1	
2	
3	
4	
5	ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION
6	INTERSTATE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT POLICY BOARD
7	
8	
9	DATE: March 14, 1996 LOCATION: Norfolk Airport Hilton
10	1500 Military Highway Norfolk, Virginia 23502
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTED BY: Victoria L. DeBerry
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	ORIGINAL

1	CHAIRMAN COLVIN: I'd like to call to order
2	the meeting of the Interstate Fisheries Management Policy
3	Board. George Lapointe will call the roll.
4	MR. LAPOINTE: Robin Alden from Maine?
5	(No response elicited.)
6	MR. LAPOINTE: Ernie Beckwith, Connecticut?
7	MR. BECKWITH: Here.
8	MR. LAPOINTE: David Borden, Rhode Island?
9	MR. BORDEN: Here.
10	MR. LAPOINTE: A. C. Carpenter, Potomac River
11	Fisheries Commission?
12	MR. CARPENTER: Here.
13	MR. LAPOINTE: Phil Coates, Massachusetts?
14	MR. COATES: Here.
15	MR. LAPOINTE: Pete Colangelo, Pennsylvania?
16	(No response elicited.)
17	MR. LAPOINTE: Gordon Colvin, New York?
18	CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Here.
19	MR. LAPOINTE: Stu Kennedy for Ed Conklin,
20	Florida?
21	MR. KENNEDY: Here.
22	MR. LAPOINTE: Tom Fote?
23	MR. FOTE: Here.
24	MR. LAPOINTE: Bruce Freeman from North
25	Carolina?

1	1	MR.	FREEMAN: Here.
2	1	MR.	LAPOINTE: Jaime Geiger from the Fish &
3	Wildlife Service?		
4]	DR.	GEIGER: Here.
5]	MR.	LAPOINTE: Jill Goldthwait, Legislative
6	Appointee?		
7		(No	response elicited.)
8	ī	MR.	LAPOINTE: Pete Jensen from Maryland?
9	I	MR.	JENSEN: Here.
10	I	MR.	LAPOINTE: Andy Manus from Delaware?
11	I	MR.	MANUS: Here.
12	ī	MR.	LAPOINTE: Steve Herb for Bob McDowell,
13	New Jersey?		
14	ī	MR.	HERB: Here.
15	1	MR.	LAPOINTE: John Nelson, New Hampshire?
16	r	MR.	NELSON: Here.
17	1	MR.	LAPOINTE: Ira Palmer from the District
18	of Columbia?		
19	ı	MR.	PALMER: Here.
20			
	ı	MR.	LAPOINTE: Bill Pruitt from Virginia?
21			LAPOINTE: Bill Pruitt from Virginia? TRAVELSTEAD: Jack Travelstead.
21 22	I	MR.	•
	T T	MR.	TRAVELSTEAD: Jack Travelstead.
22	D D	MR. MR. DR.	TRAVELSTEAD: Jack Travelstead. LAPOINTE: Paul Sandifer, South Carolina?
22 23	D D	MR. MR. DR. MR.	TRAVELSTEAD: Jack Travelstead. LAPOINTE: Paul Sandifer, South Carolina? SANDIFER: Here. LAPOINTE: Richard Schaefer from the

1 MR. SCHAEFER: Here.

2 MR. LAPOINTE: And Susan Shipman from

Georgia?

MS. SHIPMAN: Here.

MR. LAPOINTE: Mr. Chairman, you have a

quorum.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. Does everyone have an agenda before them?

MR. LAPOINTE: For members of the public, there are agendas and handouts at the end of the table, quite a number of copies.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: We will be just adding a couple of items to the agenda. Immediately following these introductions and announcements, there will be the usual opportunity for public comment on issues of concern to the board, although as we address the board's individual agenda items, we will entertain public comment with respect to those specific items at any time.

Under "Other Business," I have three items.

A report from the South Atlantic Board, the letter that we have received from Rollie Schmitten regarding the proposal to withdraw the federal EEZ plans for bluefish and lobster, and approval of draft minutes of the November meeting. Are there other agenda items or other items of other business that board members would like to bring forward at this time?

(No response elicited.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seeing none, we'll proceed with the agenda. 3 MR. FREEMAN: (Indicated.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Yes, Bruce, I'm sorry. Gordon, if I may just take a 6 MR. FREEMAN: 7 second to thank the Commission for meeting in Virginia. We had 8 originally scheduled the meeting back in December for this area in order to be convenient to constituents living in this 9 location to attend the meeting. I understand because of the 10 11 concern the Commission had for lobster, that that particular 12 meeting was changed to New England, actually Massachusetts. 13 But we appreciate the fact that the meeting was held here. Despite all the jokes we made of Room 107, we do enjoy being 14 here, and it certainly is convenient to our fishermen and we 15 16 thank the Commission for scheduling it here. 17 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you, Bruce. The next 18 agenda item is the public comment. At this time, do any 19 members of the public or other guests wish to make comments 20 before the policy board? 21 (No response elicited.) 22 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. The next agenda

item will relate to the report of the Weakfish Management

MR. COATES:

23

24

25

Board, Mr. Coates.

LEWIS & DeBERRY

Reporting Service

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The

weakfish board met yesterday in a short meeting, and on behalf of the board, I'm pleased to indicate that we made substantial progress, but we have some items left undone with regard to the further development of Amendment 7. We need to set up, I guess, another meeting of the board. Jack had suggested a date. We can just run it by you for general information. I believe it was April 19th. It's a Friday. Jack recommended Washington. So just think about it. We don't have to firm anything up on that. And it would be our intention at that meeting to conclude our business with regard to formalizing the development of the alternatives in the draft Amendment 3 of the weakfish plan.

The only other item of business that I would bring forward at this time would be the need for a response, and I guess I'm going to let Dick formulate the question again, with regard to the Commission's reaction to the results of the court case and NMFS's interest in furthering management in the EEZ. That's basically all I have.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. We'll recognize Dick Schaefer.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I pointed out at the weakfish board meeting yesterday and as has been stated in a letter sent from Rollie Schmitten to Gordon Colvin on the 6th of March, the Court found in favor of the plaintiffs' suit against the Secretary with respect to

implementation of a moratorium on weakfish fishing in the EEZ. And after you cut through all the verbiage and get to the bottom line, the basis for the Court's decision was that the Secretary exceeded his authority because the Commission -- and I include NMFS in this, if you will, in terms of the way we operate -- the Commission failed to include as a part of its weakfish fishery management plan a specific section that's required under the Atlantic Coastal Act which is a requirement to recommend action to be taken by the Secretary in the EEZ to conserve and manage the fishery.

You'll recall that on several occasions over the last year, year and a half, we basically had consultations with the Commission. We told the Commission what we intended to do. Up until this very minute, as far as I know, the Commission had supported our effort to institute a moratorium in the EEZ. And at the present time, we are faced with a decision as to whether or not to appeal the Court's finding. And we have a 60-day window in which to have to make that decision, and I can't remember the specific date that the decision was handed down anymore, but we probably have to make -- I know we have to make a decision before this month is out.

So what I need and the Service needs from the Commission and this board is basically a position statement, if you will, as to whether or not you think it advisable for the Secretary to continue to pursue further action with respect to

implementing a moratorium in the EEZ. Contingent upon an outcome of that discussion and advice, I have a second inquiry to make.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. For the record, Dick did bring this up before the weakfish board and the decision last evening at some time after 9:00 was that the most appropriate and opportune moment to discuss the matter was before today's meeting with the policy board; however, I would recognize the chairman of the weakfish board to suggest an appropriate response to the Service's inquiry. Phil.

MR. COATES: Thank you, Gordon. I haven't had a chance to poll all the members of the weakfish board, but I can say that the fervor, the initial fervor of the board and I believe the Commission which was reflected in strong majority votes with regard to support for the initial moratorium may not be as strong as it was. It may not be as strong now as it was.

I think that in the context of Amendment 2, the moratorium was a very appropriate initiative. It afforded I think the necessary protection of weakfish in the EEZ. But subsequent developments, including I think probably the results of some litigation as well as the development of Amendment 3 and what seems to be substantial progress with strong support, or at least stronger support, I would indicate that the most appropriate course of action at this point would be the further development of the -- to finish the development of Amendment 3,

include in it as we're now required provisions for recommendation by the Commission as to what would be appropriate actions within the EEZ by NMFS. And I would conclude by asking if any of my fellow board members have a different opinion with regard to the moratorium, I'd invite them to express that now. But I think that I'm reflecting probably a consensus at this point, and that would be basically to recommend that Amendment 3 is the most appropriate course of action for us to deal with the EEZ issues.

We did go to bat and we were strongly supportive of NMFS and we understand the court case was a major setback with regard to the further development of stringent management in the EEZ, but I think that Amendment 3 offers us the most appropriate course of action at this time.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Does any further member of the board wish to comment on this issue or express a view?

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Would it be appropriate for the Chair to convey the view represented by the chairman of the bluefish board to the Service in response to Mr. Schmitten's letter?

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there objection to the

Chair doing so?

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seeing none, that would be the intended course of action. I would ask the Service whether — that is a response and that may not be the response you wanted, Dick, but does it give you the kind of information that, given what we can offer you at this time, at least minimally responsive to the question you put before us?

MR. SCHAEFER: As I understood Phil's comments, if you can put that in a response to Rollie Schmitten's letter to you of March the 6th, I and the Service would appreciate that very much. It will help us to make a decision on the issue of appeal. And I would ask further, since time is short, if you could do that in the next few days or so.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: I will do so, Dick. And we appreciate the spirit in which you've come to us on this.

I'd just like to offer kind of a brief summary of where we are on this issue in response to whole weakfish matter. And I think that this basically reiterates the observation made in the Chairman's memo. Clearly, the ACFCMA statute, and perhaps the issues raised in the federal court decision with respect to weakfish, emphasize the importance to the Commission of giving thoughtful and complete advice to the Secretary on needed management measures for the EEZ in our fishery management programs. It would seem that the level of our thought and the attention that we pay to this

issue in the EEZ needs to be as careful and as measured and as thoughtful as we pay to the question of developing management measures for state waters.

The alternative is to accept the fact that it will be impossible for the Secretary and, frankly, for our partners in the federal government to support us with management programs in the EEZ, and I don't want that to happen and I'm quite sure that no one on the board does. I am appreciative of the Service's efforts with respect to weakfish. I know that they crawled way out of a limb and believed that they had the support of this Commission in doing so, and I respect that. And I appreciate what they've been through in support of that position.

We find ourselves in a difficult position, and we find ourselves fortunately looking ahead. I think that the kind of progress that was made yesterday evening by the weakfish board gives the Commission great cause for optimism that we will go forward with a management program that all members of this partnership can support. I am very optimistic about that, and I hope that's where we will continue to move. But I do want to express appreciation for the support we've had from the Service on this, Dick.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We appreciate your kind comments. If I may, Mr. Chairman, just an inquiry. Given the actions taken at the weakfish board

yesterday and the fact that I know the board is going to meet again in May to further address development of Amendment 3, can you give me some idea in the process of where the board would address the issue of recommendations to the Secretary in the preparation Amendment 3?

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Coates, is it likely that that issue will arise at the next board meeting that you spoke of?

MR. COATES: In all likelihood, it will.

We're going to attempt to finish subsequently all the remaining undone elements of the management plan.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you. And just a further reminder, because I know some are of this persuasion and I'm not troubled by it in any way, our legal interpretation of the Judge's decision also welcomes, if appropriate, a recommendation by the Commission to the Secretary of no action, if that's appropriate. So that also is something we would ask for if not a specific management measure.

MR. COATES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: We'll make every effort to do so.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you. That takes care of my issue. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Are there any other weakfish issues that board members would like to bring before

1 us? 2 MR. DUNNIGAN: (Indicated.) 3 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Dunnigan. 4 MR. DUNNIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. the end of the Weakfish Management Board meeting last night, 5 the board tended to indicate that it would like to have a 6 7 another meeting prior to the ASMFC spring meeting. We've been 8 doing a little bit of schedule checking, and I just want to 9 bounce some ideas off of you. Given Council meetings that are 10 coming up, I'm wondering about the availability of I guess the 11 second full week -- the third week of April beginning on April 12 There's a New England Fishery Management Council 13 that will meet on that week Wednesday and Thursday that might 14 leave open the possibility of doing this with the weakfish 15 board on Monday or Tuesday or Friday. And I would suggest that 16 we try to do it in Washington, D.C. Any reactions from the 17 board members on that? 18 MR. FOTE: Jack, when is the New England 19 meeting? 20 MR. DUNNIGAN: I presume Danvers. 21 MR. COATES: Danvers. 22 MR. NELSON: It says it might be Rhode Island. 23

LEWIS & DeBERRY Reporting Service

MR. COATES:

annual spring meeting.

