ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION

REVIEW OF THE INTERSTATE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

FOR AMERICAN LOBSTER (Homarus americanus)

2018 FISHING YEAR



Prepared by the Plan Review Team
Approved by the American Lobster Management Board
April 2020



Sustainable and Cooperative Management of Atlantic Coastal Fisheries

Table of Contents

| 1.0 Status of the Fishery Management Plan | 2 |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.0 Status of the Fishery | 2 |
| 2.1 Commercial Fishery | 2 |
| 2.2 Recreational Fishery | 3 |
| 3.0 Status of the Stock | 3 |
| 4.0 Status of Management Measures | 4 |
| 4.1 Implemented Regulations | 4 |
| 4.2 On-Going Management Action | 8 |
| 5.0 Ongoing Trap Reductions | 8 |
| 6.0 Fishery Dependent Monitoring | 8 |
| 7.0 Status of Fishery Independent Monitoring | 9 |
| 7.1 Trawl Surveys | 9 |
| 7.2 Young of Year Index | 10 |
| 7.3 Ventless Trap Survey | 11 |
| 8.0 State Compliance | 12 |
| 9.0 De Minimis Requests | 12 |
| 10.0 Regulatory Changes | 12 |
| 11.0 Enforcement Concerns | 15 |
| 12.0 Research Recommendations | 15 |
| 13.0 Plan Review Team Recommendations | 16 |
| 14.0 Tables | 18 |
| 15.0 Figures | 23 |

2019 REVIEW OF THE ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR AMERICAN LOBSTER (Homarus americanus)

This document covers fishery activities in 2018 as well as trap reductions which took place ahead of the 2019 fishing year.

1.0 Status of the Fishery Management Plan

| Year of ASMFC Plan's Adoption: | Amendment 3 | (1997) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|

Plan Addenda:

Addendum II (2001) Addendum XIV (2009) Addendum III (2002) Addendum XV (2009) Addendum IV (2003) Addendum XVI (2010) Addendum V (2004) Addendum XVII (2012) Addendum VI (2005) Addendum XVIII (2012) Addendum VII (2005) Addendum XIX (2013) Addendum VIII (2006) Addendum XX (2013) Addendum IX (2006) Addendum XXI (2013) Addendum X (2007) Addendum XXII (2013) Addendum XI (2007) Addendum XXIII (2014) Addendum XII (2008) Addendum XXIV (2015) Addendum XIII (2008) Addendum XXVI (2018)

Management Unit: Maine through North Carolina

States with a Declared Interest: Maine through Virginia

(Excluding Pennsylvania and DC)

Active Committees: American Lobster Management Board,

Technical Committee, Lobster Conservation Management Teams, Plan Development Team, Plan Review Team, Advisory Panel, Electronic Reporting Subcommittee, Electronic Tracking Subcommittee, Stock

Assessment Subcommittee

2.0 Status of the Fishery

2.1 Commercial Fishery

The lobster fishery has seen incredible expansion in landings over the last 40 years. Between 1950 and 1975, landings were fairly stable around 30 million pounds; however, from 1976 – 2008 the average coastwide landings tripled, reaching 98 million pounds in 2006. Landings have continued to increase over the last decade, reaching a high of 159 million pounds in 2016 (Table 1). In 2018, coastwide commercial landings were 147.9 million pounds, a 4% decrease from 2017 landings of 154 million pounds. The largest contributors to the 2018 fishery were Maine and Massachusetts with 82% and 12% of landings, respectively. Landings, in descending order, also occurred in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Maryland,

Delaware, and Virginia. The ex-vessel value for all lobster landings in 2018 was approximately \$629.8 million.

Table 2 shows the break-down of commercial landings by Lobster Conservation Management Area (LCMA). Area 1 has historically had the highest landings and accounted for 80% of total harvest between 1981 and 2012. This is followed by LCMA 3 which accounted for 9% of total landings between 1981 and 2012. Yearly trends in Table 2 show that while landings have generally increased in LCMA 1, they have decreased in LCMA's 2, 4, and 6. Landings by LCMA are updated through each benchmark stock assessment.

Landings trends between the two biological stocks have also changed, as a greater percentage of lobster are harvested from the Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank (GOM/GBK) stock. In 1997, 26.3% of coastwide landings came from the Southern New England (SNE) stock. However, as the southern stock declined and abundance in the Gulf of Maine increased, this percentage has significantly changed. In 2000, only 15.6% of landings came from the SNE stock and by 2006, this declined to 7%. In 2018, approximately 1.8% of coastwide landings came from the SNE stock.

2.2 Recreational Fishery

Lobster is also taken recreationally with pots, and in some states, by hand while SCUBA diving. While not all states collect recreational harvest data, some do report the number of pounds landed recreationally and/or the number of recreational permits issued. In 2018, Massachusetts reported 191,838 pounds of lobster landed recreationally, representing 1.0% of state landings. New York reported 1,462 pounds of lobster harvested recreationally, representing 1.2% of state landings. New Hampshire reported 4,252 pounds of lobster harvested recreationally, representing 0.07% of total landings in the state. Rhode Island and Connecticut do not collect information on the number of pounds recreationally harvested but did issue 504 and 241 recreational lobster licenses, respectively; and Connecticut reported 2,335 lobsters caught recreationally in 2018.

3.0 Status of the Stock

The 2015 peer-reviewed stock assessment report indicated a mixed picture of the American lobster resource, with record high stock abundance throughout most of the GOM/GBK and record low abundance and recruitment in SNE (Table 3).

The assessment found the GOM/GBK stock is not overfished and not experiencing overfishing. GOM and GBK were previously assessed as separate stock units; however, due to evidence of seasonal migrations by egg-bearing females between the two stocks, the areas were combined into one biological unit. While model results show a dramatic overall increase in stock abundance in the GOM/GBK, recent young-of-year estimates have been below average. This could indicate a potential decline in recruitment and landings in the coming years.

Conversely, the assessment found the SNE stock is severely depleted and in need of protection. Recruitment indices show the stock has continued to decline and is in recruitment failure. The

inshore portion of the SNE stock is in particularly poor condition with surveys showing a contraction of the population. This decline is expected to impact the offshore portion of the stock, which is likely dependent on recruitment from inshore.

Both the Technical Committee and the Peer Review Panel highlighted the need for management action in SNE. Specifically, the Panel recommended close monitoring of the stock status along with implementing measures to protect the remaining lobster resource in order to promote stock rebuilding.

A benchmark stock assessment for lobster is currently underway. It is scheduled for peer review in August 2020, and Board approval in October 2020.

4.0 Status of Management Measures

4.1 Implemented Regulations

Amendment 3 established regulations which require coastwide and area specific measures applicable to commercial fishing (Table 4). The coastwide requirements from Amendment 3 are summarized below; additional requirements were established through subsequent Addenda.

Coastwide Requirements and Prohibited Actions

- Prohibition on possession of berried or scrubbed lobsters
- Prohibition on possession of lobster meats, detached tails, claws, or other parts of lobsters by fishermen
- Prohibition on spearing lobsters
- Prohibition on possession of v-notched female lobsters
- Requirement for biodegradable "ghost" panel for traps
- Minimum gauge size of 3-1/4"
- Limits on landings by fishermen using gear or methods other than traps to 100 lobsters per day or 500 lobsters per trip for trips 5 days or longer
- Requirements for permits and licensing
- All lobster traps must contain at least one escape vent with a minimum size of 1-15/16" by 5-3/4"
- Maximum trap size of 22,950 cubic inches in all areas except area 3, where traps may not exceed a volume of 30,100 cubic inches.

