonal movements of mature females (inshore in early winter and offshore following larval hatching), but also reflecting harvesters' choices for fishing on concentrations of shrimp. In the 2010 season in Maine, sampled trawl trips were about 96% offshore in December, decreasing to about 11% in January and 3% in February, increasing to 20% in March, 96% in April, and 100% offshore in May, based on a total of 184 trawler interviews. Overall, the proportion of offshore trips was higher in 2010 than in 2008; but lower than in 2007. In New Hampshire, 7 trips were

offshore and 4 trips were inshore, based on a total of 11 interviews during December 2009 through February and April 2010.

Locations of 2010 fishing trips and landings from federal VTRs are plotted by 10-minute square in Figure 7.

Catch per Unit Effort

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) indices have been developed from NMFS interview data (1983-1994), logbook data (1995-2010), and Maine port interview data (1991-2010) and are measures of resource abundance and availability (Table 7 and Figure 6b). They are typically measured in catch per hour (from Maine interview data) or catch per trip. A trip is a less precise measure of effort, because trips from interviews and logbooks include both single day trips and multiple day trips (in the spring), and the proportion of such trips can vary from season to season.

Pounds landed per trawl trip, from VTRs, averaged 1,393 pounds during 1995-2000. In 2001, the catch per trip dropped to 740 pounds, the lowest since 1988, and remained low, at 831 pounds, in 2002. During 2003 – 2005 it averaged 1,531 lbs/trip; the increasing trend continued in 2006 with 2,562 pounds per trip. In 2007 the highest pounds per trip of the time series was observed at 3,026 pounds. It decreased in 2008 and again in 2009 to 2,209 pounds per trip (preliminary); still well above average. The pounds per trip increased in 2010 to 2,759, which is the second highest in the time series (preliminary, Figure 6b).

More precise CPUE indices (pounds landed per hour trawling) have also been developed for both inshore (depth less than 55 fathoms) and offshore (depth more than 55 fathoms) areas using information collected by Maine's port sampling program, and agree well with the (less precise) catch per trip data from logbooks (see Table 7 and Figure 6b). Maine inshore trawl CPUE for 2010 was 424 lbs/hr, offshore was 354 lbs/hr, and the season average was 401 lbs/hr, well above the time series average of 244 lbs/hr (Table 7).

RESOURCE CONDITIONS

Trends in abundance have been monitored since the late 1960's from data collected in Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys and in summer surveys by the State of Maine (discontinued in 1983). A Maine-New Hampshire inshore trawl survey has been conducted each spring and fall, beginning during the fall of 2000 (Sherman et al. 2005). A state-federal shrimp survey was initiated by the NSTC in 1984. The latter survey is conducted each summer aboard the *R/V Gloria Michelle* employing a stratified random sampling design and gear specifically designed for Gulf of Maine conditions. The NSTC has placed primary dependence on the summer survey for fishery-independent data used in stock assessments, although other survey data have been valuable as well.

There has generally been good agreement between the NEFSC autumn survey index and fishery trends (Table 11, Fall kg/tow, and Figure 9). The index was close to all time highs at the beginning of the time series in the late 1960's and early 1970's when the Gulf of Maine Northern shrimp stock was at or near virgin levels. In the late 1970's the index declined precipitously as the fishery collapsed; this was followed by a substantial increase in the middle 1980's to early 1990's, with peaks in 1986, 1990 and 1994. This reflects recruitment and growth of the strong presumed 1982, 1987 and 1992 year classes and the above average 1993 year class. After declining to 0.90 kg/tow in 1996, the index rose sharply in 1999 to 2.32 kg per tow, well above the time series mean of 1.77 kg/tow. This is likely due to recruitment of the 1996 year class to the survey gear. Beginning in 2000, the fall survey index declined precipitously for two consecutive years reaching a low of 0.63 kg/tow in 2001, indicating very poor 1997 and 1998 year classes. From 2002 to 2006, the index generally increased, reaching unprecedented time series highs in 2006 and 2007 of 6.64 kg/tow and 4.13 kg/tow, respectively. Since 2005, the fall survey index has remained well above the time series mean of 1.77 kg/tow. From 2002 to 2010, landings generally rose each year as well, although the resource highs were not reflected in the fishery, likely due to poor market conditions for shrimp. Elevated fall survey indices observed since 2002 are indicative of robust assumed 2001 and 2004 year classes and moderate 2003, 2005, and 2007 year classes. Because the NEFSC fall survey changed vessels and survey protocols beginning in 2009, data collected for northern shrimp by the survey are no longer

comparable to previous surveys. 2009 data are presented in Figure 8c but were not used in this assessment.

The Maine-New Hampshire inshore trawl survey took place annually, during spring and fall, in five regions and three depth strata (1=5-20 fa, 2=21-35 fa, 3=36-55 fa) until a deeper stratum (4, > 55 fa) was added in 2003 (Figure 8a). The survey consistently caught shrimp in regions 1-4 (NH to Mt. Desert) and depths 3-4 (> 35 fa), and more were caught in the spring than the fall. The $\log_e$-transformed stratified mean weights per tow for *P. borealis* for the spring and fall surveys using regions 1-4 and depths 3-4 only are presented in Tables 8 and 11 and Figure 10. The Maine-New Hampshire index rose from 4.16 kg/tow during spring 2003 to 15.42 kg/tow during spring 2008. In spring 2009 the index declined to 9.64 kg/tow and remained at a similar level in spring 2010 at 9.89 kg/tow.

Abundance and biomass indices (stratified mean catch per tow in numbers and weight) for the state-federal summer survey from 1984-2010 are given in Table 9 and Figures 8b and 11, and length-frequencies by year are provided in Figure 12. The $\log_e$ transformed mean weight per tow averaged 15.8 kg/tow from 1984 through 1990. Beginning in 1991 this index began to decline and averaged 10.2 kg/tow from 1991 through 1996. The index then declined further, averaging 6.5 kg/tow from 1997 through 2003, and reaching a time series low of 4.3 kg/tow in 2001. Between 2003 and 2006 the index increased markedly, reaching a new time series high in 2006 (66.0 kg/tow). This number should be viewed with caution because the 2006 summer survey indices were based on only 29 tows, compared with about 40 tows in most years (Table 9). The index averaged 14.6 kg/tow from 2007 to 2009. In 2010, the summer survey index was 13.9, the same as the time series mean (Table 9). The total mean number of shrimp per tow demonstrated the same general trends over the time series (Table 9 and Figure 11).

The stratified mean catch per tow in numbers of 1.5-year old shrimp (Table 9, Figure 11, and graphically represented as the total number in the first (left-most) size modes in Figure 12) represents a recruitment index. Although these shrimp are not fully recruited to the survey gear, this index appears sufficient as a preliminary estimate of year class strength. This survey index indicated strong assumed 1987, 1992, 2001, and 2004 year classes, and moderately strong year

classes for 1990 and 1999. The assumed 1997, 1998, 2000, 2002, and 2006 age classes were weak, well below the time series mean of 407 individuals per tow. From 2008 to 2010, the age 1.5 index varied around 500 individuals per tow (506, 582, and 475 individuals per tow, respectively), indicating moderate but above average assumed 2007, 2008, and 2009 year classes.

Individuals >22 mm will be fully recruited to the upcoming winter fishery (primarily age 3 and older) and thus survey catches of shrimp in this size category provide indices of harvestable numbers and biomass for the coming season (Table 9 and Figure 11). The harvestable biomass index exhibited large peaks in 1985 and 1990, reflecting the very strong assumed 1982 and 1987 year classes respectively. This index has varied from year to year but generally trended down until 2004. The 2001 index of 1.5 kg/tow represented a time series low, and is indicative of poor assumed 1997 and 1998 year classes. In 2002 the index increased slightly to 2.9 kg/tow, reflecting recruitment of the moderate 1999 year class to the index. The index subsequently dropped to the second lowest value in the time series (1.7 kg/tow) in 2003. From 2003 to 2006, the fully recruited index increased dramatically, reaching a time series high in 2006 (29.9 kg/tow). This increase may have been related to the continued dominance of the record 2001 year class, some of which may have survived into the summer of 2006, and to an unexplained increase in the number of female stage 1 shrimp (Figure 9), probably the 2003 year class. In 2007 the index declined to 4.1 kg/tow with the passing of the 2001 year class and the diminishing of the 2003 year class. The 2008 index increased to 10.8 kg/tow, reflecting the strong 2004 and moderate 2005 year classes. The >22 mm weight index declined slightly in 2009 to 8.5 kg/tow, still above the time series mean of 6.5 kg/tow. The moderate 2005 and 2007 year classes and perhaps a remnant of the strong 2004 year class contributed to the composition of the 2009 summer survey >22 mm index. In 2010, the >22 mm weight index dropped to 4.8 kg/tow due to the limited presence of the assumed 2006 year class and the passing of the 2005 year class. In 2010 the index was composed primarily of individuals from the moderate assumed 2007 and 2008 year classes, and possibly a remnant of the 2005 year class.