24

25

Oh, that's right.

This is our

1 MR. NELSON: I don't think it's been 2 determined yet, Jack, where it's going to be, southern New 3 England. 4 MR. FOTE: If we get in Connecticut or further down, maybe we can -- you've got people there, many 5 more people. What about after this? 6 7 MR. DUNNIGAN: We'll look into that 8 possibility. 9 MR. JENSEN: (No response elicited.) 10 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Pete. 11 I believe there's the blue crab MR. JENSEN: 12 symposium being held in Baltimore that week. I believe it's 13 the 18th and 19th. I don't know how many people are planning to attend that -- I know there's been a lot of publicity -- but 14 15 I know some people are. 16 MR. DUNNIGAN: That would argue against us 17 trying to work on the 19th. 18 MR. JENSEN: Scheduling something in another 19 city on Friday might be difficult for some people. 20 MR. DUNNIGAN: Well, keep that in mind. 21 That's what we'll try to pursue with the staff. I have no idea 22 about availability and what will happen, but we'll try to work in that direction. 23 24 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thanks, Jack. Any other

weakfish issues?

25

(No response elicited.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I would like to just raise CHAIRMAN COLVIN: one brief issue and observation. I talked last night to him and to his folks, Jack Travelstead and Bill Pruitt, and I'd like this board to know and the record of this meeting to note that the job Rob O'Reilly did as chairman of the Weakfish Technical Committee in representing the weakfish technical issues before the board was an absolute tour de force. And if I could write down, if any of us could write down on paper a manual of how a technical committee chairman would ideally operate before our boards, that was it, if you could capture that somehow. And I just think that appropriate recognition, at least in terms of recognition at this level, is in order and it was a fantastic job.

MR. TRAVELSTEAD: (No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Jack.

MR. TRAVELSTEAD: I relayed your thoughts to Rob last night, and he said "send money."

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Isn't that funny. That's the same thing my staff always says.

MR. COATES: (No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Phil.

MR. COATES: Gordon, I'd just like to share that perspective, because it was my first meeting with Rob as my technical committee chairman, and I think he did an

excellent job. He came up to me at the end of the meeting and said, "I hope you don't think I was too overbearing, but I thought it was very important that we get as much information forward to the board and to the public as possible. I hope you don't object." I said, "Are you kidding me?"

And also, I think it was the technical committee, the advisers, I guess the advisers had a very productive meeting, so I was very proud of them. And Frank did a good job too. It was my first time working with Frank as a plan coordinator. So I think we've got the elements of a good support team. I hope the chairman can live up to those expectations.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Yes, it is worthwhile to mention the job Frank did, particularly in getting ready. He did a lot of work to get ready. It was well done.

I think we're ready to move on to the next agenda item and I will now recognize Dennis Spitsbergen as chairman of the fluke/scup/sea bass board. Dennis.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: I'm recognized. Gordon, you caught me a little bit by surprise. I didn't know you were going to -- in fact, I didn't see the agenda that this was on. But very briefly, as you are and those of you who are on the board and those of you who are on the Mid-Atlantic Council are well aware that -- and I can't give you the exact dates. Can anybody help me on when we approved the weakfish plan?

1 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Weakfish?

2 MR. SPITSBERGEN: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Now I know why we caught

you unaware.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: Let's get to the scup plan now. Does anybody remember when that was? I'm thinking November.

MR. CARPENTER: November 7th.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: And at that meeting, there was agreed to measures, complaints, requirement measures that are supposed to be implemented on June 30th. I believe John Carmichael sent a questionnaire around to all of the state directors to see how many of them could come into compliance by June 30th, and I'm thinking there was only a couple, three that could not. Is that correct, John?

MR. CARMICHAEL: Right.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: So just briefly let me go over the compliance requirements for June 30, 1996. Size limits, a commercial size limit of 9 inches; recreational, a minimal size of 7 inches; a commercial mesh restriction for trawls of 4-inch mesh, commercial mesh threshold, if you have 4,000 pounds or more on board, then you're required to have the 4-inch trawl; a roller rig, the roller restriction, a maximum of 18 inches, and I believe this is these people working in artificial reefs, et cetera; pot, trap and escape vents, if you

use the circle, 1/10 inch, if you're using the square vent, 2 1/4. Does that seem right?

MR. CARMICHAEL: That's right.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: And also -- was this passed out to everybody, John? I'm reading off page 2 on this, and then the pot and trap degradable fasteners, those are listed on the front page under Item 7. Those are the items that are required for compliance as of June 30, 1996. And there are some additional ones for 1997, and these have to do with permitting requirements. Also, there will be a commercial quota and a recreational total allowable catch. We will be working in the next couple of weeks as I think several of the board members know on dealing with the quota and framework measures for adjusting size limits, possession limits, et cetera. So that is what we're dealing with in the scup plan.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: I believe it would be the intent of the board to offer the plan as approved at the November 7th meeting including these compliance measures to the policy board and with the policy board's endorsement to the Commission for adoption today. Are there questions of the board chairman?

MR. SCHAEFER: I have a question for Mr. Spitsbergen, just for purposes of clarification and understanding. Do I conclude that under this scenario then,

that no scup less than 9 inches in length can be sold?

MR. SPITSBERGEN: That is correct.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you.

MR. FOTE: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Fote.

MR. FOTE: Yes. At the scup board meeting, I voted against this plan because there was a change in the historical allocation. That change was made without going to public hearings when the public hearing document had a split of 70/30. It was decided at that meeting to change the split to 78/22. Because of that, I'm going to vote against this plan again.

MR. BORDEN: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Borden.

MR. BORDEN: A couple points. I just wanted to make sure that my understanding of the mesh requirements are accurate. That applies to mobile gear only?

MR. SPITSBERGEN: That is my understanding. Correct me if anyone else around the table -- but that's my understanding. That's for all gear.

MR. BORDEN: The second point, I certainly will support the motion. We're eager to get on with scup management. The only reservation I have is about having two different sizes. I understand the rationale why we have two different sizes, but I would hope at some point, as the stock

rebuilds, we will equalize the sizes.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Two things that might be worth pointing out for the record and for the attention of those board members who are not aware of it.

First, like fluke, this is a joint management plan that was developed jointly by the Mid-Atlantic Council and our own board, and the intent is that the plan would be implemented in the EEZ under Magnuson. The Mid-Atlantic Council at its last meeting did vote to approve this management plan and send it forward for Secretarial approval. So the Council is on board and hopefully so will the Secretary as soon as that can be arranged. So it's timely for us to now take the matter up.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: Is there not an emergency rule on size and mesh limit moving forward? A 9-inch size and a 4-inch mesh I believe is moving forward, and I'm not sure what the status is.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: There certainly has been a request by both the board and the Council for that to happen. I don't know frankly if it's moving forward. If it is, it would be moving glacially, and we've talked about that at the Council meeting and probably don't need to get back into it today. But I think that expectation was resulting from our meeting in November that it would happen earlier.

The second point I'll make is that the

management plan does in fact call for or hold out the possibility that our management program in the next step might include commercial quotas as a management step to accomplish the further reductions and exploitation necessary. It is a framework provision that calls on the quota system to be developed later this year based on the further work of the board and the Council. This is one of the quota management opportunities for which we will seek advice from the new ad hoc committee on quota management which we have recently created that is also chaired by Mr. Spitsbergen. And our hope is and expectation is that that group will be able to provide us with advice that we can use for the 1997 scup management program as well as other management programs. Dennis.

MR. SPITSBERGEN: In fact, my feeling would be that that might be the template for any of the additional ones, so any thoughts that anyone has would be highly appreciated by the committee.

MR. COATES: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Coates.

MR. COATES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I continue to have strong reservations about this management plan. I am going to vote to support it because I believe it's an initial step. But we have expressed in writing over the period of review and expressed verbally, I know, our concern about the fact that we still feel this plan is very deficient

in addressing the very significant bycatch problem that occurs in the mixed trawl fishery that occurs in the Mid-Atlantic area. But I understand there's efforts being made to address that. The trigger possession limit and the mesh size are the beginnings of a direction that might get us out of this dilemma, so I'm going to support the plan at this time, but I still wanted to express my reservations about the bycatch issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BECKWITH: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Beckwith.

Yes, I have similar comments MR. BECKWITH: to Phil Coates, but I do think the plan is important and it's necessary that we go ahead with this as soon as possible. I'd also like to go on record that while the state of Connecticut supports this plan, it would be I think virtually impossible for us to meet those June 30, 1996 compliance And I just want to go on record as having made that measures. statement. And the reason we can't do that was our Attorney General would not let us go forth with our regulatory changes prior to the adoption of a plan, and that was directly related to some of the problems we had with the fluke issue. will move forward, as soon as possible as soon as this plan is approved.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. Are there any further comments or any comments from our guests?

(No response elicited.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: There is not. You've got a motion before the board. I believe Mr. Spitsbergen will need 3 some assistance. 4 5 MR. BORDEN: (Indicated.) CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Borden. 6 MR. BORDEN: I move adoption of the plan as 7 8 described by Mr. Spitsbergen. 9 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: That would be that the policy board accept that recommendation and recommend approval 10 11 by the Commission. So moved. 12 MS. SHIPMAN: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seconded by Ms. Shipman. Other discussion on the motion? 14 15 (No response elicited.) 16 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seeing none, we'll take the 17 question. All in favor, signify by saying "aye." THE PANEL: 18 Aye. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Opposed, same sign. 19 MR. FOTE: 20 Nae. 21 MR. SCHAEFER: Abstain. 22 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Abstentions? 23 (No response elicited.) 24 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Just the one. Motion 25 carries. The "nae" vote which will be recorded, Mr. Fote in

the negative; Mr. Schaefer abstaining. Motion carries.

Anything further to bring before the fluke board?

MR. LAPOINTE: I hate to muddy the waters with this. Dr. Sandifer said, given the court case and what's supposed to be an ASMFC plan, understanding this is a joint plan, shouldn't we have language or an additional motion recommending that the Secretary adopt the EEZ management measures contained in Chapter 9 which are Magnuson Act measures. Dick, I ask for some guidance here.

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, this raises a whole broader issue. I mentioned as sort of an aside the other day that -- I speak for myself because not all my colleagues in the Service agree with me -- but I've never been a supporter of joint planning between the Commission and the Councils. My feeling has always been make up our mind up front over who should have the planning authority or responsibility and live under one planning strategy that affects all the waters both in the federal zone and the state waters.

So having said that, my understanding is this is a blend of a Magnuson Act FMP that applies to the EEZ and interstate regulations through an FMP prepared by the Commission. And from that perspective, it's sort of been an anomaly with respect to whether we're doing this under the Atlantic Coastal Act or not. I don't think this is really being done under the Atlantic Coastal Act myself and therefore

-- and I'm giving you my opinion, not the attorneys -- I don't think it's necessary. That's my view. I've been wrong before.

MR. LAPOINTE: I like your view.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there objection to having the staff confer with NOAA General Council relative to the issues of what, if anything, any official record needs to be made of the Commission's presumption that the Magnuson Act route here would suffice and, if necessary, coming back to the policy board for such further action as may be appropriate?

MR. SCHAEFER: I think that's a good idea,
Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Without objection I will proceed. Is there anything further on this?

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you, Dennis. The next report will be with respect to the tautog management board. Mr. Borden.

MR. BORDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The board met yesterday. A little bit of history. I think everyone is aware that we have formulated a proposed management plan with a group of alternatives. Those alternatives were discussed at eight separate public hearings up and down to coast. The board met yesterday, reviewed with the advisers the results of those public hearings and reviewed the alternatives. I'm very pleased to be able to report that we have closure on

the issue and I will be making a recommendation to adopt the plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm also pleased to comment that we were able to accommodate some of the concerns of the southern states and avoid a biological filibuster by the state of North Carolina.