Amendment 3 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for American Lobster (December 1997)

American lobster is managed under Amendment 3 to the Interstate FMP for American Lobster. Amendment 3 establishes seven lobster management areas. These areas include the: Inshore Gulf of Maine (Area 1), Inshore Southern New England (Area 2), Offshore Waters (Area 3), Inshore Northern Mid-Atlantic (Area 4), Inshore Southern Mid-Atlantic (Area 5), New York and Connecticut State Waters (Area 6), and Outer Cape Cod (OCC). Lobster Conservation Management Teams (LCMTs) comprised of industry representatives were formed for each management area. The LCMTs are charged with advising the Lobster Board and recommending changes to the management plan within their areas.

Amendment 3 also provides the flexibility to respond to current conditions of the resource and fishery by making changes to the management program through addenda. The commercial fishery is primarily controlled through minimum/maximum size limits, trap limits, and vnotching of egg-bearing females.

Addendum I (August 1999)

Establishes trap limits in the seven lobster conservation management areas (LCMAs).

Addendum II (February 2001)

Establishes regulations for increasing egg production through a variety of LCMT proposed management measures including, but not limited to, increased minimum gauge sizes in Areas 2, 3, 4, 5, and the Outer Cape.

Addendum III (February 2002)

Revises management measures for all seven LCMAs in order to meet the revised egg-rebuilding schedule.

Technical Addendum 1 (August 2002)

Eradicates the vessel upgrade provision for Area 5.

Addendum IV (January 2004)

Changes vent size requirements; applies the most restrictive rule on an area trap cap basis without regard to the individual's allocation; establishes Area 3 sliding scale trap reduction plan and transferable trap program to increase active trap reductions by 10%; and establishes an effort control program and gauge increases for Area 2; and a desire to change the interpretation of the most restrictive rule.

Addendum V (March 2004)

Amends Addendum IV transferability program for LCMA 3. It establishes a trap cap of 2200 with a conservation tax of 50% when the purchaser owns 1800 to 2200 traps and 10% for all others.

Addendum VI (February 2005)

Replaces two effort control measures for Area 2 – permits an eligibility period.

Addendum VII (November 2005)

Revises Area 2 effort control plan to include capping traps fished at recent levels and maintaining 3 3/8" minimum size limit.

Addendum VIII (May 2006)

Establishes new biological reference points to determine the stock status of the American lobster resource (fishing mortality and abundance targets and thresholds for the three stock assessment areas) and enhances data collection requirements.

Addendum IX (October 2006)

Establishes a 10% conservation tax under the Area 2 trap transfer program.

Addendum X (February 2007)

Establishes a coastwide reporting and data collection program that includes dealer and harvester reporting, at-sea sampling, port sampling, and fishery-independent data collection replacing the requirements in Addendum VIII.

Addendum XI (May 2007)

Establishes measures to rebuild the SNE stock, including a 15-year rebuilding timeline (ending in 2022) with a provision to end overfishing immediately. The Addendum also establishes measures to discourage delayed implementation of required management measures.

Addendum XII (February 2009)

Addresses issues which arise when fishing privileges are transferred, either when whole businesses are transferred, when dual state/federal permits are split, or when individual trap allocations are transferred as part of a trap transferability program. In order to ensure the various LCMA-specific effort control plans remain cohesive and viable, this addendum does three things. First, it clarifies certain foundational principles present in the Commission's overall history-based trap allocation effort control plan. Second, it redefines the most restrictive rule. Third, it establishes management measures to ensure history-based trap allocation effort control plans in the various LCMAs are implemented without undermining resource conservation efforts of neighboring jurisdictions or LCMAs.

Addendum XIII (May 2008)

Solidifies the transfer program for OCC and stops the current trap reductions.

Addendum XIV (May 2009)

Alters two aspects of the LCMA 3 trap transfer program. It lowers the maximum trap cap to 2000 for an individual that transfers traps. It changes the conservation tax on full business sales to 10% and for partial trap transfers to 20%.

Addendum XV (November 2009)

Establishes a limited entry program and criteria for Federal waters of LCMA 1.

Addendum XVI: Reference Points (May 2010)

Establishes new biological reference points to determine the stock status of the American lobster resource (fishing mortality and abundance targets and thresholds for the three stock assessment areas). The addendum also modifies the procedures for adopting reference points to allow the Board to take action on advice following a peer reviewed assessment.

Addendum XVII (February 2012)

Institutes a 10% reduction in exploitation for LCMAs within Southern New England (2, 3, 4, 5, and 6). Regulations are LCMA specific but include v-notch programs, closed seasons, and size limit changes.

Addendum XVIII (August 2012)

Reduces traps allocations by 50% for LCMA 2 and 25% for LCMA 3.

Addendum XIX (February 2013)

Modifies the conservation tax for LCMA 3 to a single transfer tax of 10% for full or partial business sales.

Addendum XX (May 2013)

Prohibits lobstermen from setting or storing lobster traps in Closed Area II from November 1 to June 15 annually. Any gear set in this area during this time will be considered derelict gear. This addendum represents an agreement between the lobster industry and the groundfish sector.

Addendum XXI (August 2013)

Addresses changes in the transferability program for Areas 2 and 3. Specific measures include the transfer of multi-LCMA trap allocations and trap caps.

Addendum XXII (November 2013)

Implements Single Ownership and Aggregate Ownership caps in LCMA 3. Specifically, it allows LCMA 3 permit holders to purchase lobster traps above the cap of 2000 traps; however, these traps cannot be fished until approved by the permit holder's regulating agency or once trap reductions commence. The Aggregate Ownership Cap limits LCMA fishermen or companies from owning more traps than five times the Single Ownership Cap.

Addendum XXIII (August 2014)

Updates Amendment 3's habitat section to include information on the habitat requirements and tolerances of American lobster by life stage.

Addendum XXIV (May 2015)

Aligns state and federal measure for trap transfer in LCMA's 2, 3, and the Outer Cape Cod regarding the conservation tax when whole businesses are transferred, trap transfer increments, and restrictions on trap transfers among dual permit holders.

Addendum XXVI

Advances the collection of harvester and biological data in the lobster fishery by improving the spatial resolution of data collection, requiring harvesters to report additional data elements, and establishing a deadline that within five years, states are required to implement 100% harvester reporting. The Addendum also improves the biological sampling requirements by establishing a baseline of ten sampling trips per year, and encourages states with more than 10% of coastwide landings to conduct additional sampling trips.

4.2 On-Going Management Actions

In response to signs of reduced settlement in the GOM/GBK, the Board initiated Draft Addendum XXVII in August 2017 to increase resiliency through considering the standardization of management measures in the GOM/GBK stock. Due to the prioritization of actions in response to the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Team recommendations, development of this addendum stalled, but in October 2019 the Board agreed to continue development of the addendum once the ongoing stock assessment is completed.

As a result of final action on Addendum XXVI, the Board established an Electronic Tracking Subcommittee and an Electronic Reporting Subcommittee. Membership on the two Committees is comprised of state representatives, technical committee members, federal partners, industry members, ACCSP staff, and ASMFC staff. The purpose of the Electronic Tracking Subcommittee is to design and implement a one-year tracking pilot program in the fishery. In 2019, funding was provided to Maine and Massachusetts to implement a pilot program to test two types of tracking device which could be used in the lobster fishery. The Electronic Reporting Subcommittee was established to guide the development of electronic harvester reporting in the lobster fishery. This includes identifying data needs for an electronic harvester reporting form, evaluating various electronic reporting software, and recommending simple and logical solutions. To date, the Reporting Subcommittee has guided the specifications for data collection to ensure all required data elements from Addendum XXVI can be reported electronically beginning in 2021.

5.0 Ongoing Trap Reductions

Addendum XVIII established a series of trap reductions in LCMAs 2 and 3, with the intent of scaling the size of the SNE fishery to the size of the resource. Specifically, a 25% reduction in year 1 followed by a series of 5% reductions for five years was established in LCMA 2; a series of 5% reductions over five years was established in LCMA 3. The fourth year of reductions took place at the end of the 2018 fishing year and affect trap allocations in the 2019 fishery. Per Addendum XVIII, states with fishermen in Areas 2 and 3 are required to report on the degree of consolidation that has taken place. Trap reductions by jurisdiction ahead of the 2019 fishing year can be found in Table 5. It is important to note that trap reductions also occur as the result of trap transfers as, per Addendum XIX, there is a 10% conservation tax on trap allocation transfers between owners.