ANALYTICAL STOCK ASSESSMENT

Descriptive information for the Gulf of Maine shrimp fishery (total catch, port sampling, trawl selectivity, survey catches, and life history studies) were modeled to estimate fishing mortality, stock abundance, and candidate target fishing levels. The analytical stock assessment comprises three fishery models: the Collie-Sissenwine Analysis (CSA) (Collie and Sissenwine 1983; Collie and Kruse 1998) tracks the removals of shrimp using summer survey indices of recruits and fully-recruited shrimp scaled to total catch in numbers; surplus production analysis models the biomass dynamics of the stock with a longer time series of total landings and three survey indices of stock biomass; a yield-per-recruit and eggs-per-recruit model simulates the life history of shrimp (including growth rates, transition rates, natural mortality, and fecundity) and fishing mortality on recruited shrimp using estimates of trawl selectivity to estimate yield and egg production at various levels of fishing mortality, for guidance in determining the levels of fishing that are most productive and sustainable. See the Appendix for a discussion of natural mortality rates (M).

CSA results, assuming M=0.25, are summarized in Table 10 and Figures 13 and 14 – see the Appendix for results with M=0.40 and M=0.60. Abundance and catchability were relatively well estimated, and the model fit the data well. Estimates of recruitment to the fishery averaged 0.7 billion individuals between 1985 and 1990, declining (average 0.5 billion) through 1991 to 1994. Recruit abundance rose to 0.9 billion before the 1996 fishing season, then declined steadily to less than 0.3 billion before the 2002 fishing season. Estimates of 1.7 and 1.4 billion (2006 and 2007 respectively) are the highest seen (from 1985 to present). Current abundance of recruits is estimated to be 0.7 billion. Fully-recruited abundance averaged 0.8 billion individuals and peaked at 0.9 billion before the 1991 season. Since that point, fully-recruited abundance declined steadily to 0.2 billion before 2001, and then increased to 2.1 billion before 2008, then declined to 1.1 billion in the current year. Total stock biomass estimates averaged about 11,600 mt through 1996 and decreased to a time series low of 3,800 mt before 2001. Total stock biomass has increased over recent years to 23,000 in 2005, declining to 14,400 in the current year (Table 10, Figures 13, 14).

Annual estimates of fishing mortality (F) averaged 0.29 (22% exploitation) for the 1985 to 1994 fishing seasons, peaked at 1.16 (60% exploitation) in the 1997 season and decreased to 0.10 (8% exploitation) in 2002 (Table 10; Figures 13, 14). These declines were due in part to a short season and poor stock conditions. Continued poor stock conditions (in terms of exploitable shrimp) along with an exceptional recruitment pulse resulted in F rising to 0.27 (21% exploitation) in 2004. During 2005 to 2009, F averaged 0.17 (14% exploitation). The 2010 estimate of F is 0.31 (23% exploitation). Recent patterns in F reflect the pattern in nominal fishing effort (Tables 4 and 10, Figures 6 and 13).
Precision of CSA estimates was assessed by "bootstrap" analysis, in which survey measurement errors were randomly shuffled 2000 times to provide simulated replications of the model. Bootstrap results suggest that estimates of abundance, biomass and mortality were relatively precise (Figure 13).

Because of a lack of detailed information about discards, there were no analyses of discarding for this assessment.

An alternative method of estimating stock size and F was used to corroborate results from CSA analysis. A surplus production model (ASPIC) was fit to seasonal catch and survey biomass indices from 1968 to 2010 (summarized in Table 11). F in 2010 (F = 0.17) is below the fishing mortality target/threshold (F = 0.22) established in Amendment 1 to the northern shrimp Fishery Management Plan. The 2010 starting biomass (33,950 mt) was at its highest level since 1970, and is above the average observed in the time period from 1985 through 1994 when the Gulf of Maine Northern shrimp biomass was stable (17,320 mt).

Estimates of F and Biomass from the surplus production model generally confirmed the pattern of estimates from the CSA model between 1985 and 2007 (Figures 15 and 16). However, there is some divergence in the trend in biomass seen for recent years (2008-2011), where the surplus production model trends upward and the CSA trends downward. The terminal year values of fishing mortality and biomass in both models are typically poorly estimated.

Yield per recruit and percent maximum spawning potential were estimated for the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery (Table 12 and Figure 17, from Cadrin et al 1999). Yield per recruit was maximum at F=0.77 (F_{max}) (48% exploitation). The increase in yield per unit F decreased to one tenth the initial increase at F=0.46 ($F_{0.1}$) (33% exploitation). Maximum spawning potential (i.e., with no F) was 2,395 eggs per recruit. Spawning potential was reduced by half at F=0.25 ($F_{50\%,}$ 20% exploitation).

As concluded by the Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) (NEFSC 1996), the stock was not replacing itself when spawning potential was reduced to less than 20% of maximum, and the stock collapsed when egg production was reduced further. Reproductive success for Gulf of Maine northern shrimp may be a function of population fecundity and spring surface temperature (Figure 19) (reviewed by Clark et al, 2000). Therefore, $F_{20\%}$ may be an appropriate overfishing threshold, which would result in a target F well below 0.6. A sustainable target F may be the average F from 1985 through 1994, which was 0.29 (which allows 40-50% egg production per recruit) (Table 12, Figure 17).

SUMMARY

Landings in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery declined after the mid 1990's, from a high of 9,166 mt in 1996 to a low of 424 mt in 2002, the result of low abundances of shrimp and reductions in fishing effort. Since then, landings have increased to 4,912 mt in the 152-day 2008 season (preliminary), and then declined to 2,163 mt in the 180-day 2009 season, probably due to market limitations. Preliminary landings data from harvester reports for the 2010 season total 5,617 mt. The 2010 season was characterized by very high catch rates and improved market conditions. 2010 landings were comprised mostly of assumed 5-year-old female shrimp from the moderate 2005 year class.

The number of fishing vessels and trawl trips dropped from about 347 and 11,791 respectively in 1996 to 198 and 1,010 in 2002, increased to 238 and 5,480 respectively in 2008, declined to 172 and 2,893 in 2009 (preliminary) and rose to 230 and 5,263 during 2010 (preliminary). Of the 230 vessels that have reported shrimp landings in 2010, 98 were trapping, and trappers accounted for

about 19% of the 2010 landings.

Current landings, vessels, and trips, are calculated from vessel trip reports (federal and Maine VTRs). Note that 2007 landings were incomplete when calculated in September of 2007 (Table 1 of the 2007 assessment report), and went up by 21% when recalculated in September 2008 (Table 1 of the 2008 report). In the 2009 assessment, 2008 landings went up by just 3% from the 2008 assessment, because Maine improved report compliance enforcement during 2008. 2009 landings went up 9% when recalculated in 2010, which may indicate some backsliding in the timeliness of Maine reporting.

Fishing mortality rates (F), as calculated by CSA, declined from 1.16 in 1997 to 0.10 in 2002, then rose to 0.26 in the 2008 fishery, dropped to 0.09 in 2009, and rose again to 0.31 in 2010. Terminal year estimates are the most poorly approximated however. F was above the 1985-1994 average of 0.29 every year from 1995 through 2001, and has averaged 0.19 during 2002-2010.

Exploitable biomass as estimated from CSA declined from 12,800 mt at the beginning of the 1996 season to a time series low of 3,800 before 2001. Since then the biomass estimate has risen to 10,300 mt before 2005, as a result of the appearance of the strong 2001 year class, and to 23,000 mt for the 2007 season, driven by a strong 2004 year class and high summer survey indices for 2005 and 2006. The CSA biomass estimate has since declined to 14,400 for the 2011 season. The technical committee notes that there is a high degree of uncertainty around terminal year estimates, however. Exceptionally high survey indices from the 2006 summer survey, which had fewer tows than usual, continue to add a source of uncertainty.

Size composition data from both the fishery and summer surveys indicate that good landings have followed the recruitment of strong (dominant) year classes. Poor landings from 1998 to 2004, as well as low biomass estimates, can be attributed in part to the below-average recruitment of the assumed 1994, 1995, 1997, 1998, 2000, and 2002 year classes. In 2011, the female population will be comprised of the weak assumed 2006 year class (5-year-old females), and the moderate 2007 year class. The 2010 summer survey index for shrimp >22mm carapace (4.8 kg/tow) is at a median value, but below the time series average. However, the assumed 2008 and 2009 year classes appear to be of above-average abundance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Northern Shrimp Technical Committee bases its recommendations to the Section on its assessment of current stock status, the biology of the species, and the stated management goal of protecting and maintaining the stock at levels that will support a viable fishery (Amendment 1 to the FMP, ASMFC 2004).

The committee recommends that the Section continue its recent efforts to maintain fishing mortality at or below the FMP target/threshold value. The arrival of the above-average 2008 and 2009 year classes present welcome opportunities to continue rebuilding the stock. A very weak 2006 year class continues to be a concern.

Short-term commercial prospects for the 2011 fishing season are average – the summer survey abundance of shrimp of carapace length greater than 22 mm was at a median value compared with the 1984-2010 survey time series. Given the size distributions of the 2010 survey catches, we expect catches in 2011 to be comprised of mostly assumed 4-year-old female shrimp, which will be smaller than the mostly assumed 5-year-old shrimp in last season's catches. If the female shrimp fail to separate themselves from the smaller males, or if the fishery is conducted when and where the year classes are mixed, a "mixier" product may result, and an opportunity to husband the smaller shrimp will be lost.