As far as the recommendation, essentially -as I said before, I'll just encapsulate this with a motion when I conclude the discussion -- essentially we adopted Alternative 1 as it was stated in the plan with the following exceptions, and I will just read through these. We agreed based on the concerns of the southern states to provide the states from Delaware Bay to North Carolina with one additional year to reach the target interim F of 0.24. We agreed to a 14-inch minimum possession size coastwide on tautog, and that size will be phased in with a 13-inch minimum size with a compliance deadline of April 1st, 1997 and a 14-inch minimum size April 1, We agreed to include language that would explicitly recognize state landing and possession laws and work out the language with the National Marine Fisheries Service so that more stringent state or federal law would apply where appropriate. We also took up the issue of the federal regulations and federal waters, and there's an explicit recommendation that the federal service promulgate a rule on a minimum size, to keep the minimum size for federal waters consistent with the sizes in state waters. That will mean 13

inches on April 1997 and 14 inches on April 1998. We also agreed to modify Alternative 1 to include a framework measure which would allow us, after appropriate public input and a hearing, to design a special area on regulations around artificial reef sites.

1.7

And the only other point of clarification related to the subject of de minimis status, which is 1 percent of the coastwide landings, and we agreed that any state that was granted de minimis status would still be required to adhere to the minimum sizes in the plan.

So I guess I defer to your judgment,

Mr. Chairman. Do you want me to take questions here before I surface a motion, or would you prefer to have a motion on this.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Let me ask if there are questions only to clarify the board's reported recommendation at this time.

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: If there are no questions,

I'll recognize Mr. Borden for a motion.

MR. BORDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd move adoption of the draft FMP as amended by the policy board.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: That would be to move that

this board recommend approval by the Commission?

MR. BORDEN: Correct.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there a second to that?

1 MR. MANUS: Second.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seconded by Mr. Manus.

Discussion on the motion?

1.6

MR. FOTE: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Fote.

MR. FOTE: If I was voting in this capacity as governor's appointee for New Jersey, I would not vote for this plan because we asked for exemptions on regional management and this was not included, and by establishing a north/south split at the Mason-Dixon line instead of where we usually establish it in New York between where the boundaries of the fisheries are. Representing all the governor's appointees and looking at the comments they had and I saw at other public hearings, I would vote in favor of the plan.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there further discussion on the motion?

MR. HERB: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Herb.

MR. HERB: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman. On behalf of Director McDowell, I am of course representing the public that attended the three hearings that were held in New Jersey, two of which were held by the Commission, the New Jersey Marine Fisheries Council and also the staff that made recommendations to us as it relates to management of tautog. It relates directly to the north/south split. The

recommendations were that that split should take place in the 1 2 New York Bight area as opposed to the Cape May area. 3 result, we have reservations about the plan, although we do recognize the need for management of this fishery. I will have 4 5 to vote no. (Indicated.) 6 MR. JENSEN: 7 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Pete. Just one point of clarification. 8 MR. JENSEN: 9 For a de minimis state, are size limits required for commercial 10 and recreational or is it only recreational? This plan says 11 only recreational. MR. BORDEN: 12 Correct. That was clarified, 13 this point. We clarified that so it's incumbent upon a state to adopt the minimum size for both the commercial and 14 recreational fishery. 15 16 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there further discussion on the motion? 17 18 (No response elicited.) CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Comments from any of our 19 20 guests? 21 MR. SCHAEFER: (Indicated.) 22 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Schaefer. 23 MR. SCHAEFER: I have a question. I'm not 24 all that familiar with the plan. The staff has been working on 25 it, I know. Is there a component of this plan that includes

1 the recommendation to the Secretary for actions in the EEZ or 2 no action or whatever? CHAIRMAN COLVIN: 3 Yes. That's all I needed to know. 4 MR. SCHAEFER: 5 MR. LAPOINTE: Furthermore, Dick, the board 6 specifically recommended that not only were the recommendations 7 made, but that we may convey that as soon as possible to the Secretary in writing, contained in the plan and contained in a 8 letter. 9 10 MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you. 11 Further discussion on the CHAIRMAN COLVIN: motion? 12 13 (No response elicited.) 14 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seeing no further 15 discussion, we'll take the question. All in favor, please 16 signify by saying "aye." 17 THE PANEL: Aye. Opposed, same sign. 18 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: 19 MR. HERB: No. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Abstentions? 20 21 DR. GEIGER: Abstain. 22 CHAIRMAN COLVIN: No by New Jersey, abstained 23 by the National Marine Fisheries Service. Motion carries. 24 Mr. Borden. 25 MR. BORDEN: There's two other brief items.

There was a lot of concern that was generated by the recreational party and charter boat industry about the issue of hook-and-release mortality, and I think some valid concerns have been surfaced. And we intend to vigorously explore those with our scientific committee to try and generate a better estimate for that. I would just like that to be a part of the record. The other point that I would raise is that given the fact that it took us 14 months from the time we started this until the time we had an approved plan, I think it's a very positive reflection on the Commission. I would just like to compliment the members of the board for their diligence and hard work and compliment the staff for an excellent job.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Indeed. Anything further on tautog?

MR. TRAVELSTEAD: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Jack.

MR. TRAVELSTEAD: Just a question for staff.

When will the final document be in?

MR. BORDEN: The suggestion after consultation with the staff, what we thought we would do is it will take between seven and ten days to make a few minor changes to the document. That will be circulated to all the states. And because there are slight wording changes, we'll note where those take place and we'll ask anyone that has a technical objection to get back to the staff directly. So

you'll have one more look at the actual language before we conclude the process.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you.

MR. SCHAEFER: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Schaefer.

MR. SCHAEFER: Mr. Chairman, with your permission, I wonder if before we proceed on to other business, if I could just for a minute go back to a part of the discussion on the scup plan. I wanted to make a comment, and it slipped my mind at the time.

And that is I noted in the Judge's decision regarding weakfish that he pointed out that the Secretary still had ample authority, if the Secretary wanted to do so, to implement regulations in the EEZ under the Magnuson Act. It could be done either through a Council FMP or a Secretarial plan under the authority of the Magnuson Act. So putting all that together in my mind, that tells me that unless the scup plan was going to be implemented throughout its entire range under the authority of the Atlantic Coastal Fishery Cooperative Management Act, that from that perspective, given it's a joint plan using two authorities, I really don't think you need that specific section in there. That's my opinion again. I think I'll check it out with the attorneys, but that's the way I kind of read the tea leaves. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. I think that's

what we're all hoping. Let's proceed to other business. The first item is the report of the South Atlantic Board. Mr. Cupka.

MR. CUPKA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The South Atlantic State Federal Fisheries Management Board met this past Monday night, and our main order of business was to go over the 1995 plan review for those species for which we have oversight responsibility, these species being spotted sea trout, red drum, Spanish mackerel, spot and croaker.

In reviewing the plans, we paid particular attention to the mandatory compliance elements in those plans, particularly the states' situation that are involved in the plan, whether or not they are in compliance, and I would like to report on behalf of the board that we did find all states in compliance with those plans.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you.

MR. CUPKA: That concludes my report.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Are there any questions?

That's certainly good news.

(No response elicited.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Seeing none, let's proceed to the next item. I believe that at the table before all the board members is a copy of a February 29th letter addressed to the Chairman from Rollie Schmitten. This letter is the official notice to the Commission of the Service's intent to withdraw Secretarial approval of the fishery management

programs in place under Magnuson in the EEZ for American lobster and bluefish. I believe, as I understand both this letter and the follow-up discussions that we have had with staff at the Service and NOAA General Council, that that process will involve a notice of proposed rule making in the Federal Register and appropriate compliance with the necessary federal laws and procedures for rule making, and there will therefore arise an opportunity through that process for formal comment during a prescribed comment period on rule making. And I believe it would be appropriate for the Commission to comment for the record at that appropriate time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nonetheless, it may also be appropriate for an interim or initial response or a preliminary response to Mr. Schmitten's letter. The Chair would like to propose the following course of action subject to the views of the board. The Chair would propose that there be a response to Mr. Schmitten's letter expressing concern regarding the proposed action and pointing out the nature of the partnership that has developed between the Commission and the two Councils who prepared these management programs and with whom we have cooperated in the development of these programs. It would further point out that a critical need is to provide careful attention to the timing of any final federal action such that EEZ resources in need of protection do not suffer any discontinuity in that protection. And it would, thirdly,

further indicate the Commission's intention to give additional attention to the specifics of such a proposal following its publication in the Federal Register and our deliberation and formal comment during that period. That's the proposed response. I offer it to you for your comment and consideration at this time. Jack, do you want to add to that at all?

MR. DUNNIGAN: I think that's quite appropriate. The only thing I might suggest that you add is that there's also a South Atlantic Council Fishery management plan that has been proposed for removal, and even though we're not a partner of that plan, I am a member of the Council and it certainly I think raises questions of the overall role of the Councils in the process. So I think it's worth mentioning that plan as well in our response.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Are there any comments on the proposed course of action?

MR. FREEMAN: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: The question I have is not relative to the letter, Gordon, but it appears to me by just reading this -- this is the first time I've seen this -- looking at bluefish is simply to prepare the plan and then ask us to have the Secretary do what the plan states that it's doing. It seems like we're going in a big circle here. Is that the intent of this, Dick? Is this a paper exercise?

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, as I think I mentioned to David Borden the other day, because I think he raised the matter, I explained the circumstances as to how we got where we are, not much of which was by intention in terms of the time frame or what's happened to us. But we've had no time to analyze whether or not there would be any significant net loss, if you will, of regulatory burden if we go this way. But that's only one part of the equation.

In addition to simply reducing regulatory burden, the President and Vice President under the regulatory reform is simply looking at what is more appropriately and done by it and what is more appropriately the responsibility of the states. And in our view, given the nature of the fishery for bluefish and the nature of the northern lobster fishery, the American lobster fishery, as I understand it, the fisheries are prosecuted primarily in state waters, landings are largely from state waters, and given that we now have an Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Conservation and Management Act, why not use the authority of that act alone to implement this particular management strategy. I think that's kind of where the whole thing comes from, from those two angles.

MR. FREEMAN: My point is, though, the end result is same.

MR. SCHAEFER: As I told you, I don't know the answer to that question. I'm not saying you're wrong, but

I'm not saying you're right because I don't know.

MS. ALDEN: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Ms. Alden.

MS. ALDEN: Gordon, as I remember your summary, your first point was to express concern; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Yes.

MS. ALDEN: I guess my sense is that I would like to see us express concern about the timing issue. I also think we ought to respond as a Commission as to whether we're willing to accept the responsibility which this is thrusting upon us, and it seems to me that we have expressed that and this letter should reflect that.

address that issue straight up. As I indicated, I'm looking at this time to a preliminary response that identifies certain concerns, and I recognize that we will need to respond more comprehensively. What I'm recommending now is that we express concern about the effect on partnerships, the very strong concern about gaps in protection, the need for continuous management of the resources and no loss of that, and that we recognize the need for the Commission, once the notice is published in a Federal Register, to review this matter more comprehensively and to develop a formal position that gives detailed consideration to the proposal on its merits and our

willingness to accept additional responsibilities and our views with respect to the need for Magnuson participation and the Council's participation in the management of those offshore resources. That last one is a pretty knotty question that I think requires a little bit more time than we have today. But I don't want to let this letter go unanswered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COATES: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Coates.

I've got a guestion for Dick or MR. COATES: you, if I may. What happened to the advanced notice of public rule making effort that was initiated last fall upon realization response to the feds by the Fishery Council and the states if they didn't have the capability of administering some of the provisions of them developing a management plan? And I know the notice was circulated, the comment period was concluded and that is still hanging out there with I guess a time indefinite in terms of one of three courses of action, withdrawal of the plan with a subsequent development of Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Fisheries regulations or Secretarial regulations designed to address overfishing in the EEZ. Is that still hanging out there?

MR. SCHAEFER: Excuse me. I'm going to ask you to back up a minute. You lost me somewhere along the line with respect to what we're talking about.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Lobsters.

I

1 MR. COATES: Somewhere along the way last

2 | year --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHAEFER: Oh, all right. Lobsters.

