

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission

1050 N. Highland Street • Suite 200A-N • Arlington, VA 22201 703.842.0740 • 703.842.0741 (fax) • www.asmfc.org

MEMORANDUM

January 13, 2017

To: Atlantic Striped Bass Management Board

From: Atlantic Striped Bass Technical Committee

RE: Percent Liberalization in Harvest (0.16 to 0.18) and Dataset Recommendation for

Conservation Equivalency Proposals

In October 2016, the Atlantic Striped Bass Board (Board) tasked the Technical Committee (TC) to 1) determine the percent liberalization in harvest that would increase fishing mortality (F) from the 2015 terminal year estimate of 0.16 to the FMP target F of 0.18, and 2) to recommend a preferred dataset using updated length-frequency data for states to use when preparing conservation equivalency proposals for recreational regulations. The following represents the work completed by the TC to address these two tasks.

Task 1

Methods:

The two projection scenarios examined were:

for those states), and the 2015 commercial discards estimate.

- 1. Project population starting in 2015 through 2017 using preliminary removals for 2016 and fishing mortality (F) of 0.18 (i.e., F target) in 2017. Estimate total removals in 2017.
- 2. Project population starting in 2015 through 2017 using constant F of 0.156 in 2015 and F of 0.18 in 2016 and 2017. Estimate total removals in 2016 and 2017.

For Scenario 1, error in F and starting abundances for 2015 was assumed. For Scenario 2, only error in starting abundances was assumed. Projections were made for the uncorrected and retrospective bias-corrected estimates of F and spawning stock biomass (SSB), and 10,000 runs were made for each scenario.

Results:

Preliminary 2016 removals are estimated at 3,557,510 fish¹ which is an 18% increase in removals from 2015 (3,017,358 fish). According to the projection model (Tables 1-2), the number of harvested fish that it would take to increase F from 0.156 in 2015 to 0.18 (target F)

¹ Preliminary removals for 2016 were estimated via the sum of the 2016 preliminary MRIP harvest and dead discards estimate (A+B1+9% of B2's; waves 2-5), the 2015 wave 6 harvest and dead releases estimate from the Mid-Atlantic (wave 6 for 2016 has not been released yet), the 2015 Virginia wave 1 harvest estimate, the preliminary 2016 commercial landings estimates (except 2015 commercial landings were substituted for New York and Virginia because final 2016 landings are expected to be significantly higher

in 2017 ranges from 303,800 fish (Scenario 2, without retrospective bias correction) to 341,186 fish (Scenario 1, with retrospective bias correction), a 10 - 11% increase in removals from 2015 (Table 3), but a reduction of approximately 6% from preliminary 2016 estimates of removals.

Discussion:

Although projections indicate harvest could increase in 2017 relative to 2015 numbers, all of the scenarios result in 2017 removals that are less than the preliminary 2016 removals.

According to the projections in Scenario 1, F increased to 0.19 in 2016 which is above the F target (0.18) indicating that current recreational and commercial regulations may result in an F of 0.18 or greater in 2016 and 2017. Also, the 2016 removals estimate for Scenario 1, although preliminary, is higher than that estimated via a constant F of 0.180 in Scenario 2. In other words, if the final 2016 removals estimate is lower than that used for Scenario 1, it is still likely that F will be estimated above the F target in 2016.

The TC also stresses that although the assessment is very good, it may not be able to distinguish between fishing mortality point estimates of 0.16 and 0.18. In other words, the upper and lower bounds of the confidence intervals for both F estimates would essentially overlap.

Table 1. Scenario 1; preliminary 2016 removals estimate. Results of 2016 fishery independent surveys are not accounted for in the 2016 and 2017 stock status projections (F and SSB). Removals are in number of fish. *median value

No Retrospective Bias-Correction								
Year	Removals	F	*Estimated	*SSB (mt)	Probability F is	Probability SSB is		
I Cai			Removals		above the threshold	below the threshold		
2015	3,017,358	0.156		58,886	0.021	0.411		
2016	3,557,510	0.194		58,754	0.175	0.407		
2017		0.180	3,329,752	58,677	0.058	0.417		
Retrospective Bias-Correction								
Year	Removals	F	*Estimated	*SSB (mt)	Probability F is	Probability SSB is		
			Removals		above the threshold	below the threshold		
2015	3,017,358	0.148		61,622	0.011	0.244		
2016	3,557,510	0.190		61,752	0.140	0.218		
2017		0.180	3,358,416	61,466	0.058	0.233		

Table 2. Scenario 2; constant F of 0.156 for 2015 and F of 0.18 for 2016 and 2017. Results of 2016 fishery independent surveys are not accounted for in the 2016 and 2017 stock status projections (F and SSB). Estimated removals are in number of fish. *median value

No Retrospective Bias-Correction							
Year	F	*Estimated	*SSB (mt)	Probability SSB is			
	•	Removals	33B (IIII)	below the threshold			
2015	0.148	3,017,230	58,847	0.417			
2016	0.180	3,270,465	57,902	0.481			
2017	0.180	3,321,030	58,478	0.436			
Retrospective Bias-Correction							
Year	F	*Estimated	*SSB (mt)	Probability SSB is			
		Removals	33B (IIII)	below the threshold			
2015	0.156	3,017,230	61,471	0.254			
2016	0.180	3,318,723	60,310	0.307			
2017	0.180	3,332,337	60,595	0.277			

Table 3. Percent liberalization in harvest that would increase fishing mortality (F) from the 2015 terminal year estimate of 0.16 to the FMP target F of 0.18. Removals are in number of fish. *model-based estimate. ^based on 2016 preliminary removals estimate; 3,557,510 fish (see footnote above).

Scenario	2015 Removals	2017* Removals	Change in Removals	Percent Change in Removals From 2015	Percent Change in Removals From 2016^	Retrospective Bias
1	3,017,358	3,329,752	312,394	+10%	-6.4%	No
		3,358,416	341,186	+11%	-5.6%	Yes
2	3,017,230*	3,321,030	303,800	+10%	-6.6%	No
		3,332,337	315,107	+10%	-6.3%	Yes

Task 2

In November 2014, the TC set criteria for the development of conservation equivalency (CE) proposals (M14-110). The TC acknowledges that 2011-2013 data are no longer appropriate for CE proposals due to the emergence of the 2011 year class in the catch data and the change in size-frequency of the current population. The TC discussed that a length-based projection model would be the best approach for states to use to address variability concerns, and is interested in pursuing the development of the model. However, until such a model is developed, the TC recommends states use the most recent three years of size-frequency data for preparing CE proposals unless a state can justify using less data. For example, the sample size from the most recent two years (or one year) may be sufficient. States should explicitly state its justification for using less than the most recent three years of data within the CE proposal.