Catch in numbers (C) is a function of abundance (N) and exploitation rate (μ, which is a function of fishing mortality F and natural mortality M).

$$C = N\mu = NF\frac{1-e^{-(F+M)}}{F+M}$$

Using this relationship, the CSA estimated abundance of recruits and new recruits for fishing season 2011 (from Table 10), and an estimate of 0.25 for M, it is possible to estimate landings (in numbers) for various levels of F.

In order to convert landings in numbers to landings in weight, an assumption must be made about the mean weight of the shrimp caught in the upcoming fishery. The committee has investigated the relationship between the mean carapace length (mm) of female shrimp during the summer survey, and the mean weight (g) of an individual shrimp in the next fishing season, and found that the summer survey length can be used as an index to predict the fishery weight (Figure 20a). Using this relationship, the mean weight of a shrimp in 2011 is predicted to be 11.70 g. If this index is adjusted upward if there is a high proportion of female shrimp in the survey, and adjusted downward if there is a relatively large index for 2.5-age shrimp (the males), the mean weight of a shrimp in 2011 will be about 11.25 g (Figure 20b). This adjusted index gives a better fit than the unadjusted one (R^2=0.53 vs. R^2=0.39). The TC also compared the shrimp size distribution from the 2010 summer survey with past surveys, and found that they were similar to the distribution in the 1994 survey. Therefore the size distributions in the 2011 fishery may be similar to those of the 1995 fishery (which followed the 1994 survey), in which the mean weight of a shrimp was 10.57 g. Using this range of weights to convert numbers of shrimp to landings in weight for varying F gives:

	Worst case scenario Like 1994 survey and 1995 fishery mean wt = 10.57g	Most likely Predicted from adjusted survey female size vs catch size the following season mean wt = 11.25g	Best case scenario Predicted from survey female size vs catch size the following season mean wt = 11.70g
Fishing Mortality Rate for 2011	Estimated Landings (mt)	Estimated Landings (mt)	Estimated Landings (mt)
0.05	731	778	809
0.10	1,427	1,519	1,580
0.15	2,091	2,226	2,315
0.20	2,724	2,900	3,016
0.22	**2,969**	**3,161**	**3,287**
0.25	3,328	3,543	3,685
0.29	**3,790**	**4,035**	**4,196**
0.30	3,903	4,155	4,322
0.40	4,975	5,296	5,509
0.50	5,950	6,334	6,588
0.60	6,836	7,278	7,570
0.75	8,019	8,537	8,879
1.00	9,655	10,278	10,690
1.25	10,950	11,657	12,125

Note that the FMP for northern shrimp (ASMFC 2004) states that its fishing mortality target was based on the average fishing mortality rate in the mid 1980s through mid-1990s (fishing seasons 1985-94), as calculated by the CSA model. At the time the FMP was written, this value was F=0.22. Since then, the CSA model has recalculated this value at F = 0.29.

The three columns of estimated landings in the table above present a worst case (many small shrimp in the landings), average or most likely case (best fit to past data), and best case (few small shrimp in the landings) scenarios. The TC is basing their advice below on the most likely (middle) scenario.

If managers wish to achieve a fishing mortality rate of no more than F=0.22, the committee recommends a 2011 shrimp landings level at or below 3,200 mt. If managers wish to achieve an F of 0.29 or less, the committee recommends that 2011 landings not exceed 4,000 mt.

If shrimp smaller than 11.25g are caught in substantial numbers, the fishing mortality rate (F) will be higher for the same landed weight.

Yield-per-recruit and egg-per-recruit analyses (Table 12) show that shrimp reach both their potential maximum weight yield and maximum egg production at about ages 4-5. Therefore, protecting younger shrimp is recommended for both economical and biological reasons. Protecting egg-bearing females prior to egg hatch, which usually occurs during February and/or March, is also recommended.

The committee notes the uncertainty in the estimates of F and stock abundance associated with the terminal years of the CSA model, particularly when landings data are incomplete. There is also considerable uncertainty in projecting the exploitable biomass from the time of the summer survey to the fishing season, and in predicting the size distribution of the catch in the upcoming season. The committee urges caution in selecting management options, since estimates of both F and stock abundance are subject to change in either direction, that is, these parameters may be over- or underestimated in any given year.

The committee urges managers to continue to take whatever action is necessary to ensure timely reporting of landings. The committee also urges managers to ensure that the summer shrimp survey continues to be adequately funded and staffed.

REFERENCES

Apollonio, S., and E.E. Dunton. 1969. The northern shrimp *Pandalus borealis*, in the Gulf of Maine. Dept. Sea and Shore Fisheries MS, Augusta, Maine, 82p.

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2004. Amendment 1 to the interstate fishery management plan for northern shrimp. ASMFC Fishery Management Rep. No. 42, 69p.

Cadrin, S.X., S.H. Clark, D.F. Schick, M.P. Armstrong, D. McCarron and B. Smith. 1999. Application of catch-survey models to the northern shrimp fishery in the Gulf of Maine. N. Amer. J. of Fisheries Management 19:551-568.

Clark, S.H., S.X. Cadrin, D.F. Schick, P.J. Diodati, M.P. Armstrong, and D. McCarron. 2000. The Gulf of Maine northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery: a review of the record. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci. 27: 193-226.

Collie, J.S. and G.H. Kruse. 1998. Estimating king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) abundance from commercial catch and research survey data. In Proceedings of the North Pacific Symposium on Invertebrate Stock Assessment and Management. Edited by G.S. Jamieson and A. Cambell. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 125. pp. 73-83.

Collie, J.S. and M.P. Sissenwine. 1983. Estimating population size from relative abundance data measured with error. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 40: 1871-1879.

McInnes, D. 1986. Interstate fishery management plan for the northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis* Kroyer) fishery in the western Gulf of Maine. ASMFC Fish. Manage. Rep. 9.

North Atlantic Marine Alliance (NAMA). 2006. Ecosystem relationships in the Gulf of Maine - combined expert kknowledge of fishermen and scientists. NAMA Collaborative Report 1:1-16, 2006.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 1996. Report of the 22nd Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (22nd SAW) Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) Consensus Summary of Assessments. Woods Hole, MA. NEFSC Reference Document 96-13. pp. 118-150.

Rinaldo, R.G. and P. Yevich. 1974. Black spot gill syndrome of the northern shrimp *Pandalus borealis*. J Invertebrate Pathology 24(2): 224-233.

Schick, D.F., S. Cadrin, D. McCarron, A. Richards and B. Smith. 1996. MS. Assessment Report for Gulf of Maine Northern Shrimp -- 1996. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's Northern Shrimp Technical Committee. October 18, 1996. 33p.

Sherman, S.A., K. Stepanek, and J. Sowles. 2005. Maine - New Hampshire inshore groundfish trawl survey – procedures and protocols. Maine Dept. of Marine Resources, W. Boothbay Harbor, Maine. 42p.
Online at http://www.maine.gov/dmr/rm/trawl/reports/proceduresandprotocols.pdf

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Committee sincerely thanks all those people who have contributed to this assessment through their time and efforts as part of the crew on the *R/V Gloria Michelle* shrimp survey, and as port samplers, sample processors, and data entry personnel. Their hard work has made this effort possible.

Table 1. U.S. Commercial landings (mt) of northern shrimp in the Gulf of Maine.