6.0 Fishery Dependent Monitoring

The provisions of Addendum XXVI did not impact fishery monitoring programs in 2018. As a result, language in Addendum X sets the standard for fishery monitoring. Addendum X requires states to conduct sufficient biological sampling to characterize commercial catch. Specifically, it requires states weigh sampling intensity by area and season to match the 3-year average of the area's seasonal commercial catch. This volume of sampling, however, well exceeds current state budgets for lobster biological sampling. Addendum X also requires states to conduct 100% mandatory dealer reporting and at least 10% reporting of active harvesters. Table 6 describes the level of reporting and monitoring programs by each state.

In 2018, all states except Connecticut completed fishery dependent monitoring through sea and/or port sampling trips in 2018; Connecticut noted budget constraints and the collapse of the fishery in Long Island Sound as reasons for not conducting sea sampling. Across the management unit, a total of 268 sea sampling trips, 24 port sampling trips, and 6 market sampling trips were performed, sampling a total of 284,172 lobsters. The number of trips and lobsters sampled by individual states are summarized in Table 7.

7.0 Status of Fishery Independent Monitoring

Addendum X also requires fishery independent data collection by requiring statistical areas be sampled through one of the following methods: annual trawl survey, ventless trap survey, or young-of-year survey. *De minimis* states are not required to conduct biological sampling of their lobster fishery.

7.1 Trawl Surveys

<u>Maine and New Hampshire:</u> The Maine-New Hampshire Inshore Trawl survey began in 2000 and covers approximately two-thirds of the inshore portion of Gulf of Maine. The spring portion of the survey completed 118 tows and sampled 21,816 lobsters. Spring survey abundance indices decreased from 2017 but are still well above the time series mean. The fall survey completed 96 tows and sampled 27,154 lobsters. Fall survey abundance indices increased from 2017 (Figure 2).

<u>Massachusetts:</u> The Division of Marine Fisheries conducts spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys in the territorial waters of Massachusetts. Only data collected from the autumn portion of the inshore trawl survey is used to calculate lobster relative abundance indices. In the GOM, relative abundance indices have generally increased over the last decade. In contrast, relative abundance indices in SNE remain low with the most recent values near or below the time series median (Figure 3).

Rhode Island: The RIDFW Trawl Survey program conducted seasonal surveys in the spring and fall, as well as a Monthly survey. In 2018, 44 trawls were conducted in both the spring and fall. 143 trawls were performed as part of the Monthly program. Spring 2018 mean CPUEs were 0.02 and 0.25 for legal and sub-legal lobsters, respectively. Fall 2018 CPUE decreased slightly from 2017 to 0.09 for legal lobsters and increased to 2.02 for sub-legal lobsters. The 2018 mean Monthly trawl CPUEs were slightly higher than 2017 at 0.11 and 1.41 per tow for legal and sublegal lobsters, respectively. All abundances were low for the time series (Figure 4).

Connecticut and New York: Juvenile and adult abundance are monitored through the Long Island Sound Trawl Survey during the spring (April, May, June) and the fall (September, October) cruises. The spring 2018 lobster abundance index (geometric mean = 0.09 lobster/tow) was the second lowest in the time series and similar to the 2013-2017 indices (0.44, 0.45, 0.31, 0.33, 0.08 respectively). The fall 2018 index (0.01) ranked lowest in the time series, joining all indices since 2005 as collectively the lowest in the 34-year time series. (Figure 5).

<u>New Jersey:</u> An independent Ocean Trawl Survey is conducted from Sandy Hook, NJ to Cape May, NJ each year. The survey stratifies sampling in three depth gradients, inshore (18'-30'), mid-shore (30'-60'), offshore (60'-90'). The mean CPUE, which is calculated as the sum of the mean number of lobsters per size class collected in each sampling area weighted by the stratum area, increased from 2017 to 2018 for all size classes grouped and legal sizes, but decreased for sublegal sizes (Figure 6).

New York: In 2018, New York initiated a stratified random trawl survey in the near shore ocean waters off the south shore of Long Island from the Rockaways to Montauk Point and the New York waters of Block Island Sound. Sampling was conducted five times a year during the winter (February), spring (May, June), summer (August), and fall (December). Twenty-five to 30 stations were sampled each trip. Thirteen lobsters were caught during the 2018 survey.

7.2 Young of Year Index

Several states conduct young-of-year (YOY) surveys to detect trends in abundance of newly-settled and juvenile lobster populations. These surveys attempt to provide an accurate picture of the spatial pattern of lobster settlement. States hope to track juvenile populations and generate predictive models of future landings.

<u>Maine:</u> There are currently 40 fixed stations along the Maine coast. Of these 40 stations 38 have been sampled consistently since 2001 with two additional sites added to zone D in 2005. YOY survey indices in 2018 continue to be below the series average for each region (Figure 7).

<u>New Hampshire</u>: New Hampshire Fish and Game conducted a portion of the coastwide American Lobster Settlement Index (ALSI). In 2018, a total of 29 juvenile lobsters were sampled from three sites, 22 of which were deemed older juveniles 2 of which were YOY, and five one-year-olds (Y+) were observed. Figure 8 depicts the CPUE of lobsters for all NH sites combined, from 2008 through 2018. For each of these four indices, CPUE shows a general upward trend to a time series high in 2011, with sustained low levels from 2012 through 2018.

Massachusetts: Annual sampling for early benthic phase/juvenile (EBP) lobsters was conducted from August to September in 2017. Sampling was completed at 21 sites spanning 7 regions in Massachusetts coastal waters. Data for all sites were used to generate annual density estimates of EBP lobster and other decapod crustaceans. In 2018, densities of YOY lobsters remained low compared to the time series average in all sampling locations except Cape Ann (Figure 9). In LCMA 1, there were no YOY lobsters found in the Boston sampling locations while in LCMA 2 there were no YOY lobsters found in Buzzards Bay or Vineyard Sound sampling locations.

<u>Rhode Island:</u> For 2018, the YOY Settlement Survey was conducted using suction sampling at a total of six fixed stations with twelve randomly selected 0.5 m² quadrats sampled at each survey station. Average site abundance of lobster at sampling sites has generally declined since the mid-1990's (Figure 10). The 2018 YOY settlement survey index showed no change from the 2018 level of 0.03 YOY lobster/m².

<u>Connecticut</u>: The CT DEEP Larval Lobster Survey in western Long Island Sound was discontinued in 2013. Alternative monitoring data are available for the eastern Sound from the Millstone Power Station entrainment estimates of all stages of lobster larvae. Both programs show a protracted decline in recruitment following the 1999 die-off (Figure 11).

7.3 Ventless Trap Survey

To address a need for a reliable index of lobster recruitment, a cooperative random stratified ventless trap survey was designed to generate accurate estimates of the spatial distribution of lobster length frequency and relative abundance while attempting to limit the biases identified in conventional fishery dependent surveys.

<u>Maine</u>: The Maine Ventless Trap Survey changed strategies in 2015 to cover more area by eliminating the vented traps at each site. This change allowed the survey to double the number of sites with ventless traps and increase the sampling coverage spatially to 276 sites. Traps were set during the months of June, July, and August. The stratified mean was calculated for each area using depth and statistical area. Compared to the previous year, in 2018 there were slight increases in the number of sublegal and legal-sized lobsters caught in area 511, and sublegal lobsters caught in area 513. There were slight decreases in legal-sized lobsters caught in area 513 and all lobsters in area 512 (Figure 12).