MR. COATES: I'm sorry. I didn't say

lobsters?

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: You didn't say lobsters.

MR. SCHAEFER: I think that's in the stew.

There was, what shall I say, stage setting that maybe a lot of

these things would be better done under different authority,

and we would consider that, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

think this just adds one more beast to the pie, if you will,

and kind of states based on other pressures which is

deregulation and reducing burden on the public and so on, to

transfer the responsibility under a different authority. And

that's all there is to it. The other is somewhere in limbo.

Maybe my staff knows. I think they probably lost track of it,

but it's sort of been overtaken by events.

MR. COATES: I guess the only thing I'd add is with regard to this proposed action, in fact the earlier one that was suggested, I still remain concerned. And I don't think we need to include it in this letter, and I think you're going to hear directly from some of the states on this, that there is still some concern about the potential for increased vulnerability as a result of such a transfer, increased vulnerability to whatever, possible litigation or actions by

our neighbors to the north with regard to national minimum size for lobsters that is a unique feature of the American lobster plan as a result of specific legislation. In fact, that transfer of authorities created either an impetus to readdress that issue or a decrease and we'd be gravely concerned. I would think that as part of, it might be appropriate to conduct some consultations with regard to this issue between our neighbors to the north.

MR. SCHAEFER: That's obviously a question for the lawyers to deal with, but my understanding is, at least preliminarily, I think Joe McDonald indicated he thought this issue could be overcome.

MR. COATES: We're going to continue to raise this because this is our primary concern.

MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. I think it's a good question.

MS. ALDEN: Senator Snow from Maine is sheparding through a wording change in Magnuson which would clarify this issue, and I have not brought this to the attention of any of the other states. I can have the staffer give Jack's office this wording and maybe we can all coordinate on this.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you.

MR. COATES: Was this in the same bill that extends Maine's jurisdiction out to 200 miles?

That's not been drafted yet. MS. ALDEN: CHAIRMAN COLVIN: At this point, let me ask if there is any specific objection to the Chairman forwarding the preliminary response I spoke of to Mr. Schmitten. (No response elicited.) CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Without objection, we'll ask the staff to draft that response and we'll all look forward to getting down to the nitty-gritty on this once the notice is in the Federal Register. Thank you. I think the last issue is the minutes of the November meeting. MR. LAPOINTE: I just got these done last week and I didn't think that the board would have time, frankly, to review and approve them. But they're here to give you time to review them in depth before the May meeting of the ISFMP Policy Board, because there were a number of substantive issues that were covered at the annual meeting. So it's just to let people know they're handed out. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: For your information, you have them and we'll take them up in May. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there any further business to come before the policy board? MS. SHIPMAN: (Indicated.) CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Ms. Shipman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHIPMAN: Mr. Chairman, last night during

the weakfish discussions, the issue came up of de minimis and votes for de minimis states, and I think we all decided that was a larger issue that needs to come before the policy board, and I certainly don't presume that we would settle that today, but it is an issue I think we need to address as a whole. I don't know whether we want to take that up in May or -
CHAIRMAN COLVIN: You're quite right. Let us

plan to see if we can get some staff recommendation.

MS. SHIPMAN: An option paper.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: And an option paper perhaps prepared and consultation with some of the board members, including those who had an intimate understanding and knowledge of the standards and procedures and come back to us with some suggestions at the May meeting.

MR. BORDEN: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Borden.

MR. BORDEN: Just one reminder that March 25th, that week we have the lobster review in Rhode Island. I would encourage the states to send representatives. They'll be going through the entire stock assessment, and I envision the results of that to a large extent driving the actions of this board.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you.

MR. FOTE: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Mr. Fote.

MR. FOTE: Yes. I know we talked about one court case that NMFS lost and we also have that NMFS won a lawsuit on the summer flounder plan. I know it's too lengthy to deal with that and shouldn't be on the agenda. But the Mid-Atlantic Council and summer flounder board are going to take up their suggestions on that. I think it would be appropriate to put it on the agenda of the next policy board meeting so we can discuss it.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: I appreciate the suggestion. It would be my inclination to refer the matter to the summer flounder board for initial deliberation. This board certainly wouldn't want to act on it without recommendation from the summer flounder board.

MR. FOTE: That's what I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Is there any further

business?

MS. SHIPMAN: (Indicated.)

CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Ms. Shipman.

MS. SHIPMAN: Just an information item. I had planned to brief the weakfish board last night, and we didn't have the opportunity. It affects many fisheries that are prosecuted in the South Atlantic. But the South Atlantic Council in mid-February did approve a bycatch reduction amendment to the shrimp plan. I just want you all to know that. We do plan to submit it. Probably it will go forward

before the next fish weakfish board meeting. We are moving forward. I want to try and raise everyone's comfort level on what we're doing about bycatch in the South Atlantic. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Thank you. Is there any further business? (No response elicited.) CHAIRMAN COLVIN: A motion to adjourn? MR. COATES: So moved. CHAIRMAN COLVIN: Without objection, we're adjourned. -----

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA MARINE FISHERIZE COMMISSION CITY OF NORFOLK, to-wit: I, Victoria L. DeBerry, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had at the time and place mentioned. This 17th day of April, 1996. Court Reporter My Commission expires October 31, 1996.

				TATOTICE_Y	agu			·	J. Z 4	- poard
-0-		70/30 [1] 78/22 [1]	19:10 19:11	26:1 29:14 adopted [1]	26:8	applies [2] 24:20	19:17			32:16 39:9
0.24[1] 26:13		7th [2] 17:8	18:19	adoption [4]	20:8 18:21	apply [1]	26:20	39:14		
		-9-		22:20 23:7 advanced [1]	27:21 38:10	appointee [2]	3:6	availabili 14:22	ty [2]	13:10
		I —		advice [4]	8:2	appointees [1]	28:13	avoid[1]		26:5
1 [4] 26:9 27:2 27:7	26:16	9 [3] 17:19 24:8	19:1	10:23 21:8	21:12	appreciate [6]	5:13	aware [3]		16:24
1/10 _[1] 18:1		9-inch [1]	20:15	advisable [1]	7:24	10:10 10:15 11:24 43:9	11:12	i	:20 :17	23:18
1/4 [1] 18:2		9:00[1] 8:6		advisers [3] 16:7 25:23	16:7	appreciated [1]	21.17		:17	23.10
107[1] 5:14				affects [2]	24:17	appreciation [
13[1] 26:25		-A-		43:21	27.17	11:21	-		B-	
13-inch [1]	26:15	able [3] 21:11	25:25	afforded [1]	8:18	appreciative [1]	Baltimore	[1]	14:12
14 [3] 1:8	27:1	26:3		again [4]	6:15	11:9 appropriate [21	,	based [3]		21:6
31:8 14-inch [2]	26:13	absolute [1] Abstain [2]	15:8 23:21	12:2 19:12 against [4]	32:22 6:25	8:7 8:10	8:18	26:10 39 basis [1] 7::		
26:16	20:13	30:21	23;21	14:16 19:7	0:23 19:11	8:24 9:3	9:8	basis [1] /:. bass [1] 16		
1500 [1] 1:9		abstained [1]	30:22	agenda [11]	4:8	9:14 9:18 12:17 15:12	12:15 25:9	bat [1] 9:1		
15th [1] 13:12		abstaining [1]		4:13 4:16	4:24	26:21 27:3	34:6	Bay[1] 26		
17th [1] 45:12		Abstentions [2	2]	5:3 5:18 16:17 16:21	5:22 43:4	34:10 34:11 35:8 40:6	34:12 43:7	beast [1] 39		
18[1] 17:24		23:22 30:20 accept [4]	11.4	43:7		appropriately		Beckwith		2:6
18th[1] 14:13		23:10 37:11	11:4 38:1	agendas [1]	4:10	36:11 36:12	[~]	2:7 22 22:11	:9	22:10
1995 [1] 33:6 1996 [6] 1:8	17.10	accommodate		agree [1]24:13		approval [5]	4:23	beginning	T T 1 1	13:11
18:8 22:16	17:18 45:12	26:4		agreed [6] 26:10 26:13	17:10 26:17	20:10 23:10 33:25	27:23	beginning		22:5
45:24		accomplish [1]		27:2 27:8	20.17	approve [3]	20:9	behalf [3]		6:1
1997 [4] 18:9	21:12	accurate [2] 45:9	19:17	ahead [2]	11:15	41:14 43:23	20.5	28:20 33		
26:16 27:1 1998 [2] 26:17	27:1	ACFCMA[1]	10:20	22:13		approved [4]	16:25	better [2]		31:5
1946 [2] 20:17 19th [3] 6:7	14:13	act [12] 7:8	24:8	Airport [1] Alden [7]	1:9	18:18 22:23	31:9	39:9 between [5	3 1	24:14
14:17	17.12	24:20 24:24	24:25	37:2 37:3	2:4 37:4	April [8] 13:11	6:7 26:16		:21	34:19
1st [1] 26:16		25:7 32:14 32:20 36:18	32:16 36:19	37:8 40:17	41:1	26:16 27:1	27:1	40:7		
		43:12	30.13	allocation[1]	19:8	45:12			:24	
-2-		action [15]	7:9	allow[1]	27:3	area [5] 5:8 27:4 29:2	22:3 29:2	Bight [1] bill [3] 3:2		29:2 15:4
2[3] 8:17	18:2	7:25 8:24 9:14 10:2	9:9 12:16	allowable [1]	18:11	argue [1]	14:16	0111 [3] 3:2 40:24	20	15;4
18:5 200 [1] 40:25		25:9 30:2	34:15	alone [1] along [2]	36:19 38:23	arise [2] 12:7	34:8	biological	[[1]	26:5
23502 [1]	1:10	34:18 34:23	35:16	39:1	20.23	arranged [1]	20:12	bit [4] 13		16:20
25th [1] 42:18	1.10	38:17 39:19 actions [5]	9.3	alternative [3]	11:4	artificial [2]	17:25	25:19 38		
29th[1] 33:22		11:25 30:1	39:25	26:8 27:2		27:5		blend[1]		24:20
		42:21		alternatives [4] 25:21 25:21] 6:11 25:24	aside [1] 24:11 ASMFC [2]	13:7	blue [1] 14 bluefish [5		4:22
		actual [1]	32:1	always [2]	15:20	24:5	13.7	9:20 34		35:22
3 [7] 6:11	8:21	ad[1] 21:8	25.0	24:15	10120	assessment [1]	42:20	36:14		
8:25 9:8 12:2 12:5	9:13	add [3] 35:6 39:18	35:8	amended [1]	27:21	assistance [1]	23:4	board [76]		1:6
30 _[3] 17:18	18:8	adding [1]	4:12	amendment [10		Atlantic [14]	1:5	2:3 4:1 4:24 5:2		4:20 5:24
22:16	10.0	addition[1]	36:9	6:4 6:11 8:21 8:25	8:17 9:8	4:20 7:8 24:25 32:19	24:24 33:2	6:1 6:2	2	6:5
30th [2] 17:11	17:14	additional [6]	18:9	9:13 12:2	12:5	33:4 35:9	36:17	6:22 7:2 8:8 8:9		8:5 8:12
31 [1] 45:24		21:15 24:6 35:1 38:1	26:12	43:24		38:19 43:22 44:3	43:22	8:13 9:4		9:16
		address [7]	4:16	American [3] 36:15 40:2	34:1	attempt [1]	12:10	9:20 11		11:17
-4-		12:2 12:4	22:3	ample [1]	32:13	attend [2]	5:10	11:25 12 12:7 12		12:3 13:5
4,000 [1]	17:22	37:15 38:20	42:5	analyze [1]	36:6	14:14		13:6 13	:15	13:17
4-inch [3] 17:23 20:16	17:21	addressed [1]	33:22	Andy [1]	3:10	attended [1]	28:21	15:5 15 16:18 16		16:4 17:22
		addressing [1] adds [1] 39:11	22:1	angles [1]	36:21	attention [6] 20:3 33:10	10:25 34:23	18:13 18	:18	18:20
-6-		adhere [1]	27:9	announcemen	ts [1]	35:2 40:20	د2.7د	18:22 19	:6	20:4
60-day [1]	7:18	adjourn [1]	44:7	4:14 annual [2]	13:25	Attorney [1]	22:18	20:7 20 21:7 23		20:19 23:10
6th [2] 6:24	10:9	adjourned[1]	44:10	41:17	1.7.4.7	attorneys [2]	25:1	24:2 25	:9	25:17
		adjusting [1]	18:14	anomaly [1]	24:23	32:23	20.01	25:19 25 27:23 30		27:21 31:11
		administering	[1]	answer[1]	36:25	authorities [2]	32:21	33:2 33		31:11 33:13
7 [3] 6:4	17:20	38:13	24.7	appeal [2]	7:17	authority [8]	7:4	33:22 34	:15	41:13
18:7		adopt [3]	24:7	10:11				41:16 41	:22	42:3