Year	Maine		Massachusetts		New Hampshire		Total		Price	Value
	Annual	Season	Annual	Season	Annual	Season	Annual	Season	$/Lb	$
1958	2.2		0.0		0.0		2.2		0.32	1,532
1959	5.5		2.3		0.0		7.8		0.29	5,002
1960	40.4		0.5		0.0		40.9		0.23	20,714
1961	30.5		0.3		0.0		30.8		0.20	13,754
1962	159.5		16.2		0.0		175.7		0.15	57,382
1963	244.3		10.4		0.0		254.7		0.12	66,840
1964	419.4		3.1		0.0		422.5		0.12	112,528
1965	941.3		8.0		0.0		949.3		0.12	245,469
1966	1,737.8		10.5		18.1		1,766.4		0.14	549,466
1967	3,141.2		10.0		20.0		3,171.2		0.12	871,924
1968	6,515.2		51.9		43.1		6,610.2		0.11	1,611,425
1969	10,993.1		1,773.1		58.1		12,824.3		0.12	3,478,911
1970	7,712.8		2,902.3		54.4		10,669.5		0.20	4,697,419
1971	8,354.8		2,724.0		50.8		11,129.6		0.19	4,653,203
1972	7,515.6		3,504.6		74.8		11,095.0		0.19	4,586,484
1973	5,476.6		3,868.2		59.9		9,404.7		0.27	5,657,348
1974	4,430.7		3,477.3		36.7		7,944.7		0.32	5,577,465
1975	3,177.2		2,080.0		29.4		5,286.6		0.26	3,062,721
1976	617.3		397.8		7.3		1,022.4		0.34	764,094
1977	142.1		236.9		2.2		381.2		0.55	458,198
1978	0.0		3.3		0.0		3.3		0.24	1,758
1979	32.8		405.9		0.0		438.7		0.33	320,361
1980	69.6		256.9		6.3		332.8		0.65	478,883
1981	530.0		539.4		4.5		1,073.9		0.64	1,516,521
1982	883.0		658.5		32.8		1,574.3		0.60	2,079,110
1983	1,029.2		508.2		36.5		1,573.9		0.67	2,312,073
1984	2,564.7		565.4		96.8		3,226.9		0.49	3,474,352
1985	2,957.0	2,946.4	1,030.5	968.0	207.4	216.5	4,194.9	4,130.9	0.44	3,983,599
1986	3,407.2	3,268.2	1,085.7	1,136.3	191.1	230.5	4,684.0	4,635.0	0.63	6,451,207
1987	3,534.2	3,680.2	1,338.7	1,427.9	152.5	157.9	5,025.4	5,266.0	1.10	12,740,583
1988	2,272.5	2,258.4	632.7	619.6	173.1	157.6	3,078.3	3,035.6	1.10	7,391,778
1989	2,544.8	2,384.0	751.6	699.9	314.3	231.5	3,610.7	3,315.4	0.98	7,177,660
1990	2,962.1	3,236.3	993.4	974.9	447.3	451.3	4,402.8	4,662.5	0.72	7,351,421
1991	2,431.5	2,488.6	737.7	814.6	208.3	282.1	3,377.5	3,585.3	0.91	7,208,839
1992	2,990.4	3,070.6	291.7	289.3	100.1	100.1	3,382.2	3,460.0	0.99	7,547,942
1993	1,563.1	1,492.5	300.3	292.8	441.2	357.6	2,304.6	2,142.9	1.07	5,038,053
1994	2,815.4	2,239.7	381.9	247.5	521.0	428.0	3,718.3	2,915.2	0.75	4,829,107
1995		5,013.7		670.1		772.8		6,456.6	0.90	12,828,031
1996		8,107.1		660.6		771.7		9,539.4	0.73	15,341,506
1997		6,086.9		366.4		666.2		7,119.5	0.79	12,355,873
1998		3,481.3		240.3		445.2		4,166.8	0.96	8,811,939
1999		1,573.2		75.7		217.0		1,865.9	0.91	3,762,044
2000		2,085.3		110.3		212.3		2,407.9	0.79	4,190,546
2001		1,073.4		49.2		206.4		1,329.1	0.86	2,530,396
*2002		364.8		7.7		51.2		423.7	1.08	1,008,647
*2003		1,081.2		23.1		106.7		1,211.0	0.87	2,333,789
*2004		1,752.7		17.5		174.6		1,944.8	0.44	1,906,712
2005		2,218.6		48.1		289.8		2,556.5	0.57	3,194,664
2006		1,965.9		24.8		90.2		2,080.9	0.37	1,689,650
*2007		3,759.4		10.3		290.2		4,059.9	0.38	3,400,517
*2008		4,470.4		25.4		413.8		4,909.6	0.49	5,349,876
2009		2,187.4		MA and NH combined -->		178.5		2,365.9	0.40	2,096,476
2010		5,081.1		34.6		501.7		5,617.4		

*Includes removals by experimental studies
2009 and 2010 are preliminary.

Table 2. Distribution of landings (metric tons) in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery by season, state, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total
1987 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	485.9	906.2	1,192.7	672.9	287.6	127.9	7.0	3,680.2
Mass.	103.5	260.0	384.9	310.2	180.8	182.8	5.7	1,427.9
N.H.	18.4	53.6	62.8	15.7	7.3	0.0	0.1	157.9
Total	607.8	1,219.8	1,640.4	998.8	475.7	310.7	12.8	5,266.0
1988 Season, 183 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	339.7	793.9	788.1	243.6	24.6	67.3	1.2	2,258.4
Mass.	14.4	225.8	255.0	104.9	8.6	10.9	0.0	619.6
N.H.	13.0	72.6	53.7	14.9	0.3	0.0	3.1	157.6
Total	367.1	1,092.3	1,096.8	363.4	33.5	78.2	4.3	3,035.6
1989 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	353.6	770.5	700.6	246.4	218.7	94.2		2,384.0
Mass.	26.2	197.5	154.9	104.8	160.9	55.6		699.9
N.H.	28.5	106.9	77.0	15.4	3.7	0.0		231.5
Total	408.3	1,074.9	932.5	366.6	383.3	149.8	0.0	3,315.4
1990 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	512.4	778.4	509.8	638.7	514.1	282.8	0.1	3,236.3
Mass.	75.6	344.5	184.8	100.2	159.0	110.0	0.8	974.9
N.H.	111.3	191.7	116.2	30.7	1.4			451.3
Total	699.3	1,314.6	810.8	769.6	674.5	392.8	0.9	4,662.5
1991 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	238.3	509.2	884.1	455.0	251.8	148.2	2.0	2,488.6
Mass.	90.6	174.7	176.0	131.2	93.3	133.8	15.0	814.6
N.H.	107.3	104.4	33.8	27.8	7.8	1.0		282.1
Total	436.2	788.3	1,093.9	614.0	352.9	283.0	17.0	3,585.3
1992 Season, 153 days, Dec 15 - May 15								
Maine	181.2	881.0	1,295.0	462.6	163.6	87.2		3,070.6
Mass.	17.1	148.3	73.3	47.6	2.9		0.1	289.3
N.H.	33.4	47.0	11.9	6.8	1.0			100.1
Total	231.7	1,076.3	1,380.2	517.0	167.5	87.2	0.1	3,460.0
1993 Season, 138 days, Dec 14 - April 30								
Maine	101.0	369.1	597.1	297.5	127.8			1,492.5
Mass.	19.6	82.0	81.9	62.3	42.0	5.0		292.8
N.H.	33.5	85.4	101.8	77.0	59.9			357.6
Total	154.1	536.5	780.8	436.8	229.7	5.0	0.0	2,142.9
1994 Season, 122 days, Dec 15 - Apr 15								
Maine	171.5	647.8	972.1	399.6	48.7			2,239.7
Mass.	27.1	68.0	100.8	38.8	12.8			247.5
N.H.	117.2	124.3	128.7	49.6	8.2			428.0
Total	315.8	840.1	1,201.6	488.0	69.7	0.0	0.0	2,915.2

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total
1995 Season, 128 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30, 1 day per week off								
Maine	747.3	1,392.9	1,336.0	912.1	625.4			5,013.7
Mass.	160.6	154.0	104.1	111.0	139.5		0.9	670.1
N.H.	210.2	186.8	118.3	158.5	99.0			772.8
Total	1,118.1	1,733.7	1,558.4	1,181.6	863.9	0.0	0.9	6,456.6
1996 Season, 152 days, Dec 1- May 31, 1 day per week off								
Maine	1,122.0	1,693.1	3,236.9	795.6	361.5	897.6	0.4	8,107.1
Mass.	167.9	106.7	190.7	67.2	66.5	60.3	1.3	660.6
N.H.	189.8	169.5	234.0	81.9	78.8	17.1	0.6	771.7
Total	1,479.7	1,969.3	3,661.6	944.7	506.8	975.0	2.3	9,539.4
1997 Season, 156 days, Dec 1- May 27, two 5-day and four 4-day blocks off								
Maine	1,178.0	1,095.8	1,749.3	758.4	766.8	538.2	0.4	6,086.9
Mass.	90.2	110.4	111.4	49.0	1.2	0.5	3.7	366.4
N.H.	185.6	104.1	140.1	108.4	85.8	42.2	0.0	666.2
Total	1,453.8	1,310.3	2,000.8	915.8	853.8	580.9	4.1	7,119.5
1998 Season, 105 days, Dec 8-May 22, weekends off except Mar 14-15, Dec 25-31 and Mar 16-31 off.								
Maine	511.1	926.8	1,211.1	401.0	228.7	202.6		3,481.3
Mass.	49.1	73.3	88.6	14.0	15.3			240.3
N.H.	89.4	106.9	143.5	54.3	49.0	2.1		445.2
Total	649.6	1,107.0	1,443.2	469.3	293.0	204.7	0.0	4,166.8
1999 Season, 90 days, Dec 15 - May 25, weekends, Dec 24 - Jan 3, Jan 27-31, Feb 24-28, Mar 16-31, and Apr 29 - May 2 off.								
Maine	79.9	192.7	599.3	247.9	205.3	248.1		1,573.2
Mass.	25.0	23.8	16.0	2.5	8.4			75.7
N.H.	46.5	63.2	52.2	10.0	36.5	8.6		217.0
Total	151.4	279.7	667.5	260.4	250.2	256.7	0.0	1,865.9
2000 Season, 51 days, Jan 17 - Mar 15, Sundays off								
Maine		609.6	1,287.2	188.5				2,085.3
Mass.		17.9	78.7	13.7				110.3
N.H.		39.6	131.1	41.6				212.3
Total	0.0	667.1	1,497.0	243.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,407.9
2001 Season, 83 days, Jan 9 - Apr 30, Mar 18 - Apr 16 off, experimental offshore fishery in May								
Maine		576.0	433.5	37.1	26.5	0.3		1,073.4
Mass.		38.5	8.9	1.9	0.0	0.0		49.2
N.H.		127.9	37.4	12.1	29.0	0.0		206.4
Total	0.0	742.4	479.8	51.1	55.5	0.3	0.0	1,329.1
2002 Season, 25 days, Feb 15 - Mar 11								
Maine			285.5	76.7			2.5	364.8
Mass.			5.3	2.3			0.01	7.7
N.H.			38.0	13.3				51.2
Total	0.0	0.0	328.8	92.4	0.0	0.0	2.5	423.7