<u>New Hampshire:</u> Since 2009, NHF&G has been conducting the coastwide Random Stratified Ventless Trap Survey in state waters (statistical area 513). A total of six sites were surveyed twice a month from June through September in 2018. Catch per unit effort (stratified mean catch per trap haul) from 2009 through 2018 is presented in Figure 13. The highest catch value of the time series was recorded in 2018.

Massachusetts: The coast-wide ventless trap survey was initiated in 2006 and expanded in 2007 with the intention of establishing a standardized fishery-independent survey designed specifically to monitor lobster relative abundance and distribution. The survey was not conducted in 2013 due to a lack of funding; however, starting in 2014 the survey has been funded with lobster license revenues and will continue as a long-term survey. Relative abundance of sub-legal (< 83 mm CL) and legal-sized (≥ 83 mm CL) lobsters for Area 514 (part of LCMA 1) is shown in Figure 14 as the stratified mean CPUE. The mean CPUE of sub-legal lobsters in 2018 was similar to 2017 and comparable to the time series average. The stratified mean catch per trap of legal-sized lobsters in 2018 was above the time series average.

Figure 15 shows the time series of relative abundance (stratified mean CPUE) for sub-legal (<86 mm CL) and legal-sized (≥ 86 mm CL) lobsters in the southern MA region (Area 538; part of LCMA 2). Due to increasing expense, difficulty getting participating captains, and logistical issues, MA DMF ceased sampling the expanded survey area of SNE (expanded into Federal waters and northern Area 537) in 2018. Survey results reported for the MA SNE survey include only data generated within the original survey area (state waters portion of Area 538) for the

entire time series. In 2018, mean CPUEs of the sublegal and legal-sized lobsters in the original area were below the time series averages; compared to 2017, there was a decrease in the mean CPUE of the sublegal lobsters, and negligible increase in that of legal-sized lobsters (Figure 15).

Rhode Island: In 2018, the Ventless Trap Survey was conducted during the months of June-August over 18 sampling sites. A total of 2,855 lobsters were collected from 839 traps. All sampling was conducted in LCMA 2, NMFS Statistical Area 539. The CPUE of sub-legal and legal lobsters increased from 2017 to 2018. The stratified means of catch-per-ventless trap on a six-pot trawl (three ventless, three vented) were 0.34 and 5.67 per ventless trap for legal and sublegal lobsters, respectively (Figure 16).

<u>Delaware:</u> A pilot study was initiated in 2018 to assess the population structure of structure-oriented fish in the lower Delaware Bay and nearshore Atlantic Ocean. Sampling was conducted with commercial sized ventless fish pots, from May to December. The survey encountered 5 American Lobsters in lower Delaware Bay and 600 American Lobsters in the nearshore Atlantic Ocean with a ratio of 50% males, 40% female and 10% egg laden. The sampled Atlantic Ocean American Lobsters ranged in length from 52 mm to 140 mm (Figure 17).

8.0 State Compliance

States are currently in compliance with all required biological management measures under Amendment 3 and Addendum I-XXIV; however, the PRT notes that Connecticut did not conduct any sea sampling in 2018 or 2017, as specified in Addendum X. The state noted staffing and budget constraints as contributors to the lack of sampling.

9.0 De Minimis Requests

The states of Virginia, Maryland, and Delaware have requested *de minimis* status. According to Addendum I, states may qualify for *de minimis* status if their commercial landings in the two most recent years for which data are available do not exceed an average of 40,000 pounds. Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia meet the *de minimis* requirement.

10.0 Regulatory Changes

Maine:

• Maine DMR adopted regulations to limit the number of lobster traps on a trawl to a maximum of five within the waters of Zone B from the six-mile line to the 25675 line. The rule became effective on October 1, 2018, to allow fishermen to modify their gear in compliance with the rule. While the rule is reasonable, there remained a lack of consensus amongst both Zone B fishermen, as well as fishermen from other Zones who also fish the area. Therefore, a sunset of December 31, 2019 was added to the regulation to determine if the rule should be further modified based on any compromise achieved or continued in its current form beyond the date of the sunset.

- Maine DMR adopted regulations to provide minimum (2.7 oz.) and maximum (14 oz.) weights for legal lobster tails in the shell for sale in Maine. Previously, the only measurement provided was the legal length of tail meat that had been removed from the shell. Providing a weight for tails in the shell ensures that all retail and wholesale establishments are buying and selling only tails that are from lobsters that are of legal size in Maine.
- Initiated by the Lobster Zone A Council, Maine DMR adopted regulations which eliminates the Hancock County Trawl Limit area in Zone A. This eliminates the three traps on a trawl maximum in Zone A and allows license holders to fish longer trawls (more traps on a trawl).
- Statutory changes to amend Maine's Marine Resources laws regarding certain license
 fees and surcharges that were amended by recently enacted legislation. This
 amendment reduces the Class I lobster and crab fishing license fee for applicants under
 18 years of age from \$65 to \$60; clarifies lobster license surcharge fees to avoid
 conflicts; and fixes an error regarding resident apprentice licenses for applicants 18
 years of age or older.
- Statutory changes to increase flexibility in the temporary medical allowance for lobster and crab fishing license holders. This law provides that the Commissioner of Marine Resources may renew an existing temporary medical allowance for lobster and crab fishing license holders for up to one year upon application of the holder of the license upon which the allowance is based. Upon renewal, the temporary medical allowance may not exceed a total of two consecutive years.
- Statutory changes to continue the Maine Lobster Marketing Collaborative (MLMC). This law allowed the MLMC to get reauthorized and continue through October 1, 2021 keeping the same surcharges in place since 2016. This law also established an executive committee of the Maine Lobster Marketing Collaborative to take certain actions on behalf of the Collaborative and specified which actions are prohibited. The Department was directed to investigate whether the surcharges assessed to lobster dealers may be amended to reflect the amount of lobster bought, sold, shipped or transported, particularly for the small-scale dealers. After investigating the dealer surcharges and trying to implement a system to reflect the scale of the different operations, no ideas were presented that would address the variety of circumstances and ultimately, no changes were made to the fee structure.

Massachusetts

 MA enacted emergency regulations to extend protections to right whales under 322 CMR 12.00. Upon determination that whales had left the area, the emergency regulation was subsequently rescinded.

New York

 New York adopted Emergency Rules on May 16, 2018 to repeal the most restrictive rule for closed seasons. It is expected that the final rule will be adopted before September 8, 2018 to permanently repeal the closed season most restrictive rule before the start of the LMA 6 closed season. The ASMFC American Lobster Board repealed the most restrictive rule for closed seasons at the 2017 annual Board meeting.