								0-						~
	42:22	43:5	35:12	42:4	43:12	clarific			22:25	22:25	28:13	consult		[2]
43:7 43:13	43:11 43:20	43:11 44:1	certify		45:8	18:24 clarifie	27:6	29:8	29:19	35:15	17.10	7:12 contain	40:7	04.0
board's		4:16	cetera		17:25	29:13	CG [2]	29:12	17:20	rcial [7] 17:21	17:19	30:8	30:8	24:8
	27:15	4.10	18:15 39:10	39:10	39:10	clarify	£21	27:15	21:3	29:9	29:14	context		8:17
boards		15:11	Chair [41	9:19	40:19	[2]	201.13		ssion [3		Conting		
boat [1]			9:24	4) 34:14	34:16	Clearly	7 [1]	10:19	1:5	2:11	5:7	continu		7:25
Bob [1]			chaire		21:10	closure		25:25	5:11	5:16	7:4			40:13
Borden		2:8		18 11 [123]	2:1	coast [1		20.20	7:6	7:13	7:13	continu		
	19:13	19:14	2:18	4:5	4:7	Coasta	-	7:8	7:15 9:2		8:14 11:11	conven		
19:15	19:21	23:5	4:12	5:2	5:5	24:24	24:25	32:19	11:17	12:16	18:21	5:15	tont [z]	J.J
	23:7	25:17	5:17	5:22	5:25	36:17	38:19		23:11	24:14	24:22	convey	[2]	9:19
	27:19 29:12	27:20 30:24	6:19 8:9	6:21 9:15	8:4 9:18	coastw	ide [2]	26:14	27:23	28:22	31:10	30:7	L-J	- 1
	31:19	36:2	9:19	9:23	10:1	27:8			33:24 37:10	34:10 37:22	34:19 45:24	соорега	ited [1]	34:21
	42:16	42:17	10:14	11:23	11:24	Coates		2:13	Commi			Cooper	ative [2]	}
bottom	[1]	7:3	12:6	12:20	12:24	2:14 8:11	5:24 12:6	5:25 12:9	6:16	25:7	35:1		38:19	
bounce	[1]	13:9	13:3 14:24	13:4 15:2	14:10 15:6	12:19	13:21	13:24	commi		15:7	coordin		
bounda	ries [1]	28:11	15:10	15:16	15:19	15:21	15:23	21:18	15:10		16:7	coordin		16:10
brief [4]	10:16	15:3	15:22	15:25	16:11	21:19	21:20	22:12	21:9		31:5	copies [1]	4:11
30:25	43:20		16:13	16:18	17:1	38:7	38:8	38:9	COMM		ALTH	copy [1]	33:22	
briefly [[2]	16:22	17:3	18:17	18:22	39:1 40:13	39:4 40:24	39:18 44:8	[1]	45:5		correct		17:15
17:17			19:5 20:18	19:14 21:19	20:2 21:20	Colang		2:15	compla					27:24
bring [5]	0.5	4:25	22:10	22:24	23:2	colleag		24:12	comple		10:22	29:12	37:6	10.0
6:14 24:2	8:5	12:25	23:6	23:9	23:13	Columi		3:18	compli			Council 13:12		13:9 20:6
broader	. 213	24:11	23:16	23:19	23:22	Colvin		2:1	17:13 18:19		18:8 26:15			20:19
brought		40:19	23:24 25:12	25:4 25:15	25:11 25:18	2:17	2:18	4:7	33:10		33:14	20:22	21:7	25:5
Bruce [3		2:24	27:12	27:14	27:18	4:12	5:2	5:5	34:6					34:4
	3 5:17	2,24	27:20	27:22	27:25	5:17	5:22	6:19	compli	ment [2]	31:11	35:9 43:5	35:11 43:23	38:12
burden		36:7	28:2	28:5	28:15	6:24 9:18	8:4 9:23	9:15 10:1	31:12			Counci		38:3
	39:13		28:18 29:16	28:19 29:19	29:7 29:22	10:14	12:6	12:20	compo			Council		36:3 24:14
busines	S [10]	4:19	30:3	30:11	30:14	12:24	13:3	14:10	compre		ely [2]		35:13	24,14
4:24	6:10	6:13	30:18	30:20	30:22	14:24	15:2	15:16	37:18	37:24		couple		4:13
	33:1	33:5	31:13	31:16	32:3	15:19	15:22	16:13	concer		4:15		18:12	19:15
	43:16	44:5	32:5	32:6	32:25	17:1 19:5	17:3 19:14	18:17 20:2	5:11 34:17		31:1 37:9	course [8:24
bycatch 22:7	[4] 43:23	22:1 44:3	33:3 33:20	33:15 33:23	33:17 35:15	20:18	21:19	22:10	37:19		37.9 39:23	9:8	9:14	10:2
22.1	43.23	د.۶۲	35:18	37:3	37:7	22:24	23:2	23:6	40:14	•		28:20	34:15	35:16
	-C-	_	37:14	38:8	38:25	23:9	23:13	23:16	сопсет	1ed [2]	39:20	courses	[1]	38:17
			39:6	40:23	41:2	23:19	23:22	23:24	40:5			court [7]		6:24
	2:10		41:3 41:21	41:6	41:19	25:4 27:14	25:12 27:18	25:15 27:22	concer	1S [4]	26:4	9:11	10:21	24:4
calls [1]			41:21 42:7	41:24 42:10	41:25 42:16	27:25	28:2	28:5	26:11		37:17	43:2 Court's	45:20	7.3
capabili		38:13	42:23	42:25	43:9	28:15	28:18	29:7	conclu		6:10	7:17	[2]	7:3
capacity	,	28:6	43:15	43:18	44:4	29:16	29:19	29:22	9:4 32:2	18:25	26:8	covered	m	41:17
Cape [1]			44:7	44:9		30:3 30:18	30:11 30:20	30:14 30:22	conclu	led m	38:16	стаb [1]		71.17
capture		15:11		nan's [1]		31:13	31:16	32:3	conclu		33:16	crawled		11:10
care [1]			chance		8:12	32:5	32:25	33:15	conduc		40:6	created	~ -	21:9
careful [[2]	11:1	change		19:7	33:17	33:20	35:15	confer		25:5	40:4	[~]	#1.7
34:22	- T	10.10	19:8	19:10	40:18	35:18 37:14	37:3 38:8	37:7 38:25	Conkli		2:19	critical	Г13	34:22
Carmicl 17:16	nael [3] 18:3	17:12	change		5:12	39:6	40:23	38:23 41:2	Connec			сгоакег		33:8
Carolina		2:25	change: 31:22	S [3] 31:23	22:19	41:6	41:19	41:21	2:6		22:15	Cupka		33:2
	գ լգյ 26:5	26:12	Chapte		24:8	41:24	42:7	42:10	consen				33:16	00.4
Carpent		2:10	charter		31:2	42:16 43:9	42:23 43:15	42:25 43:18	Conser			cut [1]	7:2	
	17:8		check [32:23	44:4	44:7	44:9	36:18		-,			
carries [3]	23:25	checkin		13:8	comfor		44:2	conserv	/e [1]	7:10		-D-	
	30:23		circle (2		18:1	coming			conside	T [1]	39:10	D.C [1]	13-16	
case [4]		9:11	35:24	4]	19:1	25:8	[-]		conside		2]	Danver		13:20
	43:2		circula	ted (2)	31:22	comme	nt [12]	4:15	35:5	37:25		13:21	~ [~]	ل ښه د د م
catch [1]		18:11	38:15	[2]		4:17	5:18	9:16	consist			date [3]	1:8	6:6
caught [2	2]	16:20	circum	stances	[1]	26:3	32:9	34:9	constit			7:19		•
17:3		<u></u>	36:3		L-3	34:9 35:5	34:10 38:15	35:4	5:9			dates [1]	16:24	
certain [37:16	city [2]	14:19	45:6	comme		5:19	consult		I	David		2:8
certainly		5:15	-			10:8	11:24	22:11	31:20	42:11		36:2		
19:21	∠U:10	33:18					1 4 (4) T							