Table 2 continued – Landings by season, state, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total
2003 Season, 38 days, Jan 15 - Feb 27, Fridays off								
Maine		477.5	602.4	1.2			0.02	1,081.2
Mass.		10.5	12.6					23.1
N.H.		28.2	78.5					106.7
Total	0.0	516.2	693.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.02	1,211.0
2004 Season, 40 days, Jan 19 - Mar 12, Saturdays and Sundays off								
Maine	1.8	522.3	845.1	376.1	4.7	2.7	0.03	1,752.7
Mass.		5.2	10.1	2.1				17.5
N.H.		27.0	87.4	60.3				174.6
Total	1.8	554.5	942.6	438.5	4.7	2.7	0.0	1,944.81
2005 Season, 70 days, Dec 19 - 30, Fri-Sat off, Jan 3 - Mar 25, Sat-Sun off								
Maine	75.0	377.7	869.9	896.0				2,218.6
Mass.	5.9	8.1	24.7	9.4				48.1
N.H.	17.3	53.5	175.3	43.7				289.8
Total	98.2	439.3	1,069.9	949.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,556.5
2006 Season, 140 days, Dec 12 - Apr 30								
Maine	133.0	585.1	814.0	323.1	110.7			1,965.9
Mass.	5.3	6.7	6.4	6.3				24.8
N.H.	3.4	27.9	8.7	43.8	6.5			90.2
Total	141.7	619.7	829.1	373.2	117.2	0.0	0.0	2,080.9
2007 Season, 151 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30								
Maine	574.6	1,208.4	1,386.6	443.4	146.0	0.4	0.1	3,759.4
Mass.	2.2	0.4	4.4	3.4				10.3
N.H.	44.8	141.5	78.9	12.9	12.1			290.2
Total	621.6	1,350.3	1,469.8	459.7	158.0	0.4	0.1	4,059.9
2008 Season, 152 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30								
Maine	392.7	1,025.8	2,019.7	982.9	49.3			4,470.4
Mass.	4.3	3.2	7.9	10.0				25.4
N.H.	94.2	120.7	161.6	35.7	1.7			413.8
Total	491.1	1,149.7	2,189.2	1,028.6	51.0	0.0	0.0	4,909.6
***2009** Season, 180 days, Dec 1 - May 29								
Maine	123.1	533.8	942.0	553.8	32.7	1.8	0.2	2,187.4
Mass.& NH	20.2	85.7	68.8	1.2	2.6			178.5
Total	143.3	619.6	1,010.8	554.9	35.3	1.8	0.2	2,365.9
***2010** Season, 156 days, Dec 1 - May 5								
Maine	230.5	1,543.3	2,646.6	439.8	183.8	36.8	0.4	5,081.1
Mass.	0.4	16.5	17.3	0.3				34.6
N.H.	110.4	152.4	200.0	11.7	24.9	2.2		501.7
Total	341.3	1,712.2	2,864.0	451.7	208.7	39.0	0.4	5,617.4

* Preliminary data

Table 3. Distribution of landings (metric tons) in the Maine northern shrimp fishery by season, gear type, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total	% of season total
2001 Season, 83 days, Jan 9 - Apr 30, Mar 18 - Apr 16 off, experimental offshore fishery in May									
Trawl		532.8	360.6	31.4	26.4	0.3		951.5	89%
Trap		43.2	72.9	5.7	0.1	0		121.9	11%
Total	0.0	576.0	433.5	37.1	26.5	0.3	0.0	1,073.4	
2002 Season, 25 days, Feb 15 - Mar 11									
Trawl			245.3	70.1			2.5	318.0	87%
Trap			40.2	6.6			0	46.8	13%
Total	0.0	0.0	285.5	76.7	0.0	0.0	2.5	364.8	
2003 Season, 38 days, Jan 15 - Feb 27, Fridays off									
Trawl		411.3	465.6	1.2			0.02	878.1	81%
Trap		66.2	136.9	0			0	203.1	19%
Total	0.0	477.5	602.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.02	1,083.6	
2004 Season, 40 days, Jan 19 - Mar 12, Saturdays and Sundays off									
Trawl	1.8	510.3	806.1	360.1	4.7	2.7	0.0	1685.8	96%
Trap	0.0	12.0	39.0	16.0				66.9	4%
Total	1.8	522.3	845.1	376.1	4.7	2.7	0.0	1752.7	
2005 Season, 70 days, Dec 19 - 30, Fri-Sat off, Jan 3 - Mar 25, Sat-Sun off									
Trawl	75.0	369.1	741.4	637.2				1822.7	82%
Trap	0.0	8.6	128.5	258.8				395.9	18%
Total	75.0	377.7	869.9	896.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2218.6	
2006 Season, 140 days, Dec 12 - Apr 30									
Trawl	132.8	568.5	652.6	230.0	110.1			1694.1	86%
Trap	0.1	16.6	161.3	93.2	0.6			271.8	14%
Total	133.0	585.1	814.0	323.1	110.7	0.0	0.0	1965.9	
2007 Season, 151 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30									
Trawl	570.9	1,171.4	1,073.2	323.9	135.3	0.4	0.1	3,275.3	87%
Trap	3.6	37.0	313.4	119.5	10.6			484.1	13%
Total	574.6	1,208.4	1,386.6	443.4	146.0	0.4	0.1	3,759.4	
***2008** Season, 152 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30									
Trawl	392.6	965.0	1,680.3	602.5	42.6			3,683.0	82%
Trap	0.1	60.9	339.4	380.4	6.7			787.4	18%
Total	392.7	1,025.8	2,019.7	982.9	49.3	0.0	0.0	4,470.4	
***2009** Season, 180 days, Dec 1 - May 29									
Trawl	122.7	517.7	734.5	398.9	31.4	1.8	0.2	1,807.2	83%
Trap	0.4	16.1	207.5	154.8	1.3			380.2	17%
Total	123.1	533.8	942.0	553.8	32.7	1.8	0.2	2,187.4	
***2010** Season, 156 days, Dec 1 - May 5									
Trawl	230.5	1,363.9	1,889.6	287.4	181.6	36.8	0.4	3,990.1	79%
Trap		179.4	757.0	152.4	2.2	0.0		1,091.0	21%
Total	230.5	1,543.3	2,646.6	439.8	183.8	36.8	0.4	5,081.1	

* Preliminary data

Table 4. Distribution of fishing effort (number of trawl trips) in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery by season, state, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total
1987 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	993	2,373	3,073	2,241	617	340	16	9,653
Mass.	325	354	414	426	283	317	164	2,283
N.H.	67	164	175	95	28		32	561
Total	1,385	2,891	3,662	2,762	928	657		12,285
1988 Season, 183 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	972	2,183	2,720	1,231	193	122		7,421
Mass.	28	326	426	315	26	57		1,178
N.H.	72	231	236	99	3			641
Total	1,072	2,740	3,382	1,645	222	179		9,240
1989 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	958	2,479	2,332	936	249	84		7,038
Mass.	103	479	402	254	297	102		1,637
N.H.	120	369	312	69	16			886
Total	1,181	3,327	3,046	1,259	562	186		9,561
1990 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	1,036	1,710	1,529	1,986	897	238		7,396
Mass.	147	459	273	202	175	118		1,374
N.H.	178	363	284	157	6			988
Total	1,361	2,532	2,086	2,345	1,078	356		9,758
1991 Season, 182 days, Dec 1 - May 31								
Maine	568	1,286	2,070	1,050	438	139		5,551
Mass.	264	416	401	231	154	147		1,613
N.H.	279	285	135	82	22	1		804
Total	1,111	1,987	2,606	1,363	614	287		7,968
1992 Season, 153 days, Dec 15 - May 15								
Maine	411	1,966	2,700	1,222	318	141		6,758
Mass.	59	337	145	101	41			683
N.H.	96	153	76	29	3			357
Total	566	2,456	2,921	1,352	362	141		7,798
1993 Season, 138 days, Dec 14 - April 30								
Maine	249	1,102	1,777	1,032	227			4,387
Mass.	60	200	250	185	72			767
N.H.	76	246	275	256	151			1,004
Total	385	1,548	2,302	1,473	450			6,158
1994 Season, 122 days, Dec 15 - Apr 15								
Maine	265	1,340	1,889	1,065	122			4,681
Mass.	58	152	147	83	15			455
N.H.	169	228	266	173	18			854
Total	492	1,720	2,302	1,321	155			5,990
1995 Season, 128 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30, 1 day per week off								
Maine	879	2,341	2,641	1,337	694			7,892
Mass.	145	385	275	157	109			1,071
N.H.	189	331	279	359	344			1,502
Total	1,213	3,057	3,195	1,853	1,147			10,465
1996 Season, 152 days, Dec 1- May 31, 1 day per week off								
Maine	1,341	2,030	3,190	1,461	444	457		8,923
Mass.	299	248	325	269	106	126		1,373
N.H.	331	311	389	248	155	61		1,495
Total	1,971	2,589	3,904	1,978	705	644		11,791
1997 Season, 156 days, Dec 1- May 31, two 5-day and four 4-day blocks off								
Maine	1,674	1,753	2,737	1,178	793	530		8,665
Mass.	184	226	245	114	7	1		777
N.H.	277	245	301	218	189	62		1,292
Total	2,135	2,224	3,283	1,510	989	593		10,734
1998 Season, 105 days, Dec 8-May 22, weekends off except Mar 14-15, Dec 25-31 and Mar 16-31 off.								
Maine	852	1,548	1,653	725	346	189		5,313
Mass.	94	200	148	70	3	1		515
N.H.	141	216	182	134	83	22		778
Total	1,086	1,964	1,983	929	432	212		6,606
1999 Season, 90 days, Dec 15 - May 25, weekends, Dec 24 - Jan 3, Jan 27-31, Feb 24-28, Mar 16-31, and Apr 29 - May 2 off.								
Maine	190	556	1,125	553	324	172		2,920
Mass.	39	57	71	9	40			216
N.H.	82	192	213	44	123	21		675
Total	311	805	1,409	606	487	193		3,811
2000 Season, 51 days, Jan 17 - Mar 15, Sundays off								
Maine		653	1,838	401				2,892
Mass.		23	100	27				150
N.H.		36	179	78				293
Total		712	2,117	506				3,335
2001 Season, 83 days, Jan 9 - Apr 30, Mar 18 - Apr 15 off, experimental offshore fishery in May								
Maine		1,531	1,230	116	39	6		2,922
Mass.		111	47	11	1			170
N.H.		305	145	27	30			507
Total		1,947	1,422	154	70	6		3,599
2002 Season, 25 days, Feb 15 - Mar 11								
Maine			573	221			14	808
Mass.			13	9			1	22
N.H.			126	53				179
Total			712	283			15	1,010