New Jersey

- A vessel shall not land lobster harvested by a lobster pot unless such vessel is in the possession of a valid New Jersey Lobster Pot Permit issued in the name of the vessel and owner. There are five different types of lobster pot permits (Types A, B, C, E, and F) which determine a lobster pot fisherman's pot allocation, areas where these pots may be fished (i.e. Lobster Management Areas), reporting requirements, and any applicable minimum and maximum size limits. The Lobster Pot Permit application period is closed and permit Types A, B, C, E and F are no longer available. There is no longer a lobster pot permit Type D, which had been required for recreational fishing. There is still the allowance for the recreational harvesting of lobsters (Please see the Division's Marine Digest or call 609-748-2020 for more information on the recreational lobster regulations). The operator of a vessel participating in the commercial lobster pot fishery must sell all lobster to a federally-permitted lobster dealer and have individual pot tags affixed to all pots. Permitted vessels have a limited pot allocation established by the NMFS for those that possess a Federal Limited Access American Lobster Permit or by the Department for those that possess a New Jersey Lobster Pot Permit for State waters only. The New Jersey Lobster Pot Permit provides for transfer limitations. Lobster permit transfer limitations for vessel upgrade in length and horsepower have been eliminated. A license to fish lobster pots will be required only if pots are fished in State waters.
- American lobsters taken by otter trawl or fish pot are limited to 100 lobsters per day up
 to a maximum of 500 lobsters per five days or longer trip. Lobsters taken by any other
 gear or method other than pot, trap, or otter trawl are limited to a daily possession limit
 of 6 lobsters per person. The possession at sea or the landing of lobster parts or any
 whole lobster damaged to the extent that its carapace length cannot be determined is
 prohibited.
- No person authorized to fish in LMA 4 shall take, attempt to take, land, or sell lobster from LMA 4 during the closed season of April 30 through May 31, inclusive. No dealer shall accept lobsters from LMA 4 during the closed season. During the closed season all lobster traps must be removed from federal waters. License holders fishing in state waters during the closed season may continue to deploy their gear for the harvesting of other species provided that the gear is tended at least every 30 days. Unbaited lobster traps may be set one week prior to the season reopening.
- A person authorized to fish in LMA 5 must apply a v-shaped notch, at least ¼ inch deep, in the base of the tail flipper of each egg-bearing female lobster prior to discarding. Also, no person authorized to fish in LMA5 shall take, attempt to take, land, or sell lobster from these LMA's during the closed season of February 1 through March 31, inclusive. No dealer shall accept lobsters from LMA 5 during the closed season. During the closed season all lobster traps must be removed from federal waters. License holders fishing in state waters during the closed season may continue to deploy their gear for the harvesting of other species provided that the gear is tended at least every 30 days. Unbaited lobster traps may be set one week prior to the season reopening.

• The taking or possession of any female lobsters with eggs attached or from which eggs have been removed is prohibited. The taking or possession of female lobsters with a vnotched tail is prohibited. A v-shaped notch is defined as a straight-sided triangular cut with or without setal hairs, at least one-eighth inch in depth and tapering to a sharp point as viewed from the rear of the female lobster. The use of any spear, gig, gaff, or other penetrating device is prohibited.

11.0 Enforcement Concerns

Maine:

Maine's Marine Patrol hauled and inspected several thousand lobster traps in 2018.
 Trap gear is inspected for compliance with both tagging and configuration requirements.
 Officers conducted several investigations with GPS tracking units deployed on suspect vessels. No lobster trap limit violations were detected during the 2018 season. Patrol initiated two administrative license suspension processes in 2018 and both were for illegal possession of undersized lobsters.

Massachusetts

One MA coastal lobster permit (LCMA 1) was revoked for 2018 infractions.

Rhode Island

• A remaining difficulty enforcement faces with lobster regulations is determining whether lobsters caught truly came from a lobster trap with an associated lobster trap allocation (LTA), or a trap targeting a different fishery (e.g. Rock crab, Black sea bass) without an LTA but operated by an individual or company with LTAs. In 2016, RIDEM DMF proposed that rock crab (*Cancer irroratus*) be included under the new management to help enforce lobster harvesting by removing gear that could catch lobsters outside the LTA program, while also reducing the number of lines in the water for marine mammal protection. Given public comment, industry did not support this because much of the rock crab fishery does not hold a LTA and could not prove history records for qualification.

New York

• There were minor enforcement issues in 2018, such as a few cases of gear left in the water during the closed season and improperly marked traps.

12.0 Research Recommendations

The following research recommendations are from the 2015 Stock Assessment and were compiled by the Lobster TC and Stock Assessment Subcommittee.

• **Ventless Trap Survey**- Calibration work is needed to determine how catch in ventless trap surveys relates to catch in the bottom trawl surveys. It is likely that at low densities, when trawl survey indices have dropped to near zero, ventless trap surveys will still catch lobsters due to the attractive nature of the gear and the ability to fish the gear over all habitat types.

Conversely, it is possible that trawl surveys may be able to detect very high levels of lobster abundance, if trap saturation limits the capacity of the ventless traps. Ventless traps may be limited in their ability to differentiate between moderately high and extremely high abundance, and calibration with bottom trawl surveys may help to clarify how catchability might change with changes in lobster density.

- Maturation and Growth Increases in water temperatures over the past several decades
 have likely resulted in changes to size at maturity and growth patterns. Maturity data
 currently used are more than 20 years old. Changes in size at maturity will subsequently
 affect growth, since female molting frequency decreases after reaching sexual maturity. It is
 critical to collect updated information on maturity and growth in order to appropriately
 assign molt probabilities to lobsters.
- Stock Connectivity There is need for a comprehensive large scale tagging study to examine stock connectivity between the GOM and GBK. Historical tagging studies demonstrate movement from the inshore GOM to locations east of Cape Cod in the inshore portions of GBK, and from inshore areas east of Cape Cod to inshore GOM. What is lacking is a tagging study of lobsters in the fall/winter on GBK proper, prior to seasonal migrations which occur in the spring. This information would be extremely valuable to help complement other data used to justify the combination of the GOM and GBK stock and to confirm the connectivity of the GOM and GBK.
- Temperature Given the importance of temperature in the life history of lobster, techniques should be developed to incorporate environmental data into population modeling.
- **Post-Larval Settlement** There is a need to examine post-larval settlement dynamics in relation to the movement and re-distribution of spawning stock. Habitat suitability models for spawning stock and settling post-larvae should be developed.
- Natural Mortality Methods should be explored to determine age or length-varying
 natural mortality, as well as looking at more rigorous ways of determining time-varying
 natural mortality for lobster. These may be driven by climactic shifts and changing predator
 fields.
- Shell Disease With the high prevalence of shell disease in the SNE stock, particularly in
 ovigerous females, some exploration of the potential sub-lethal effects of disease should be
 examined. These effects could include negative impacts to larval quality, fecundity issues in
 females who need to re-direct physiological resources to dealing with the disease, and male
 sperm quality

13.0 Plan Review Team Recommendations

The following are issues the Plan Review Team would like to raise to the Board as well as general recommendations:

- The PRT recommends the Board approve the de minimis requests of DE, MD, and VA.
- The PRT notes that Virginia had not implemented the required minimum vent sizes for lobster traps in 2018. Virginia has already corrected their regulations and implemented this measure in March 2020.

- Previously, the PRT recommended the Board work toward developing potential standard protocols to evaluate sources and associated risks of Lobster/Jonah crab bait. This has been elevated to a Commission-wide effort to address bait issues across the Commission's FMPs.
- The PRT recommends the Board consider reviewing the monitoring requirements in SNE given the status of the stock and the difficulty obtaining sea sampling trips in a fishery with reduced effort. The TC has discussed the need for additional sampling trips in federal waters as the fishery has shifted offshore.
- The PRT recommends the Board continue to make strides to improve the quantification of effort in the lobster fishery. Through Amendment 3 and subsequent addenda, the Board has largely managed effort in the lobster fishery through trap allocations. However, the effectiveness of trap allocations to reduce effort is confounded by their ambiguous relationship to trap hauls and the expansion of the Jonah crab fishery. Monitoring the true level of effort in the lobster fishery through trip-level reporting, number of permits, trap allocations, and trap hauls will provide the Board with much needed information regarding fishery trends, particularly as stock conditions change in the GOM/GBK and SNE.
- The PRT recommends research continue on lobster growth, maturity, and connectivity. Given the increase in water temperature over the last several decades, the TC believes it is likely that there have been changes to size at maturity and growth patterns which are not captured in the current data.
- The PRT recommends continued research to understand settlement and larval dynamics.
- The PRT recommends coastwide consideration be given to the transfer of tags between traps to eliminate the issuance of exchange tags (similar to current Maine regulations).
- The PRT recommends the TC discuss the best way to present state index information in the annual compliance reports to provide more detailed resolution in size composition of the stock.
- The PRT recommends the Board engage with the Committee on Economic and Social Sciences (CESS) to consider available socioeconomic data to develop metrics that could be used to characterize changes in the fishery.