								<u>j- 8- </u>	
days [2] 10:12	31:21	discontinuity	[1]	encourage [1]	42:19	39:19 40:3		formalizing [1]	
de [6] 15:8	27:7	34:25		end [4] 4:10	13:5	failed [1]	7:6		6:15
27:9 29:9	42:1	discuss [2]	8:7	16:1 36:22		fall [1] 38:11		formulated [1]	25:20
42:2		43:8		endorsement [1]	familiar [1]	29:24	forth [1] 22:19	
deadline [1]	26:16	discussed [1]	25:22	18:20		fantastic [1]	15:14	fortunately [1]	11:15
deal [3] 9:9	40:10	discussion [9]		England [4]	5:12	far [2] 7:14	26:6	forward [12]	4:25
43:4		23:14 26:8	28:3	13:12 13:18	14:3	fasteners [1]	18:6	6:14 11:18	16:4
dealing [2]	18:13	28:15 29:16	30:11	enjoy [1]	5:14			20:10 20:15	20:16
18:15		30:15 32:9		entertain [1]	4:17	favor [4] 23:17 28:14	6:24 30:15	20:20 22:22	41:7
DeBerry [2]	1:14	discussions [2]	34:3	entire [2]	32:18			43:25 44:2	
45:8		42:1		42:20		feature [1]	40:2	forwarding [1]	41:3
December [1]	5:8	District [1]	3:17	envision [1]	42:20	February [1]	33:22	Fote [16] 2:22	2:23
decided [2]	19:10	document [3]	19:9	equalize [1]	20:1	federal [16]	4:22	13:18 14:4	19:4
42:2		31:18 31:22		equation [1]	36:8	10:20 11:6 26:20 26:21	24:18	19:5 19:6	23:20
decision [10]	7:3	done [6] 16:15	24:25	Ernie [1]	2:6	26:20 26:21 26:23 26:24	26:22 33:4	23:25 28:4	28:5
7:17 7:19	7:20	32:15 36:11 41:12	39:9	escape [1]	17:25	34:6 34:7	34:23	28:6 42:24 43:1 43:14	42:25
7:21 8:6	10:11		7.00			35:3 37:23	41:9		C:04
10:21 12:15	32:11	down [6] 14:5 15:9	7:20 15:9	essentially [2] 26:8	26:6	feds[1] 38:12		found [1]	6:24
decrease [1]	40:5	25:22 41:8	13.9	establish [1]	28:11	feeling [2]	21:14	frame [1]	36:5
defer[1]27:11		Dr [4] 3:4	3:23			24:15	21.1	framework [3]	18:13
deficient [1]	21:25	24:4 30:21	J.2.J	establishing [1 28:9]	fellow[1]	9:4	21:5 27:2	
degradable [1]	18:6		6:11		21.6	fervor [2]	8:13	Frank [3]	16:8
Delaware [2]	3:10	27:21 41:7	0.11	estimate [1]	31:6	8:13	0.13	16:9 16:14	
26:12		drafted [1]	41:1	et [5] 17:25	18:15	few [2] 10:12	31:21	frankly [3]	11:5
deliberation [2]]	driving [1]	42:21	39:10 39:10	39:10	filibuster[1]	26:5	20:20 41:14	
35:3 43:11		driving [1]	42.21	evening [2]	8:6			Freeman [8]	2:24
Dennis [4]	16:17	drum [1]33:8		11:16		final [2] 31:18	34:23	3:1 5:4 35:17 35:18	5:6 35:19
16:18 21:13	25:15		13:2	events [1]	39:17	finding [1]	7:17	36:22	22:13
depth[1]	41:15		13:20 14:20	everybody [1]	18:5	finish [2]	8:25	Friday [3]	6:7
deregulation [1	.]	35:7	14.20	everyone's [1]	44:2	12:10		13:15 14:19	0.7
39:13	-	during [3]	34:9	exact[1]	16:24	firm[1] 6:8		front [2] 18:7	24:15
described [1]	23:8	35:4 41:25	57.5	exceeded [1]	7:4	first [6] 15:24	16:9	full [1] 13:11	24.13
design [1]	27:4	30.1 11.20		excellent [2]	16:1	20:5 33:2	35:21		15.00
designed [1]	38:20	-E-		31:12		37:5		funny [1]	15:19
Despite [1]	5:14			exceptions [1]	26:9	fish [2] 3:2	44:1		6:17
detailed [1]	37:25	eager [1] 19:22		Excuse [1]	38:22	fisheries [14]	1:5	Furthermore [1]]
		Ed [1] 2:19		exemptions [1]		1:6 2:2	2:11	30:5	
determined [1]		EEZ [21]	4:22	exercise [1]	35:25	3:25 26:19 28:23 30:23	28:12 33:4		
develop [1]	37:24	6:18 7:1	7:9			36:15 36:18	38:19	-G-	
developed [3]	20:6		8:19	expectation [2]	20:23	43:21	30.17	gaps [1] 37:20	
21:6 34:19			9:13			fishermen [1]	5:15		19:20
developing [2]	11:2		11:7 24:20	expectations [1	l]	fishery [14]	7:7	Geiger[3]	3:2
38:14			34:1		45.04	7:10 10:24	13:12	3:4 30:21	3.2
development [9		34:24 38:21	J 1.1	expires [1]	45:24	22:2 29:4	29:15	general [4]	6:6
6:4 6:11	8:21		37:19	explained [1]	36:3	32:19 33:25	35:9	22:19 25:5	34:4
8:25 8:25 12:2 34:21	9:12 38:18		7:15	explicit[1]	26:22	36:13 36:14	36:15	1	31:5
developments		12:20 38:11	٧.١٠	explicitly [1]	26:17	38:12		generate [1] generated [1]	31:1
8:20	ΓτΊ		11:9	exploitation [1]	fishing [1]	7:1		
Dick [10]	6:15	22:3	11.5	21:4		Florida [1]	2:20	George [1]	2:3
6:20 8:5	10:4	eight [1] 25:22		explore [1]	31:4	flounder [4]	43:3	Georgia [1]	4:3
	24:9		32:15	express [7]	9:6	43:5 43:11	43:13	given [8]	10:5
30:5 35:25	38:9	40:4	J2.1J	9:16 11:21	22:7	fluke [3] 20:5	22:21	11:25 13:9 31:7 32:20	24:4
	9:5		12:11	37:5 37:9	37:18	24:2		31:7 32:20 36:17	36:13
19:24 19:25	39:9	16:10 33:10	12.11	expressed [3]	21:23	fluke/scup/sea	a [1]	giving [2]	10:22
39:14			2:5	21:24 37:12		16:18		25:1	10:22
difficult [2]	11:14		5:1	expressing [1]	34:17	FMP [4] 24:20	24:21	glacially [1]	20:21
14:19		5:21 9:17	9:22	extends [1]	40:25	27:21 32:15			
dilemma [1]	22:6	9:25 14:9	15:1	extent [1]	42:21	folks [1] 15:4		Goldthwait [1]	
diligence [1]	31:11		23:1			follow-up [1]	34:3	good [5] 16:9	16:10
direction [2]	14:23		25:14	-F-		following [4]	4:13	25:10 33:18	40:15
22:5			30:13			26:9 34:15	35:2	Gordon [8]	2:17
	22:20		44:6	F[1] 26:13	5 .16	force [1] 15:8		5:6 6:23 15:23 16:19	8:11 35:20
28:25 31:25	39-22	~	20:14	faced [1]	7:16	foregoing [1]	45:9	37:4	JJ.2U
	28:20	emphasize [1]		fact [10] 5:13	11:4	formal [3]	34:8	government [1]	11.6
	17:13	encapsulate[1]	26:7	12:1 16:21	21:1	35:4 37:24	0.7ر	government[1] governor's [2]	
GTT OCCUPATION [1]				21:14 21:25	31:8	7,40		ROACTHOL 2 [5]	∠8: <i>/</i>

				Mu	lti-Pa	ige TM				granted	i - M	aryland
28:12		implementation	[1]	interstate		1:6	keep [2] 1		26:24	22:4		
granted [1]	27:9	7:Ĩ		E .	4:21		Kennedy	[2]	2:19	limits [4]	.	17:19
gravely [1]	40:5	implemented [3] 17:11 20:8 32	2:18	intimate [12:12	2:21		1.6 -		8:14	29:9
great [1] 11:17		implementing [1]		introduct 4:14	10ns [1	.]	kidding [16:5	line [3] 7:	:3	28:10
group [2]	21:11	8:1	l	invite [1]		9:5	kind [7] 10 11:16 1	0:4 1:24	10:16 32:23	38:23 listed [1]		18:6
25:21	6.5	importance [1] 10):22	involve [1		9:5 34:5		9:12 9:12	JL,LJ	litigation	1 523	8:21
guess [8] 6:15 13:10	6:5 16:7	important [2] 16		involved		33:11	knotty [1]		38:4	39:25	[4]	0.41
27:11 37:8	38:16	22:12		Іга [1] 3:		,,,,,,	knowled		42;12	live [2] 1	6:11	24:16
39:18		impossible [2] 11	1:5	ISFMP [1]		11:16	knows [1]		39:16	living [1]		5:9
guests [3]	5:19	22:16		Island [3]		2:8				lobster [7]	l	4:22
22:25 29:20		inch[1] 18:1		13:23 42				-L-			4:2	36:14
guidance [1]	24:9		7:19 9:1	issue [24]		9:16	L _[2] 1	:14	45:8		0:2	42:18
TT		27:1 27:1	7.1			11:1	landing		26:18	lobsters [39:3 39:3	5] 9:5	38:25 39:6
-H-	-	1	3:10			12:23 22:21	landings		27:8	40:2	7.3	37.0
half [1] 7:12		include [7] 7::		24:11 26		26:21	36:16			location	21	1:9
Hampshire [1]		7:6 9:1 21	l:3		7:9 3	37:15	language	[4]	24:6	5:10	-	
handed [2] 41:18	7:20		2:21			10:12			32:1	look [3] 1	4:7	32:1
handouts [1]	4:10	included [1] 28			l:10 4 2:5	12:1	Lapointe		2:3	41:7		
nandouts [1] hanging [2]	4:10 38:16		25	issues [8]		1:15			2:8 2:15	looking [5 28:13 3:	5] 5:22	11:15 36:11
11 auging [2] 38:21	30.10	including [3] 8:: 18:19 42:12	20	9:9):20 1	2:25	2:17 2:	19	2:22	28:13 3: 37:15	J:42	20:11
hard [1] 31:12		i.	2:23	14:25 15		25:6	2:24 3:	2	3:5	loss [2] 30	6:6	37:21
hate [1] 24:3		39:24	.23	41:17	10 -				3:12 3:20	lost [3] 3		39:16
hear[1] 39:22):13	item [8] 5: 6:13 16		5:23 18:7			4:2	43:2		
hearing [2]	19:9	1	:13			13:19	4:5 4:	9	24:3			
27:4			3:17	items [9]		1:13	1 .		41:12	-	-M-	
hearings [5]	19:9	indicate [4] 6:2		4:17 4:	18 4	l:19	large [1] 4:			mackerel	[1]	33:8
25:22 25:24	28:14	8:23 13:6 35	5:1			5:3	largely [1]		36:16	Magnuso		20:8
28:21 held [4] 5:13	14:12	indicated [22] 5:4		18:7 30):25		larger [1]		42:3	24:8 24	4:20	25:7
28:21 28:22	14,12	13:2 19:4 19 21:18 22:9 23):13 :-5		-J-		last [13] 7:		8:6 15:18		2:16 0:18	34:1
help [2] 10:10	16:25	28:4 28:17 29				- ~				main [1] 33		
Herb [6] 3:12	3:14	29:21 31:15 32	2:4	Jack [10] 3:1 6:7 13		5:5 .4:2	39:1 4	1:10	41:12	Maine [2]	٠.٠	2:4
28:17 28:18	28:19		:15	14:24 15		5:16	1	3:20		40:17	•	۰ . سه د
30:19			:23 :17	31:16 35			1.	5:20		Maine's	[1]	40:25
hereby [1]	45:8	individual [1] 4:		Jack's [1]		0:21	laws [2] 20		34:7	major [1]	-	9:11
highly [1]	21:16	industry[1] 31		Jaime [1]		3:2	lawsuit [1	~	43:3	majority	[1]	8:14
Highway [1]	1:9	information [5] 6:0		Jensen [7]		3:8	lawyers [40:10	manage [1		7:10
Hilton [1]	1:9	10:4 16:3 41	:19	3:9 14 14:18 29		.4:11 :9:8	least [4] 8:			managem		2]
historical [1]	19:8	43:19		Jersey [5]		9:8 3:13	leave [1] 13			1:6 2:		5:23
history [1]	25:19	initial [5] 8:1				113 18:23	leave [1] 1.		32:24	6:17 7: 10:23 10	:7):24	9:13 11:2
hoc [1] 21:8		8:15 21:23 34 43:11	:13	30:22			left [1] 6:		۳ 2.2¢		1:18	12:11
hold [1] 21:1	000		:11	Jill [1] 3:	5		legal [1] 12			12:18 13	3:5	13:12
hook-and-rele 31:3	ase [1]	initiateu [1] 8:1		job [6] 15		5:14	legislatio		40:3		D:5	20:9
hope [6] 11:20	16:2	input [1] 27		16:1 16	:9 1	6:14	Legislati				1:2 1:9	21:3 21:12
16:4 16:11	19:25	inquiry [3] 8:2		31:12 Toess 40):11		length [1]		19:1		1:21	24:7
21:10		8:10 11:25	_	Joe [1] 40 John [4] 3:1		7.11	lengthy [1		43:3	25:16 25	5:20	28:9
hopefully [1]	20:11		:10	JOHN [4] 3:1		7:11	less [1] 19		.5.5		9:4	32:20
hoping [1]	33:1	institute [1] 7:1		joint [4] 20		4:5	letter [14]		4:20		3:25 5:18	34:20 36:20
		intend[1] 31			:20		6:23 9:	21	10:9		3:3	38:14
		intended [2] 7:1		jointly [1]		:0:6	30:9 33	3:22	33:23	mandator		33:10
idea [3] 12:3	14:21	10:2		jokes [1]		5:14				manual [1]	15:10
25:10			:18	Judge's [2]] 1	2:15	39:21	.1.0	20.0	Manus [4]		3:10
ideally [1]	15:10		:25	32:11			level [3] 10):25	15:13	3:11 28	3:1	28:2
ideas [1] 13:9		intention [3] 6:9 35:1 36:4	j	judgment		7:11	44:2			March [4]		1:8
identifies [1]	37:16	interest [1] 6:1	17	June [5] 17		7:14	likelihoo	d [1]	12:9):9	42:17
Immediately [1]		:13	17:18 18		2:16	likely [1]		12:6	Marine [5]	1 5:19	1:5 28:23
4:13 impetus [1]	40.4	34:13		jurisdictio	ՄԱ [1] 4	0:23	limb [1] 1:			30:23		
impetus [1] implement [2]	40:4	interpretation [1]			-K-		limbo [1]		39:15	Maryland	1 [1]	3:8
36:19	J.L.14	12:14			7.7		limit [3] 17	7:19	20:15	•	-	
		1					I					