Table 4 continued – Trawl trips by season, state, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total
2003 Season, 38 days, Jan 15 - Feb 27, Fridays off								
Maine		773	1,020				49	1,842
Mass.		35	39					74
N.H.		82	159					241
Total	0	890	1,218	0	0	0	49	2,157
2004 Season, 40days, Jan 19 - Mar 12, Saturdays and Sundays off								
Maine	7	563	883	337	13	14	3	1,820
Mass.		9	31	8				48
N.H.		46	139	65				250
Total	7	618	1,053	410	13	14	3	2,118
2005 Season, 70 days, Dec 19 - 30, Fri-Sat off, Jan 3 - Mar 25, Sat-Sun off								
Maine	141	647	920	760				2,468
Mass.	12	18	49	23				102
N.H.	24	76	216	76				392
Total	177	741	1,185	859	0	0	0	2,962
2006 Season, 140 days, Dec 12 - Apr 30								
Maine	131	426	515	246	82			1,400
Mass.	10	12	14	12				48
N.H.	5	23	19	52	10			109
Total	146	461	548	310	92	0	0	1,557
2007 Season, 151 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30								
Maine	343	790	796	319	114	1	12	2,375
Mass.	3	1	8	7				19
N.H.	24	79	65	16	27			211
Total	370	870	869	342	141	1	12	2,605
2008 Season, 152 days, Dec 1 - Apr 30								
Maine	401	1,242	2,067	1,269	102			5,081
Mass.	8	9	8	8				33
N.H.	63	140	125	33	5			366
Total	472	1,391	2,200	1,310	107	0	0	5,480
***2009** Season, 180 days, Dec 1 - May 29								
Maine	126	721	1,098	733	51	5	1	2,735
Mass.& NH	12	84	59	2	1			158
Total	138	805	1,157	735	52	5	1	2,893
***2010** Season, 156 days, Dec 1 - May 5								
Maine	209	1,432	2,287	739	119	24	1	4,811
Mass.	4	22	20	1				47
N.H.	54	127	151	18	51	4		405
Total	267	1,581	2,458	758	170	28	1	5,263

* Preliminary data

Table 5. Distribution of fishing trips in the Maine northern shrimp fishery by season, gear type, and month.

	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Other	Season Total	% of total
2001									
Trawl		1,531	1,230	116	39	6		2,922	83%
Trap		191	347	68	1			607	17%
Total	0	1,722	1,577	184	40	6	0	3,529	
2002									
Trawl			573	221			14	808	77%
Trap			193	55				248	23%
Total	0	0	766	276	0	0	14	1,056	
2003									
Trawl		773	1,020				49	1,842	72%
Trap		253	466					719	28%
Total	0	1,026	1,486	0	0	0	49	2,561	
2004									
Trawl	7	563	883	337	13	14	3	1,820	83%
Trap	0	75	210	90				375	17%
Total	7	638	1,093	427	13	14	3	2,195	
2005									
Trawl	141	647	920	760				2,468	75%
Trap		20	352	469				841	25%
Total	141	667	1,272	1,229	0	0	0	3,309	
2006									
Trawl	131	426	515	246	82			1,400	66%
Trap	3	90	375	257	12			737	34%
Total	134	516	890	503	94	0	0	2,137	
2007									
Trawl	343	790	796	319	114	1	12	2,375	69%
Trap	12	129	589	320	17			1,067	31%
Total	355	919	1,385	639	131	1	12	3,442	
2008									
Trawl	397	1,021	1,393	653	51			3,515	69%
Trap	4	221	674	616	51			1,566	31%
Total	401	1,242	2,067	1,269	102	0	0	5,081	
***2009**									
Trawl	122	641	649	374	32	5	1	1,824	67%
Trap	4	80	449	359	19			911	33%
Total	126	721	1,098	733	51	5	1	2,735	
***2010**									
Trawl	209	1,136	1,321	369	105	24	1	3,165	66%
Trap	0	296	966	370	14	0		1,646	34%
Total	209	1,432	2,287	739	119	24	1	4,811	

* preliminary data

Table 6. Estimated numbers of vessels in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery by fishing season and state.

Season	Maine	Massachusetts	New Hampshire	Total
1980	15-20	15-20		30-40
1981	~75	~20-25		~100
1982	>75	~20-25		>100
1983	~164	~25	~5-8	~197
1984	239	43	6	288
1985	~231	~40	~17	~300
1986				~300
1987	289	39	17	345
1988	~290	~70	~30	~390
1989	~230	~50	~30	~310
1990	~220			~250
1991	~200	~30	~20	~250
1992	~259	~50	16	~325
1993	192	52	29	273
1994	178	40	29	247
1995				
1996	275	43	29	347
1997	238	32	41	311
1998	195	33	32	260
1999	181	27	30	238
2000	249	15	23	287
2001	235	25	28	288
2002	167	8	23	198
2003	213	12	23	248
2004	169	7	15	191
2005	167	9	22	198
2006	126	5	11	142
2007	177	3	15	195
2008	219	4	15	238
*2009	160	1	11	172
*2010	209	5	16	230

* preliminary

Table 7. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp trawl catch rates by season. Mean CPUE in lbs/hour towed is from Maine port sampling. Mean catch in lbs/trip is from NMFS weighout and logbook data for trawl catches for all states.

Season	Maine pounds per hour towing			Pounds/trip
	Inshore (<55F)	Offshore (>55F)	Combined	
1991	94	152	140	988
1992	132	93	117	974
1993	82	129	92	767
1994	139	149	141	1,073
1995	172	205	193	1,362
1996	340	203	251	1,714
1997	206	192	194	1,454
1998	158	151	154	1,317
1999	148	147	147	1,067
2000	279	224	272	1,444
2001	100	135	109	740
2002	223	91	194	831
2003	174	215	182	1,029
2004	361	310	351	1,955
2005	235	212	228	1,608
2006	572	345	499	2,562
2007	531	477	507	3,026
2008	350	327	343	2,322
*2009	400	315	370	2,209
*2010	424	354	401	2,759

* Pounds/trip are preliminary

Table 8. Stratified retransformed mean weights (kg) per tow of northern shrimp collected during the Maine - New Hampshire inshore trawl surveys by year, regions 1-4 (NH to Mt. Desert) and depths 3-4 (> 35 fa.) only, with number of tows (n) and 80% confidence intervals.

	Spring				Fall			
	kg/tow	n	80% CI		kg/tow	n	80% CI	
2003	**4.16**	40	3.40	5.05	**1.91**	33	1.35	2.60
2004	**3.87**	42	3.31	4.51	**1.53**	38	1.04	2.14
2005	**7.81**	40	6.60	9.21	**3.59**	25	2.46	5.10
2006	**10.99**	46	8.50	14.13	**2.06**	38	1.43	2.84
2007	**10.70**	43	7.93	14.33	**4.04**	45	3.15	5.13
2008	**15.42**	45	12.72	18.64	**3.59**	37	2.32	5.36
2009	**9.65**	45	7.67	12.09	**2.73**	41	2.27	3.27
***2010**	**9.89**	48	7.15	13.56				

*2010 data are preliminary.

Table 9. Stratified* retransformed mean numbers and weights per tow of northern shrimp collected during *R/V Gloria Michelle* state/federal summer surveys.