14.0 Tables

Table 1. Landings (in pounds) of American Lobster by the states of Maine through Virginia. Source: ACCSP Data Warehouse for 1981-2017 landings; state compliance reports for 2018 landings. *C= confidential data*.

| | ME | NH | MA | RI | СТ | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | Total |
|------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1981 | 22,631,614 | 793,400 | 11,420,638 | 1,871,067 | 807,911 | 890,218 | 593,801 | 55,700 | 63,108 | 2,173 | 39,129,630 |
| 1982 | 22,730,253 | 807,400 | 11,265,840 | 3,173,650 | 880,636 | 1,121,644 | 846,215 | 90,700 | 64,788 | 4,713 | 40,985,839 |
| 1983 | 21,976,555 | 1,310,560 | 12,867,378 | 5,114,486 | 1,654,163 | 1,207,442 | 769,913 | 56,700 | 76,192 | 20,619 | 45,054,008 |
| 1984 | 19,545,682 | 1,570,724 | 12,446,198 | 5,259,821 | 1,796,794 | 1,308,023 | 927,474 | 103,800 | 98,876 | 37,479 | 43,094,871 |
| 1985 | 20,125,177 | 1,193,881 | 13,702,702 | 5,140,131 | 1,381,029 | 1,240,928 | 1,079,723 | 118,500 | 82,295 | 42,881 | 44,107,247 |
| 1986 | 19,704,317 | 941,100 | 12,496,125 | 5,667,940 | 1,253,687 | 1,416,929 | 1,123,008 | 109,000 | 57,593 | 93,105 | 42,862,804 |
| 1987 | 19,747,766 | 1,256,170 | 12,856,301 | 5,317,302 | 1,571,811 | 1,146,613 | 1,397,138 | 84,100 | 49,820 | 60,241 | 43,487,262 |
| 1988 | 21,739,067 | 1,118,900 | 12,977,313 | 4,758,990 | 1,923,283 | 1,779,908 | 1,557,222 | 66,200 | 22,966 | 53,696 | 45,997,545 |
| 1989 | 23,368,719 | 1,430,347 | 15,645,964 | 5,786,810 | 2,076,851 | 2,344,932 | 2,059,800 | 76,500 | 17,502 | 45,107 | 52,852,532 |
| 1990 | 28,068,238 | 1,658,200 | 16,572,172 | 7,258,175 | 2,645,951 | 3,431,111 | 2,198,867 | 68,300 | 24,941 | 58,260 | 61,984,215 |
| 1991 | 30,788,646 | 1,802,035 | 15,998,463 | 7,445,172 | 2,673,674 | 3,128,246 | 1,673,031 | 54,700 | 26,445 | 7,914 | 63,598,326 |
| 1992 | 26,830,448 | 1,529,292 | 14,969,350 | 6,763,087 | 2,534,161 | 2,651,067 | 1,213,255 | 21,000 | 27,279 | 753 | 56,539,692 |
| 1993 | 29,926,464 | 1,693,347 | 14,350,595 | 6,228,470 | 2,177,022 | 2,667,107 | 906,498 | 24,000 | 46,650 | 2,940 | 58,023,093 |
| 1994 | 38,948,867 | 1,650,751 | 16,176,551 | 6,474,399 | 2,146,339 | 3,954,634 | 581,396 | 8,400 | 7,992 | 460 | 69,949,789 |
| 1995 | 37,208,324 | 1,834,794 | 15,903,241 | 5,362,084 | 2,541,140 | 6,653,780 | 606,011 | 25,100 | 26,955 | 5,210 | 70,166,639 |
| 1996 | 36,083,443 | 1,632,829 | 15,312,826 | 5,295,797 | 2,888,683 | 9,408,519 | 640,198 | С | С | С | 71,311,517 |
| 1997 | 47,023,271 | 1,414,133 | 15,010,532 | 5,798,529 | 3,468,051 | 8,878,395 | 858,426 | С | С | С | 82,487,785 |
| 1998 | 47,036,836 | 1,194,653 | 13,167,803 | 5,617,873 | 3,715,310 | 7,896,803 | 721,811 | 1,359 | 19,266 | 1,306 | 79,373,020 |
| 1999 | 53,494,418 | 1,380,360 | 15,875,031 | 8,155,947 | 2,595,764 | 6,452,472 | 931,064 | С | С | С | 88,933,926 |
| 2000 | 57,215,406 | 1,709,746 | 14,988,031 | 6,907,504 | 1,393,565 | 2,883,468 | 891,183 | С | С | С | 86,051,319 |
| 2001 | 48,617,693 | 2,027,725 | 11,976,487 | 4,452,358 | 1,329,707 | 2,052,741 | 579,753 | С | С | С | 71,067,578 |
| 2002 | 63,625,745 | 2,029,887 | 13,437,109 | 3,835,050 | 1,067,121 | 1,440,483 | 264,425 | С | С | С | 85,720,309 |
| 2003 | 54,970,948 | 1,958,817 | 11,321,324 | 3,561,391 | С | 946,449 | 209,956 | С | 22,778 | С | 72,991,663 |
| 2004 | 71,574,344 | 2,851,262 | 11,675,852 | 3,059,319 | 646,994 | 996,109 | 370,536 | 13,322 | 14,931 | 27,039 | 91,229,708 |
| 2005 | 68,729,623 | С | 11,291,145 | 3,174,852 | 713,901 | 1,154,470 | 369,003 | С | 39,173 | С | 85,494,155 |
| 2006 | 75,419,802 | 2,364,495 | 12,077,140 | 4,918,500 | 1,599,029 | 2,207,953 | 294,906 | С | С | С | 98,904,421 |
| 2007 | 63,987,073 | 2,468,811 | 10,046,120 | 2,299,744 | 568,696 | 911,761 | 334,097 | С | С | С | 80,643,106 |
| 2008 | 69,908,847 | 2,568,088 | 10,606,534 | 2,782,000 | 427,168 | 712,075 | 304,479 | С | С | С | 87,342,122 |
| 2009 | 81,124,201 | 2,986,981 | 11,789,536 | 2,842,088 | 412,468 | 731,811 | С | С | 30,988 | С | 99,945,609 |
| 2010 | 96,244,299 | 3,648,004 | 12,772,159 | 2,928,688 | 441,622 | 813,513 | 692,825 | С | С | С | 117,587,444 |
| 2011 | 104,954,051 | 3,919,195 | 13,385,393 | 2,754,067 | 198,928 | 344,232 | 697,739 | 8,879 | 41,077 | 12,879 | 126,316,440 |
| 2012 | 127,461,553 | 4,229,227 | 14,486,344 | 2,706,384 | 247,857 | 550,441 | 919,351 | С | С | С | 150,677,793 |
| 2013 | 128,015,530 | 3,817,707 | 15,158,509 | 2,155,762 | 127,420 | 496,535 | 660,367 | С | С | С | 150,503,413 |
| 2014 | 124,952,430 | 4,374,656 | 15,322,852 | 2,412,875 | 127,409 | 222,843 | 526,368 | 26,330 | 57,414 | 11,099 | 148,034,276 |
| 2015 | 122,685,834 | 4,721,826 | 16,450,414 | 2,315,708 | 205,099 | 147,414 | 445,060 | 22,894 | 29,284 | 9,474 | 147,033,007 |
| 2016 | 132,661,463 | 5,782,056 | 17,787,436 | 2,260,335 | 254,346 | 218,846 | 349,880 | С | С | С | 159,346,469 |
| 2017 | 111,928,249 | 5,513,999 | 16,495,767 | 2,031,143 | 130,015 | 150,317 | 409,062 | 32,364 | 29,136 | 1,630 | 136,721,680 |
| 2018 | 120,998,839 | 6,082,881 | 18,259,160 | 1,907,860 | 77,729 | 122,432 | 439,324 | С | С | С | 147,930,111 |