				Multi-F	age™		Mason-Dixon - plan
Mason-Dixor	1[1]	miles[1]	40:25	23:3 29:4	32:21	25:4 25:12 31:25	
28:10 Massachusett		Military [1]	1:9	34:22 34:24 37:17 37:20	37:14 37:22	41:3 41:6 44:9 observation [2] 10:19	paper [4] 15:9
2:13 5:12	8 [Z]	mind [4] 14:20 32:10 32:17	24:15	38:2 39:21	42:5	15:3	35:25 42:9 42:10 part [5] 7:6 31:6
matter [6]	8:7	minimal [1]	17:20	needed [2]	10:23	obviously [1] 40:9	32:8 36:8 40:6
10:18 20:13	36:3	minimally [1]	10:6	30:4 needs [4]	7.00	occasions [1] 7:11	participation [2]
37:23 43:10 maximum [1]	17:23	minimis [5]	27:7	11:1 25:6	7:22 42:3	occurs [2] 22:1	38:2 38:3
may [15] 5:6	8:15	27:9 29:9 42:2	42:1	negative [1]	24:1	22:2 October[1] 45:24	particular [3] 5:11 33:9 36:19
8:16 10:3	11:24	minimum [8]	26:14	neighbors [2]	40:1	off [2] 13:9 18:5	particularly [2] 16:14
12:2 25:9 30:7 34:12	29:2 38:10	26:15 26:16	26:24	40:8	2.15	offer [4] 10:5 10:16	33:11
41:15 41:20	42:6	26:24 27:10 40:1	29:14	Nelson [4] 3:16 13:22	3:15 14:1	18:18 35:5	partner [1] 35:11
42:14		minor[1]	31:21	net [1] 36:6		offers [1] 9:13	partners [1] 11:6
McDonald [1]		minute [3]	7:14	never[1]	24:13	office [1] 40:21	partnership [2] 11:19 34:18
McDowell [2] 28:20	3:12	32:8 38:23		new [14] 2:17	3:13	official [2] 25:6	partnerships [1]
mean [1]	26:25	minutes [2]	4:23	3:15 5:12 13:18 14:2	13:12 21:8	offshore[1] 38:3	37:19
measure [2]	12:18	41:10 mixed[1]	22:2	28:7 28:11	28:21	once [2] 37:22 41:8	party [1]31:2
27:2		mobile [1]	19:17	28:22 29:2	30:22	one [15] 11:8 15:3	passed [1] 18:4
measured [1]	11:1	modify [1]	27:2	news [1] 33:18		21:7 23:24 24:17 26:12 29:8 32:1	past [1] 33:5 Paul [1] 3:22
measures [9] 11:3 17:10	10:23 17:10	moment [1]	8:7	next [11] 5:17 10:12 12:7	5:22 16:16	36:8 38:4 38:17	pay [2] 10:25 11:2
18:14 18:19	22:17	Monday [2]	13:15	18:12 21:2	25:16	39:11 39:19 42:17	
24:8 24:9		33:5		33:21 43:7	44:1	43:1 ones [2] 18:9 21:16	2:15
meet [3] 12:1 22:16	13:13	money[1] month[1]	15:18	night [6] 13:5 15:18 33:5	15:3 41:25	ones [2] 18:9 21:16 open [1] 13:14	people [7] 14:5
meeting [35]	2:2	months [1]	7:21 31:8	43:20	11.25	operate [2] 7:6	14:6 14:13 14:15 14:19 17:24 41:18
4:23 5:7	5:8	moratorium [6]		nitty-gritty [1]		15:11	percent [1] 27:7
5:10 5:12 6:1 6:5	5:13 6:10	7:15 8:1	8:15	NMFS [5]	7:5	opinion [3] 9:5	perhaps [2] 10:20
6:22 8:8	12:7	8:18 9:5		9:3 9:11 43:2	43:2	25:1 32:22 opportune [1] 8:7	42:10
13:5 13:7	13:7	mortality [1]	31:3	NMFS's[1]	6:17	opportunities [1]	period [4] 21:24 34:9 35:4 38:15
13:19 13:25 15:24 16:1	15:5 16:8	most [4] 8:6 9:8 9:14	8:23	NOAA [2]	25:5	21:8	permission [1] 32:7
17:9 18:19	19:6	motion [16]	19:22	34:4		opportunity [3] 4:15	permitting [1] 18:10
19:10 20:9 20:24 41:11	20:22 41:15	23:3 23:14	23:24	none [4] 5:2 23:16 33:20	10:1	34:8 43:21	perspective [3] 15:24
41:17 42:14	43:8	24:1 24:6 27:13 27:13	26:7 27:19	Nonetheless [1	1	opposed [3] 23:19 29:2 30:18	21,22 32.20
44:1		28:3 28:16	29:17	34:12	•	optimism [1] 11:17	persuasion [1] 12:13 Pete [4] 2:15 3:8
meetings [1]	13:9	30:12 30:23	44:7		1:9	optimistic [1] 11:19	Pete [4] 2:15 3:8 14:10 29:7
member [2] 35:11	9:15	move [6] 16:16 22:22	11:20 23:7	1:10 45:6 north [5]	2:24	option [2] 42:9	phased [1] 26:15
members [13]	4:9	27:21 27:22		26:5 26:12	40:1	42:10	Phil [4] 2:13 8:10
4:25 5:19	8:12	moved [2]	23:11	40:8		order [4] 2:1 5:9 15:13 33:5	15:22 22:12
9:4 11:19 13:17 18:13	12:25 20:4	44:8 moving [5]	20.15	north/south [2]	28:10	originally [1] 5:8	Phil's [1] 10:7
31:11 33:22	42:11	20:16 20:20	20:15 20:21	28:25 northern [1]	36:14	ought[1] 37:10	pie [1] 39:11 place [4] 29:1
memo [1]	10:19	44:1		note [2] 15:5	31:24	ourselves [2] 11:14	31:24 34:1 45:10
mention [1]	16:14	Ms [16] 4:4	23:12	noted [1]	32:11	11:15	plaintiffs' [1] 6:25
mentioned [3] 36:1 45:10	24:11	23:13 37:2 37:4 37:8	37:3 40:17	notice [6]	33:24	outcome [1] 8:2 overall [1] 35:12	plan [54] 6:12 7:7
mentioning [1]	35:13	41:1 41:23	41:24	34:5 37:22	38:10	overbearing [1] 16:2	12:11 16:10 16:25 17:5 18:16 18:18
merits [1]	37:25	41:25 42:9 43:18 43:19	43:17	38:15 41:8 November [6]	4:23	overcome [1] 40:12	19:7 19:11 20:6
mesh [7] 17:20	17:21	muddy [1]	24:3	17:7 17:8	18:19	overfishing [1] 38:20	
17:21 19:16 20:16 22:4	20:15	J. J		20:24 41:11		oversight[1] 33:7	22:12 22:15 22:20
20:16 22:4 met [4] 6:1	25:19	-N-		now [9] 8:16 9:6 16:17	9:1 17:3	overtaken [1] 39:17	
25:23 33:4	23.17	nae [2] 23:20	23:25	17:6 20:12	36:17	own [1] 20:7	24:6 25:21 26:2 26:9 27:10 28:8
Mid-Atlantic		national [4]	3:25	37:18		-P-	 28:14 29:3 29:10
16:23 20:6 22:2 43:5	20:8	26:19 30:23	40:1	number [2] 41:16	4:11		29:24
mid-February	F11	nature [3] 36:13 36:14	34:18	71.10		page [2] 18:5 18:7	32:18 32:21 33:6
43:23	r_1	necessary [6]	8:19	-O-		pages [1] 45:9 paid [1] 33:9	33:12 35:10 35:11
might [9]	13:13	21:4 22:13	25:2	O'Reilly [1]	15:6	Palmer [2] 3:17	35:14 35:22 35:23 38:14 38:18 40:3
13:22 14:19 21:2 21:15	20:2 22:5	25:8 34:6	6.1	object [1]	16:5	3:19	42:8 43:3 43:24
35:8 40:6	and and	need [16] 6:14 7:22	6:4 20:22	objection [7]	9:23	PANEL [2] 23:18	43:25
						<u> </u>	

				Multi-P	age TM			planned - S	Schaefer
planned [1]	43:20	proceedings [1]	45:10	raised [2]	10:20	regard [9]	6:3	10:8 10:17	14:9
planning [4]	14:13	process [5]	12:3	36:2		6:10 6:16	8:15	15:1 15:15	15:21
24:14 24:16	24:17		34:8	raises [2]	24:10	9:5 9:12 40:1 40:7	39:19	23:1 23:15 25:14 27:17	23:23 29:18
plans [4]	4:22	35:13		35:12	00.10	regarding [3]	4:21	30:13 33:19	34:13
33:9 33:10	33:14	productive [1]		range [1]	32:18	32:12 34:17	7.21	34:13 34:16	35:5
pleased [3] 25:25 26:3	6:2	program [3] 1 21:2 21:12	11:18	rationale [1]	19:24 26:13	regional [1]	28:8	35:14 37:16 41:4 41:5	38:12 41:7
point [13]	8:24		10:24	reaction [1]	6:16	Register [4]	34:6	41:4 41:5 44:6	41:7
9:7 19:21	19:25		34:1	reactions [1]	13:16	35:3 37:23	41:9	responsibiliti	es m
20:25 27:6	29:8	34:20 34:21		read [2] 26:10	32:24	regulations [6]		38:1	[-]
29:13 31:7 36:22 37:5	34:22 41:2		5:3	readdress [1]	40:4	26:22 27:4 38:19 38:20	32:14	responsibility	
pointed [2]	6:22	8:22 11:16 promulgate [1] 2	26.23	reading [2]	18:5		22:19	24:16 33:7 37:11 39:14	36:12
32:12		_	1:21	35:21		36:7 36:9	36:10	responsive [1]	10:6
pointing [2]	20:3	35:2 37:25	7,21	ready [3]	16:14	reiterates [1]	10:18	restriction [2]	17:20
34.18		propose [2]	34:14	16:15 16:16		relate [1]	5:23	17:23	17.20
points [1]	19:15	34:16		realization [1]	38:12	related [2]	22:20	result [4]	29:3
policy [13] 2:2 5:20	1:6 8:8		25:20	really [2] 32:21	24:24	27:7	00.04	36:23 39:24	40:3
18:20 18:20	23:10		35:4 39:19	reason [1]	22:18	relates [2] 28:25	28:24	resulting [1]	20:23
25:9 27:21	41:16	prosecuted [2]		rebuilds [1]	20:1	relative [2]	25:5	results [4] 8:20 25:24	6:16 42:21
41:22 42:3	43:7	43:22		received [1]	4:21	35:20		review [6]	21:24
poll [1] 8:12 position [4]	7.00		3:19	recently [1]	21:9	relayed [1]	15:17	33:6 37:23	41:14
11:13 11:14	7:23 37:24		37:20	recognition [2]	15:12	remain [1]	39:20	41:15 42:18	
positive [1]	31:10	~ ~ ~	6:8	15:13		remaining [1]	12:10	reviewed [2]	25:23
possession [4]	18:14	provide [3] 2 26:11 34:22	21:11	recognize [8]	6:19		7:19	25:24	
22:4 26:14	26:18		21:5	8:9 16:17 27:19 29:4	26:18 37:17	17:6 37:4	10.10	reviewing [1]	33:9
possibility [3]	13:14):1):1	37:22	57.17	reminder [2] 42:17	12:13	Rhode [3] 13:22 42:18	2:8
14:8 21:2		38:14	••	recognized [1]	16:19	removal	35:10	Richard [1]	3:24
possible [5] 22:13 22:22	16:4 30:7		3:20	recommend [4]			4:20	rig[1] 17:23	·-·
39:25	30.7	15:4		9:8 23:10	27:23	5:23 25:16	25:25	right [7] 13:24	17:16
pot [2] 17:25	18:6		k:9	recommendati			33:16	18:2 18:3	37:1
potential [1]	39:23		5:18 .9:9	9:2 12:16 26:1 26:6	23:10 26:23	reported [2] 27:15	1:14	39:3 42:7	
Potomac [1]	2:10	19:9 25:22 2	25:24	27:15 30:1	42:8	l	45:20	River[1]	2:10
pounds [1]	17:22		28:21	43:12		representative		Rob [3] 15:6 15:24	15:18
prefer [1]	27:13	38:10 39:13 publication [1] 3	5.0	recommendati 12:4 28:24		42:19	3 [1]	Robin [1]	2:4
preliminarily	[1]	1 -	.4:14	30:6	29:1	represented [1]	9:19	role [1] 35:12	
40:11			7:23	recommended	[2]	representing [3]	l	roll [1] 2:3	
preliminary [3] 37:16 41:4	34:13	1-	8:24	6:7 30:6	L-3	!	28:20	roller [2]	17:23
preparation [1]	12.5	*	7:25	recommending	Z [2]	~ -	20:19	17:23	
prepare [1]	35:22	14:21	.20	24:7 37:18			7:8 18:8	Rollie [4]	4:21
prepared [3]	24:21		0:8	record [8] 15:5 20:3	8:4 22:14	27:9 29:9	10:0	6:23 10:8	33:23
34:20 42:11		43:7		22:17 25:6	31:7	requirement [2]	7:8	Room[1]	5:14
prescribed [1]	34:9	putting [1] 3	2:16	34:11		17:10		route [1] 25:8 rule [6] 20:15	26:23
present [1]	7:16			recorded [1]	23:25	requirements [3		34:5 34:7	26:23 34:9
President [2]	36:10	-Q-		recreational [7]			19:16	38:11	
36:10	20.12	questionnaire [1]	18:11 29:10 29:11 29:15	29:10 31:2		38:5	run [1] 6:6	
pressures [1] presume [2]	39:12 13:20	17:12 questions [6] 1	8:21	red[1] 33:8	51.2	reservation [1] reservations [3]			
42:4	13.20		7:18	reducing [2]	36:9		29:3	<u>-S-</u>	
presumption [1	l.	33:17 35:12		39:13			34:24	Sandifer [3]	3:22
25:7			1:8	reduction [1]	43:23	37:21 38:4		3:23 24:4	
pretty [1]	38:4	35:7 42:7			21:4		4:17	saw [1] 28:13	15.00
primarily [1]	36:16	1	:6	reef [1] 27:5			10:21 24:23	says [3] 13:22 29:10	15:20
primary [1]	40:14		8:11 :1:7	reefs [1] 17:25			38:24	scenario [1]	18:25
problem[1]	22:1	21:9		refer [1] 43:10	00.10	respond [2]	37:10	Schaefer [29]	3:24
problems [1]	22:21	quotas [1] 2	1:3	reflect [1]	37:13	37:17		4:1 6:20	6:21
procedures [2] 42:13	34:7			reflected [1]	8:14		2:5	10:7 11:23	12:12
proceed [5]	5:2	R-		reflecting [1]	9:6 31:10		5:1 8:10	12:22 18:23 23:21 24:1	19:3 24:10
25:13 32:7	33:1		1:7	reform [1]	36:11	9:17 9:20	9:22	25:10 29:21	29:22
33:20		40:13 44:2				9:25 10:3	10:3	29:23 30:4	30:10