		Log_e retransformed				
Year	N Tows	Age-1.5 Number	>22 mm** Number	>22 mm** Weight (kg)	Total Number	Total Weight (kg)
1984		18	316	3.4	1,152	10.5
1985	44	332	1,169	11.5	1,825	17.7
1986	40	358	860	10.0	1,695	19.6
1987	41	342	854	9.5	1,533	15.4
1988	41	828	298	3.4	1,269	12.8
1989	43	276	564	6.1	1,884	17.0
1990	43	142	1,127	12.0	1,623	18.1
1991	43	482	657	8.0	1,256	11.7
1992	45	282	397	4.8	955	9.4
1993	46	757	250	2.8	1,157	9.1
1994	43	368	243	2.7	984	8.7
1995	35	292	628	7.0	1,449	13.3
1996	32	232	358	4.0	776	8.8
1997	40	374	245	2.8	762	7.7
1998	35	134	170	1.9	583	6.3
1999	42	114	174	1.9	398	5.8
2000	35	450	283	3.2	808	6.4
2001	36	18	146	1.5	451	4.3
2002	38	1,164	261	2.9	1,445	9.2
2003	37	11	173	1.7	564	5.5
2004	35	286	519	5.3	887	10.3
2005	46	1,752	871	10.3	3,661	23.4
2006	29	374	2,773	29.9	9,998	66.0
2007	43	28	412	4.1	887	11.5
2008	38	506	995	10.8	1,737	16.8
2009	49	582	702	8.5	1,627	15.4
2010	49	475	413	4.8	1,373	13.9
Mean	40	407	587	6.5	1,583	13.9
Median	41	342	412	4.8	1,256	11.5

*Based on strata 1, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8.
**Will be fully recruited to the winter fishery.

Table 10. Summary of results from CSA analysis, Gulf of Maine northern shrimp.

Fishing Season	New Recruits (millions)	Fully-Recruited (millions)	F (NR+FR)	Biomass (1000 mt)	Exploitation Rate
1985	757	703	0.32	10.59	24%
1986	785	829	0.29	13.67	22%
1987	602	939	0.37	13.99	28%
1988	464	827	0.22	11.84	18%
1989	718	805	0.24	10.42	18%
1990	763	936	0.35	13.02	26%
1991	501	935	0.29	13.58	22%
1992	368	838	0.28	11.64	22%
1993	330	710	0.24	9.44	18%
1994	517	639	0.31	7.69	23%
1995	907	662	0.57	10.77	39%
1996	916	691	0.80	12.77	50%
1997	582	560	1.16	9.32	60%
1998	467	278	0.82	5.12	50%
1999	337	257	0.52	4.22	36%
2000	250	275	0.59	4.21	39%
2001	304	227	0.35	3.78	26%
2002	233	291	0.10	3.98	8%
2003	490	369	0.16	4.97	13%
2004	363	569	0.27	6.74	21%
2005	724	553	0.23	10.29	18%
2006	1,674	791	0.09	15.78	8%
2007	1,394	1,751	0.17	22.99	14%
2008	375	2,057	0.26	20.61	20%
2009	552	1,467	0.12	18.59	9%
2010	585	1,397	0.31	18.35	23%
2011	678	1,135		14.40	
Overall average	614	783	0.36	11.1	25%
1985-94 average	580	816	0.29	11.6	22%

Table 11. Summary of results from surplus production analysis, Gulf of Maine northern shrimp.

	Input					Results			
Survey Year	Fall (kg/tow)	Maine (kg/tow)	Summer (kg/tow)	Spring ME/NH (kg/tow)	Catch (mt)	Biomass (1000 mt)	F	B/Bmsy	F/Fmsy
1968	3.20	45.80			6610	45.61	0.15	1.40	0.90
1969	2.70	31.20			12824	43.62	0.33	1.34	1.98
1970	3.70	40.80			10670	35.87	0.32	1.10	1.97
1971	3.00	9.40			11130	30.53	0.41	0.94	2.47
1972	3.30	7.00			11095	24.60	0.52	0.76	3.19
1973	1.90	7.80			9405	18.19	0.62	0.56	3.77
1974	0.80	4.90			7945	12.60	0.82	0.39	4.97
1975	0.90	6.70			5287	7.36	1.00	0.23	6.08
1976	0.60	4.80			1022	3.66	0.27	0.11	1.67
1977	0.20	1.60			381	3.80	0.09	0.12	0.55
1978	0.40	3.20			3	4.72	0.00	0.15	0.00
1979	0.50	4.40			439	6.37	0.06	0.20	0.37
1980	0.50	2.70			333	8.03	0.04	0.25	0.22
1981	1.50	3.00			1074	10.27	0.10	0.32	0.58
1982	0.30	2.00			1574	12.26	0.12	0.38	0.73
1983	1.00	4.20			1574	14.14	0.10	0.43	0.63
1984	1.90		10.47		3227	16.40	0.19	0.50	1.17
1985	1.60		17.69		4131	17.28	0.24	0.53	1.45
1986	2.50		19.61		4635	17.32	0.27	0.53	1.65
1987	1.70		15.40		5266	16.82	0.33	0.52	1.98
1988	1.20		12.76		3036	15.55	0.19	0.48	1.15
1989	1.81		16.95		3315	16.48	0.20	0.51	1.20
1990	2.04		18.12		4663	17.28	0.27	0.53	1.67
1991	0.44		11.68		3585	16.74	0.21	0.51	1.28
1992	0.41		9.43		3460	17.29	0.20	0.53	1.19
1993	1.85		9.14		2143	18.06	0.11	0.56	0.68
1994	2.24		8.69		2915	20.37	0.14	0.63	0.83
1995	1.22		13.29		6457	22.16	0.30	0.68	1.85
1996	0.90		8.77		9539	20.41	0.54	0.63	3.31
1997	1.12		7.73		7120	15.07	0.54	0.46	3.30
1998	1.99		6.33		4167	11.39	0.39	0.35	2.36
1999	2.32		5.78		1866	10.18	0.17	0.31	1.06
2000	1.28		6.39		2408	11.25	0.21	0.35	1.26
2001	0.63		4.33		1329	11.98	0.10	0.37	0.62
2002	1.70		9.16		424	14.07	0.03	0.43	0.16
2003	1.08		5.45	4.16	1211	17.57	0.06	0.54	0.38
2004	1.58		10.27	3.87	1945	20.80	0.09	0.64	0.53
2005	2.77		23.38	7.81	2557	23.66	0.10	0.73	0.62
2006	6.64		65.99	10.99	2081	26.15	0.08	0.80	0.46
2007	4.13		11.51	10.70	4060	29.30	0.14	0.90	0.83
2008	3.05		16.77	15.42	4910	30.55	0.16	0.94	0.97
2009*	n/a		15.44	9.64	2366	30.97	0.07	0.95	0.44
2010*			13.94	9.89	5617	33.95	0.17	1.04	1.01
2011						33.68		1.04	
Average	**1.77**		**13.87**	**9.06**	**4181**	**18.96**	**0.24**		
					1971-74 average:	21.48	0.59		
	* preliminary data				1985-94 average:	17.32	0.22		
					2007-09 (3-yr) average:	31.82	0.13		

Table 12. Yield and egg production per recruit of Gulf of Maine northern shrimp, for an example fishing mortality F = 0.20, natural mortality M = 0.25, and 1,000 age 0 recruits.

Input Data							Results						
Age	Length (mm)	Transition Rate (% Fem)	Fishery Selectivity	Male wt (g)	Female wt (g)	Fecundity at length	Total N	Male N	Female N	Male Catch	Female Catch	Yield (g)	Egg Production
1	11.17	0	0.033	0.84	1.24	0	774	774	0	4	0	4	0
2	18.43	0	0.230	3.79	4.82	0	575	575	0	31	0	117	0
3	23.50	0.081	0.579	7.87	9.30	1,286	399	367	32	56	0	439	41,581
4	27.04	0.922	0.799	12.00	13.58	1,876	265	21	244	48	4	635	458,156
5	29.51	0.997	0.893	15.60	17.19	2,287	173	0	172	3	35	657	393,661
6	31.23	1.000	0.933	18.50	20.04	2,574	112	0	111	0	26	523	287,027
7	32.43	1.000	1.000	20.72	22.19	2,775	71	0	71	0	18	399	197,299
											total	2,773	1,377,725
											total/recruit	2.773	1,378
											% of max		57.52

Ref. Point	F	YPR	%EPR
F_{max}	0.77	4.25	14.77
$F_{0.1}$	0.46	3.99	29.83
$F_{example}$	0.20	2.77	57.52
$F_{50\%}$	0.25	3.14	50
$F_{40\%}$	0.34	3.62	40
$F_{30\%}$	0.45	3.97	30
$F_{20\%}$	0.63	4.21	20
$F_{10\%}$	0.95	4.21	10

	Count per pound	
Age	Male	Female
1	540	366
2	120	94
3	58	49
4	38	33
5	29	26
6	25	23
7	22	20



Figure 1. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp landings by season and state. MA landings are combined with NH landings in 2009 to preserve confidentiality.



Figure 2. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp landings by month in the 2010 season. Landings are in metric tons by state (above), and in millions of shrimp by development stage (below).



Figure 3. Relative length-frequency distributions from samples of Maine northern shrimp catches during the 2010 season by month, trawl catches on the left and trap catches on the right. Landings are preliminary.



Figure 4. Relative length-frequency distributions from samples of Massachusetts and New Hampshire northern shrimp catches during the 2010 season by month. Landings are preliminary.



Figure 5. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp landings in estimated numbers of shrimp, by length, development stage, and fishing season. Landings are preliminary throughout.



Figure 5 continued – Preliminary landings in estimated numbers of shrimp.



Figure 5 continued – Preliminary landings in estimated numbers of shrimp.