Table 2. Estimated lobster landings (in pounds) by lobster conservation management area (LCMA)*. Source: ASMFC Lobster Data Warehouse. This table is only updated in years when stock assessment reports are conducted.

| Voor | LCMA 1 | LCMA 2 | LCMA 3 | LCMA 4 | LCMA 5 | Area (LCMA)* | LCMA OCC | Grand Total |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 1981 | 32,369,320 | 527,284 | 4,321,500 | 441,478 | 115,653 | 1,220,159 | 134,327 | 39,129,721 |
| 1982 | 32,123,750 | 1,656,479 | 4,961,680 | 622,674 | 99,093 | 1,359,058 | 163,105 | 40,985,839 |
| 1983 | 32,826,685 | 2,958,366 | 5,645,179 | 633,254 | 71,804 | 2,428,633 | 198,448 | 44,762,369 |
| 1984 | 29,862,411 | 2,978,985 | 6,409,741 | 795,180 | 135,652 | 2,704,070 | 208,832 | 43,094,871 |
| 1985 | 31,590,759 | 2,992,330 | 5,853,851 | 964,043 | 170,998 | 2,273,337 | 261,929 | 44,107,247 |
| 1986 | 30,080,507 | 3,081,903 | 5,829,275 | 1,084,282 | 125,969 | 2,362,128 | 298,747 | 42,862,811 |
| 1987 | 30,682,754 | 3,219,900 | 5,357,273 | 1,473,841 | 98,486 | 2,378,765 | 276,250 | 43,487,269 |
| 1988 | 32,362,492 | 3,259,336 | 5,132,943 | 1,666,439 | 85,142 | 3,195,208 | 295,985 | 45,997,545 |
| 1989 | 36,800,166 | 4,175,114 | 5,450,786 | 2,232,935 | 106,126 | 3,735,250 | 352,155 | 52,852,532 |
| 1990 | 41,720,481 | 4,374,062 | 8,783,629 | 2,431,198 | 237,410 | 4,250,654 | 581,447 | 62,378,881 |
| 1991 | 43,648,773 | 4,140,145 | 8,537,053 | 2,096,138 | 115,020 | 4,393,986 | 740,267 | 63,671,382 |
| 1992 | 39,055,380 | 3,795,367 | 7,124,248 | 1,448,866 | 77,854 | 4,362,551 | 738,026 | 56,602,292 |
| 1993 | 40,962,969 | 3,772,494 | 6,773,992 | 1,597,447 | 89,495 | 3,968,663 | 938,486 | 58,103,546 |
| 1994 | 51,597,880 | 5,602,507 | 5,684,252 | 554,367 | 26,013 | 5,738,398 | 848,181 | 70,051,598 |
| 1995 | 49,771,715 | 4,960,453 | 5,008,551 | 962,077 | 45,054 | 8,564,325 | 1,000,609 | 70,312,784 |
| 1996 | 47,992,628 | 4,880,328 | 4,896,782 | 978,376 | 52,758 | 11,705,439 | 852,532 | 71,358,843 |
| 1997 | 58,016,197 | 5,324,775 | 5,549,295 | 1,162,862 | 36,623 | 11,650,701 | 849,126 | 82,589,579 |
| 1998 | 56,187,841 | 5,273,463 | 5,043,939 | 1,534,067 | 41,963 | 10,575,143 | 797,019 | 79,453,435 |
| 1999 | 65,375,535 | 6,938,658 | 6,166,601 | 1,346,509 | 77,621 | 8,331,142 | 739,904 | 88,975,970 |
| 2000 | 69,265,611 | 5,651,160 | 5,436,618 | 1,123,486 | 53,364 | 3,802,880 | 765,801 | 86,098,920 |
| 2001 | 57,531,942 | 3,862,054 | 5,525,209 | 762,408 | 55,537 | 3,013,551 | 611,242 | 71,361,943 |
| 2002 | 73,607,600 | 3,445,004 | 5,483,983 | 442,425 | 14,838 | 2,230,869 | 786,137 | 86,010,856 |
| 2003 | 63,005,041 | 1,110,534 | 6,978,808 | 423,583 | 17,394 | 1,448,011 | 804,355 | 73,787,725 |
| 2004 | 80,448,651 | 1,184,942 | 6,722,671 | 480,203 | 93,270 | 1,534,130 | 993,689 | 91,457,556 |
| 2005 | 76,240,627 | 1,464,433 | 7,442,771 | 457,275 | 54,181 | 1,673,396 | 966,787 | 88,299,470 |
| 2006 | 80.846.400 | 1,853,505 | 7,588,539 | 516,130 | 59,928 | 1,840,308 | 1.048.051 | 93,752,862 |
| 2007 | 70,862,089 | 1,430,836 | 6,375,646 | 617,978 | 56,866 | 1,263,648 | 1,132,991 | 81,740,055 |
| 2008 | 78,914,865 | 1,168,921 | 6,124,979 | 440,108 | 322,916 | 920,951 | 1,127,422 | 89,020,163 |
| 2009 | 91,133,844 | 1,051,241 | 6,960,119 | 488,792 | 308,212 | 896,594 | 1,256,201 | 102,095,002 |
| 2010 | 106,458,701 | 1,022,528 | 7,955,472 | 522,037 | 184,409 | 966,505 | 1,209,482 | 118,319,134 |
| 2011 | 116,042,515 | 730,889 | 7,890,340 | 488,977 | 148,587 | 306,079 | 1,244,299 | 126,851,685 |
| 2012 | 138,762,843 | 627.051 | 8,111,396 | 782,684 | 154,455 | 286,215 | 1,223,279 | 149,947,922 |
| Grand Total | 1,886,148,973 | 98,515,048 | 201,127,121 | 31,572,119 | 3,332,690 | 115,380,746 | | 2,359,521,806 |

^{*}Landings data are not collected by LCMA in all states. To separate landings by LCMA, NMFS statistical areas are placed into a single LCMA. For a complete description of how estimates are completed contact Caitlin Starks, at cstarks@asmfc.org.

Table 3. Threshold reference points with stock status variables for lobsters in each stock area. (Source: 2015 Benchmark Stock Assessment).

| Variable | GOM | GBK | GOM/GBK | SNE | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|------|--|
| Effective E | xploitatio | 7 | | | |
| Effective exploitation threshold | 0.54 | 1.83 | 0.5 | 0.41 | |
| Recent effective exploitation (2011-2013) | 0.48 | 1.54 | 0.48 | 0.27 | |
| Effective exploitation below threshold? | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Reference Abun | dance (mi | llions) | | | |
| Abundance threshold | 52 | 0.8 | 66 | 24 | |
| Recent abundance (2011-2013) | 247 | 1.57 | 248 | 10 | |
| Abundance above threshold? | YES | YES | YES | NO | |

Table 4. 2018 LCMA specific management measures

| Management Measure | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | OCC |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Min Gauge Size | 3 ¹ / ₄ " | 33/8" | 3 17/32 " | 3 ³ / ₈ " | 3 ³ / ₈ " | 3 3/8" | 3 ³ / ₈ " |
| Vent Rect. | $1^{15}/_{16} \times 5^{3}/_{4}$ " | $2 \times 5^{3}/_{4}$ " | 2 ¹ / ₁₆ x 5 ³ / ₄ " | 2 x 5 ³ / ₄ " | $2 \times 5^3/_4$ " | $2 \times 5^3/_4$ " | 2 x 5 ³ / ₄ " |
| Vent Cir. | 2 7/16" | 2 5/8" | 2 11/16" | 2 5/8" | 2 5/8" | 2 5/8" | 2 5/8" |
| V-notch requirement | Mandatory for all eggers | Mandatory for all legal size eggers | Mandatory for all eggers above 42°30' | Mandatory for all eggers in federal waters. No v-notching in state waters. | Mandatory for all eggers | None | None |
| V-Notch Definition ¹ (possession) | Zero Tolerance | 1/8" with or w/out setal hairs ¹ | ¹ / ₈ " with or w/out setal hairs ¹ | 1/8" with or w/out setal hairs1 | 1/8" with or w/out setal hairs ¹ | 1/8" with or w/out setal hairs ¹ | State Permitted fisherman in state waters 1/4" without setal hairs Federal Permit holders 1/8" with or w/out setal hairs1 |
| Max. Gauge (male & female) | 5" | 5 ¼" | 6 3/4" | 5 ¼" | 5 ¼" | 5 ¼" | State Waters none Federal Waters 6 3/4" |
| Season Closure | | | | April 30- May 31 ² | February 1- March 31 ³ | Sept 8- Nov 28 ⁴ | February 1- April 30 |

¹ A v-notched lobster is defined as any female lobster that bears a notch or indentation in the base of the flipper that is at least as deep as 1/8", with or without setal hairs. It also means any female which is mutilated in a manner that could hide, obscure, or obliterate such a mark.