Figure 5 continued – Preliminary landings in estimated numbers of shrimp.



Figure 5 continued – Preliminary landings in estimated numbers of shrimp.



Figure 5 continued – Preliminary landings in estimated numbers of shrimp.



Figure 6. Nominal fishing effort (trawl trips) (above) and catch per unit effort (below), in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery by season. 2009 and 2010 data are preliminary.



Dot density symbols (red dots) were used to display pounds caught per Ten Minute Square (TMS). Each dot represents 950 lbs, the median value of pounds landed per trip across all years, therefore squares with more dots reported higher landings. Effort or number of trips per TMS are displayed in the background as the blue color palette.

Figure 7. Pounds caught and numbers of trips during the 2010 northern shrimp fishing season by 10-minute-square. Each red dot represents 950 lbs caught; locations of dots within squares are random and do not reflect the actual location of the catch. Number of trips is indicated by the blue palette for the squares. From preliminary federal harvester logbook (VTR) data; does not include Maine non-federally-permitted vessel trips and catches.



Figure 8a. Maine-New Hampshire inshore trawl survey depths and regions.



State/federal northern shrimp survey aboard the R/V *Gloria Michelle* July 11 - Aug 7 2010; statistical strata and survey sites with catches (kg/tow).

Figure 8b.



Northern shrimp survey strata and observed distribution of catch per tow (kg) of northern shrimp collected during the 2009 Fall Bottom Trawl Survey in the western Gulf of Maine region aboard the RV *Henry B. Bigelow*.

Figure 8c.



Figure 9. Fall trawl survey index (through 2008) and Gulf of Maine northern shrimp landings the following season.



Figure 10. Maine-New Hampshire inshore trawl survey northern shrimp biomass indices, spring above and fall below, with 80% confidence intervals. *2010 data are preliminary.



Figure 11. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp summer survey indices of abundance (left) and biomass (right), by survey year.



Figure 12. Gulf of Maine northern shrimp summer survey mean catch per tow by year, length, and development stage. Two-digit years are year class at assumed age 1.5.



Figure 12 continued – summer survey.



Figure 12 continued – summer survey.



Figure 12 continued – summer survey.



Figure 12 continued – summer survey.



Figure 13. Fishing mortality, abundance, and biomass of Gulf of Maine northern shrimp as estimated by CSA, with least squares estimates, bootstrapped medians (square symbols), and 80% confidence intervals.



Figure 13 continued – CSA estimates.

Input Data using Summer Survey			
	Indices of Abundance		Total
Survey Year*	Recuits	Full Recruits	Catch Millions*
1984	447.6	479.1	352.65
1985	611.5	913.6	363.25
1986	533.3	848.5	427.00
1987	482.9	766.9	228.43
1988	459.8	387.7	286.21
1989	701.1	817.9	444.01
1990	511.5	907.5	320.20
1991	374.3	612.1	262.33
1992	313.6	444.4	194.75
1993	410.2	320.8	272.74
1994	368.6	364.3	610.99
1995	485.8	653.3	798.27
1996	257.7	348.6	710.95
1997	257.3	267.1	373.43
1998	217.1	226.6	215.12
1999	137.4	174.6	209.28
2000	276.3	288.2	140.88
2001	171.8	196.4	44.44
2002	550.6	372.9	113.66
2003	222.9	229.9	198.34
2004	292.7	405.9	231.71
2005	1295.2	1231.7	192.22
2006	3878.3	4024.4	447.67
2007	323.2	421.0	487.16
2008	561.7	847.3	199.68
2009	514.5	722.4	466.73
2010	490.9	538.9	

* Survey Year data are applied to the following Fishing Year

Results			
Stock Size Estimates millions at time of Survey		Fishing Mortality	Total Mortality
Recruits	Full Recruits	All sizes	Z all sizes
756.7	703.2	0.32	0.57
784.7	828.6	0.29	0.54
602.1	938.7	0.37	0.62
463.7	827.0	0.22	0.47
718.5	805.1	0.24	0.49
762.6	935.9	0.35	0.60
501.0	934.8	0.29	0.54
367.8	838.0	0.28	0.53
330.2	709.5	0.24	0.49
517.4	639.1	0.31	0.56
907.1	662.2	0.57	0.82
915.8	690.7	0.80	1.05
581.7	559.9	1.16	1.41
466.7	277.9	0.82	1.07
337.5	256.6	0.52	0.77
250.5	275.3	0.59	0.84
304.4	227.5	0.35	0.60
233.2	291.1	0.10	0.35
489.9	369.4	0.16	0.41
363.3	569.5	0.27	0.52
723.6	552.9	0.23	0.48
1674.4	791.2	0.09	0.34
1393.9	1751.3	0.17	0.42
374.9	2056.9	0.26	0.51
552.0	1467.4	0.12	0.37
584.8	1397.3	0.31	0.6
678.2	1135.5		





Figure 14. Catch-Survey model (CSA) input data and results.



Figure 15. Estimates of fishing mortality (above) and stock biomass (below) for northern shrimp from Collie-Sissenwine analysis (CSA) and surplus production (ASPIC) modeling.



Figure 16. **Biomass dynamics of the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery, from surplus production (ASPIC) (above) and Collie-Sissenwine (CSA) (below) analyses, with possible fishing mortality and biomass reference points.**



Figure 17. Yield and egg production per recruit for Gulf of Maine northern shrimp.



Figure 18. Relationship between summer survey index of Gulf of Maine female northern shrimp biomass the summer before spawning to age 1.5 abundance two years later. Two-digit numbers indicate the assumed age 1.5 year class.



Figure 19. Sea surface (above) and sea bottom (below) temperatures in Boothbay Harbor, Maine.



Figure 20. Relationship between the mean weight of a shrimp in the commercial catch and the mean length of female shrimp in the previous summer survey (above), and the mean length of a female shrimp adjusted upward by the proportion of females in the survey and downward the abundance of assumed 2.5 year-old males (below). Red ? indicates survey index during 2010, and predicted size in 2011 fishery.

Appendix A

NATURAL MORTALITY

As mentioned above, natural mortality (M) was assumed to be 0.25, as approximated from the intercept of a regression of total mortality on effort (Rinaldo 1973, Shumway et al. 1985), as well as an estimate of Z for age-2+ shrimp from visual inspection of length modes from the Maine summer survey which was 0.17 from 1977 to 1978, when the fishery was closed (Clark 1981, 1982). These values, however, suggest, for the US GOM population as a whole, that M is low relative to estimates for other *Pandalus* stocks, which range from 0.2 to 1.0 (ICES 1977, Abramson 1980, Frechette and Labonte 1980, Shumway et al. 1985). Additionally, the value seems too low for a short-lived species.

The most recent SARC (NEFSC 2007) recommended further investigations into the possibility of higher values for M to be used to describe the status of the US northern shrimp resource. To date, the only work has been to view the implications as expressed in terms of CSA analyses. The SARC report includes preliminary work done to examine CSA estimates of biomass to estimates of biomass consumed by predators. These preliminary analyses indicate that CSA estimates of biomass are substantially less than the estimated biomass consumed by predators.

The current assessment model (CSA) was run under the assumptions of several levels of M (0.25, 0.40 and 0.60). The results are presented in Figure A1. When M is increased, the fishing mortality decreases. For this to occur, abundance and biomass increase as well. This process suggests better agreement between the CSA results and those of the predation studies. One problem, however, is that as M increases, F decreases to very small values. While this may be real, it becomes difficult for the current models to be able to fit these conditions. As a result, model fit, as described by confidence intervals and CV's indicate an increase in the analytical uncertainty. However, the response of the resource biomass to the resultant estimated fishing mortality for various levels of M indicated little change in terms of the current reference points.

It would be beneficial to continue investigations regarding this component of northern shrimp stock status.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Abramson, N. 1980. Current stock assessment panel. In Proceedings of the International Pandalid Shrimp Symposium. Univ. Alaska Sea Grant Rep. 81-3: 259-275.

Frechette, J. and S.S.M. Labonte. 1980. Biomass estimate, year-class abundance and mortality rates of Pandalus borealis in the northwest Gulf of St. Lawrence. In Proceedings of the International Pandalid Shrimp Symposium. Univ. Alaska Sea Grant Rep. 81-3: 307-330

ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea). 1977. Report of the working group on assessment of Pandalus borealis stocks. ICES C.M. K:10.

Link, J.S and J.S. Idoine. 2009. Estimates of predator consumption of the northern shrimp Pandalus borealis with implications for estimates of population biomass in the Gulf of Maine. *N. Am. J. of Fish. Man.* **29**:6, 1567-1583.

Rinaldo, R.G. 1973. Northern shrimp - assessment of some population parameters. Maine Dept. Marine Resources. Comm. Fish. Res. Dev. Proj. 3-189-R.

NEFSC. 2007. 45th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (45th SAW). 2007. 45th SAW assessment summary report. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 07-11; 37 p.

Shumway, S.E., H.C. Perkins, D.F. Schick, and A.P. Stickney. 1985. Synopsis of biological data on the pink shrimp Pandalus borealis Krøyer, 1838. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 30, 57p.



Figure A1. Biomass (above) and fishing mortality (below) of Gulf of Maine northern shrimp as estimated by CSA, assuming a natural mortality rate (M) of 0.25, 0.40, and 0.60.