² Pots must be removed from the water by April 30 and un-baited lobster traps may be set one week prior to the season reopening.

³ During the February 1 – March 31 closure, trap fishermen will have a two week period to remove lobster traps from the water and may set lobster traps one week prior to the end of the closed season.

⁴ Two week gear removal and a 2 week grace period for gear removal at beginning of closure. No lobster traps may be baited more than 1 week prior to season reopening.

Table 5: Trap allocation reductions as required by Addendum XVIII for LCMA 2 and 3 fishermen. This table only represents trap allocation reductions reported ahead of the 2019 fishing year and does not represent aggregate trap reductions over multiple years. Traps can also be retired due to the 10% conservation tax on trap transfers. Sources of the trap allocations come from state compliance reports and GARFO 2019 trap allocations published for the trap transfer program.

| | Jurisdiction | # of Trap Allocated (For 2019 Fishing Year) | # of Traps Retired (from 2018 to 2019 Fishing Year) | Comments on Trap Transfers |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| LCMA | MA | 32,116 | 1,629 | 73 traps lost to 10% tax; 88 traps added from federal transfers |
| 2 | RI | 70,321 | 3,811 | 3,034 traps transferred |
| | СТ | 3,553 | 189 | |
| | NOAA (ME, NH, NY, NJ) | 74,581 | 278 | 1,780 traps transferred out |
| LCMA 3 | NOAA | 115,479 | 291 | 2,820 traps transferred out |

Table 6. 2018 sampling requirements and state implementation. All states have 100% active harvester reporting except for Maine which has 10% harvester reporting. Sufficient sea sampling can replace port sampling. *De minimis* states (denoted by *) are not required to conduct biological sampling of their lobster fishery.

| State | 100% Dealer Reporting | 10% Harvester Reporting | Sea Sampling | Port Sampling | Ventless Trap Survey | Settlement Survey | Trawl Survey |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| ME | ✓ | √ (10%) | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| NH | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| MA | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| RI | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| СТ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | * | ✓ |
| NY | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| NJ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | ✓ |
| DE* | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | | ✓ |
| MD* | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | ✓ |
| VA* | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |

Table 7. 2018 sea and port sampling trips and samples by state. *De minimis* states (denoted by *) are not required to conduct biological sampling of their lobster fishery.

| State | : | Sea Sampling | | | Port Sampling | | Sampling | State Totals | |
|-------|-------|--------------|--------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|
| State | Trips | Samples | Traps | Trips | Samples | Trips | Samples | Trips | Samples |
| ME | 167 | 222,949 | 36,226 | | | | | 167 | 222,949 |
| NH | 20 | 9,774 | | 11 | 1,100 | | | 31 | 10,874 |
| MA | 69 | 42,607 | 15,002 | 1 | 312 | | | 70 | 42,919 |
| RI | 2 | 1,270 | 430 | 12 | 3,927 | | | 14 | 5,197 |
| СТ | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| NY | 4 | 174 | | | | 6 | 200 | 10 | 374 |
| NJ | 5 | 1,619 | 1,662 | | | | | 5 | 1,619 |
| DE* | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| MD* | 1 | 240 | 234 | | | | | 1 | 240 |
| VA* | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 268 | 278,633 | 53,554 | 24 | 5,339 | 6 | 200 | 298 | 284,172 |

15.0 Figures




Figure 1: Lobster Conservation Management Areas (LCMAs) and stock boundaries for American lobster.




Figure 2: Maine-New Hampshire survey stratified mean abundance indices for lobster, 2001-2018. Results of the spring survey are on the top and results from the fall survey are on the bottom.




Figure 3: MADMF Fall Trawl Survey sublegal (left) and legal (right) indices from 1978-2018 sexes combined. The top charts are from Gulf of Maine and the bottom charts are from Southern New England.




Figure 4: RIDFW Seasonal (spring and fall) Trawl lobster abundances (top) and Monthly Trawl lobster abundances (bottom). CPUE is expressed as the annual mean number per tow for sublegal (<85.725mm CL) and legal sized (>=85.725mm CL) lobsters.




Figure 5: Results of the Long Island Sound Trawl Survey during spring (April-June) and fall (September-October) within NMFS statistical area 611.




Figure 6: Stratified mean CPUE of all lobsters collected aboard the NJDFW Ocean Trawl Survey. The survey stratifies sampling in three depth gradients, inshore (18'-30'), mid-shore (30'-60'), offshore (60'-90'). The mean CPUE was calculated as the sum of the mean number of lobsters per size class collected in each sampling area weighted by the stratum area.




Figure 7: Settlement survey index (average number of YOY per meter squared; blue line) for each statistical area in Maine (1989-2018). The series average for each region is represented by the red dashed line.




Figure 8: Catch per unit effort (#/m2) of young-of-year (YOY), one-year-olds (Y+), YOY and Y+ combined, and all lobsters during the American Lobster Settlement Index, by location, in New Hampshire, from 2008 through 2018. There were no settlement survey samples collected in NH in 2013.




Figure 9: Young-of-year lobster density in seven Massachusetts regions; LCMA 1 – Cape Ann, Salem Sound, Boston, South Shore, Cape Cod Bay, LCMA 2 - Buzzards Bay, Vineyard Sound.




Figure 10: Average abundance of American lobster in Rhode Island suction sampling sites. Abundances are presented for lobsters 12mm and smaller (red line) and all sizes (blue line).




Figure 11: Abundance indices of lobster larvae from the Connecticut DEEP Larval Lobster Survey in western Long Island Sound and from the Millstone Power Station entrainment estimates in eastern Long Island Sound. The Connecticut DEEP survey was discontinued in 2013.




Figure 12: CPUE stratified mean for both sublegal and legal lobsters from Maine's Ventless Trap survey, 2006-2018, by statistical area. Only ventless traps were included in the analysis.




Figure 13: Stratified mean catch per trap haul, for all lobsters captured during the coast-wide random stratified Ventless Trap Survey in New Hampshire state waters from 2009 through 2018.




Figure 14: Stratified mean catch per trap haul (±S.E.) of sublegal (< 83 mm, grey line) and legal (≥ 83 mm, black line) lobsters in NMFS Area 514 from MADMF ventless trap survey from 2006-2018.




Figure 15: Stratified mean catch per trap haul (\pm S.E.) of sublegal (< 86 mm, grey line) and legal (\geq 86 mm, black line) lobsters in the original MA SNE survey area (within state waters), Area 538.




Figure 16: Stratified mean catch (#) per ventless trap for sublegal (<85.725 mm CL) and legal-sized (>=85.725mm CL) lobsters from RIDEM ventless trap survey. The dashed lines indicate time series means for the two indices.




Figure 17: Length Frequency of Lobster caught in Ventless Trap Survey in the nearshore water of the Atlantic Ocean off Delaware in 2018.