				Multi-P	age TM			schedule - und	leretand
32:4 32:5	32:6	42:9 43:17	43:18	square [1]	18:1	suggestion [2]		thought [4]	10:25
36:1 36:24	38:22	43:19	43.10	staff [13]	14:21	43:10	31.19	16:3 31:20	40:11
39:3 39:7	40:9	short [2] 6:1	10:12	15:20 25:5	28:23	suggestions [2]	42:14	thoughtful [2]	10:22
40:15		shrimp [1]	43:24	29:24 31:12	31:17	43:6		11:2	
schedule [1]	13:8	sign [2] 23:19	30:18	31:20 31:25 39:16 41:7	34:4 42:8	suit [1] 6:25		thoughts [2]	15:17
scheduled [1]	5:8	significant [2]	22:1	staffer [1]	40:20	summary [2]	10:17	21:16	
scheduling [2] 14:18	5:16	36:6		stage [1] 39:8	40.20	37:5	40.0	three [4] 4:19 28:21 38:17	17:14
Schmitten [4]	4:21	signify [2]	23:17	standards [1]	42:13	summer [4] 43:5 43:11	43:3 43:13	threshold [1]	17:21
6:23 33:23	41:4	30:16 similar[1]	22:11	started [1]	31:8	support [12]	8:15	through [8]	7:2
Schmitten's [4	9:20	simply [3]	35:22	state [14]	11:3	8:22 8:23	11:6	11:12 24:21	26:10
10:9 34:14	34:17	36:9 36:11	33,22	17:12 22:14	24:18	11:11 11:13	11:19	32:15 34:8	40:18
scientific [1]	31:5	sites [1] 27:5		26:5 26:18 26:25 27:8	26:20 29:9	11:21 16:11 21:22 22:6	19:22	42:20 throughout [1]	32:18
scup [8] 17:5 19:1 19:6	18:15 19:22	situation [1]	33:11	29:13 33:4	36:16	supported [1]	7:15	thrusting [1]	37:11
21:12 32:9	32:17	size [16] 17:18	17:19	36:17	20.20	supporter [1]	24:13	Thursday [1]	13:13
sea[1] 33:7		17:20 18:14	20:15	statement [2]	7:23		9:11	timely [1]	20:12
second [8]	5:7	20:15 22:4 26:14 26:15	26:14 26:16	22:18		supports [1]	22:15	timing [2]	34:23
8:2 13:11	19:21	26:24 26:24	29:9	states [14] 26:4 26:11	1:5 26:11	supposed [2]	17:11	37:9	55
20:25 23:12 28:1	27:25	29:14 40:1		31:23 33:13	35:23	24:5		to-wit[1]	45:6
Seconded [2]	23:13	sizes [5] 19:24	19:25	36:13 38:13	39:12	surface [1]	27:13	today [4]	18:21
28:2	20.10	20:1 26:25 slight [1]	27:10	39:22 40:20 42:19	42:2	surfaced [1]	31:4	20:23 38:5	42:4
Secretarial [4]	20:10	slipped [1]	31:23 32:10	states' [1]	33:11	surprise [1]	16:20	today's [1]	8:8
32:15 33:25	38:20	Snow [1]	32:10 40:17	status [3]	20:17	Susan [1]	4:2	together [1]	32:17
Secretary [15]	6:25	sold [1] 19:1	40.17	27:7 27:9	20.17	symposium [1]		Tom [1] 2:22	160
7:4 7:9 10:23 11:5	7:25 12:4	somewhere [3]	38-23	statute [1]	10:20	system [1]	21:5	too [3] 16:2 43:3	16:9
12:16 20:11	24:7	39:1 39:15	50.25	step [3] 21:2	21:3	-T-		took [2] 26:21	31:8
30:1 30:8	32:12	soon [5] 20:11	22:13	21:23				total [1] 18:11	51.0
32:13 35:23		22:22 22:22	30:7	Steve [1]	3:12	table [3] 4:10	19:19	tour[1] 15:8	
section [2] 32:22	7:7	sorry [3] 5:5	17:2	stew [1] 39:7		takes [1] 12:22		track [1] 39:16	
see [4] 16:21	17:13	39:4 sort[3] 24:11	24:22	still [8] 21:25 27:9 32:12	22:7 38:16	target [1]	26:13	transcript [1]	45:9
37:9 42:8	-,	39:17	24:22	38:21 39:20	39:23	tautog [4]	25:16	transfer [3]	39:14
Seeing [5]	5:2	South [8]	3:22	stock [2]	19:25	26:14 28:24	31:14	39:24 40:4	
10:1 23:16	30:14	4:20 33:2	33:4	42:20		tea[1] 32:24		trap [2] 17:25	18:6
33:20 seek[1] 21:8		35:9 43:22 44:3	43:22	straight [1]	37:15	team [1] 16:11		Travelstead [7] 3:21 15:4	
seem [2] 10:24	18:2	southern [3]	14:2	strategy [2]	24:17		15:7	3:21 15:4 15:17 31:15	15:15 31:17
Senator [1]	40:17	26:4 26:11	14,2	36:20	0.10	15:7 15:10 16:6 31:25	15:25	trawl [2] 17:23	22:2
send [3] 15:18	20:10	Spanish [1]	33:8	stringent [2] 26:20	9:12	tells [1] 32:17		trawls [1]	17:21
42:19	20.10	speak [1]	24:12	strong [6]	8:14	template [1]	21:15	trigger [1]	22:4
sense [1]	37:8	special [1]	27:4	8:16 8:16	8:22	ten [1] 31:21		troubled [1]	12:14
sent [2] 6:23	17:12	species [2]	33:6	21:21 37:19		tended [1]	13:6	trout [1] 33:8	
separate [1]	25:22	33:7		stronger [1]	8:23	terms [4]	7:5	true [1] 45:9	
service [12]	3:3	specific [7] 7:7 7:19	4:18 12:18	strongly [1]	9:10	15:13 36:4	38:17	try [5] 13:16	14:21
3:25 7:22 10:2 10:9	9:20 11:22	32:22 40:3	41:3	Stu [1] 2:19	27.7	thank [32]	4:7	14:22 31:5	44:2
24:13 26:19	26:23	specifically [1]		subject [2] 34:15	27:7	5:7 5:16 5:22 5:25	5:17 6:19	trying [1]	14:17
30:23 34:4		specifics [1]	35:2	submit [1]	43:25		8:11	Tuesday [1]	13:15 19:24
Service's [3]	8:10	spirit [1]	10:15	subsequent [2]		11:23 12:12	12:22	two [8] 19:23 20:2 28:22	30:25
11:9 33:24		Spitsbergen [15		38:18		12:23 13:4 21:20 22:24	19:3 25:15	32:21 34:19	36:21
set [1] 6:4	0.10	16:17 16:19	17:2	subsequently [[1]		28:19		
setback [1] setting [1]	9:12 39:8	17:5 17:9 18:4 18:24	17:17 19:2	12:10		30:10 32:3	32:24		
setting [1] settle [1]	39:8 42:4	19:18 20:14	21:10	substantial [2]	6:2	32:25 33:3	33:15	unanswered [1]	38:6
settie [1] seven [1]	42:4 31:21	21:14 23:3	23:8	8:22 substantive [1]	41.16	40:23 41:9 44:4	42:23	unaware [1]	17:4
several [2]	7:11	split [5] 19:9	19:10	such [4] 25:9	34:23	Thanks [1]	14:24	under [15]	4:19
18:12		28:10 28:25 spoke [2]	29:1	35:2 39:24	J 2 J	I .	24:25	7:8 18:7	18:25
shall [1] 39:8		spoke [2] 41:4	12:8	suffer[1]	34:24	34:8		20:8 24:17 24:25 32:14	24:23 32:16
share [1] 15:23		spot[1] 33:8		suffice [1]	25:8		11:12	32:19 34:1	36:10
sheparding [1]	40:18	spotted [1]	33:7	suggest [3]	8:9		17:6	39:9 39:14	_
Shipman [11]	4:2	spring [2]	13:7	13:15 35:8	, ,,	17:14 third [1] 13:11		understand [6] 9:11 19:24	
4:4 23:12 41:23 41:24	23:13 41:25	13:25		suggested [2] 39:20	6:5	thirdly [1]	34:25	34:2 36:15	22:3
71,27	T1,4,			1 37.20		miner's [1]	JT.4J	T J	

				Multi-Page™	understood - zone
understood [1]	10:7	weeks [1]	18:12		
undone [2]	6:3	welcomes [1]	12:15		
12:11		whole [4]	10:17		
unique [1]	40:2	24:10 36:20	42:5		
unless [1] up [18] 6:4	32:17 6:9	Wildlife [1] willing [1]	3:3 37:11		
up [18] 6:4 7:14 8:5	13:10	willingness [1]			
16:1 16:11	20:13	window [1]	7:18		
24:15 24:15	25:22	wish [2] 5:19	9:16		
26:21 37:15 41:20 42:1 43:6	38:23 42:6	withdraw [2] 33:25	4:22		
using [2]	18:1	withdrawal [1]	38:18		
32:21		within [1]	9:3		
usual [1] usually [1]	4:14 28:11	without [5] 25:12 41:6 44:9	19:8 43:12		
-V-		won[1] 43:2			
valid [1] 31:3		wonder[1]	32:7		
vanu[1]31.3 vent[1] 18:1			13:10		
vents [1]	17:25	wording [3]	31:23		
verbally [1]	21:24	40:18 40:21	20.2		
verbiage [1]	7:2	worth [2] 35:13	20:3		
Vice [1] 36:10		worthwhile [1]	16:13		
Victoria [2]	1:14	write [2] 15:9	15:9		
45:8 view [5] 9:16	9:19	writing [2] 30:8	21:23		
25:2 25:3	36:13	wrong [2]	25:2		
views [2] 38:1	34:15	36:25			
vigorously [1]	31:4				
Virginia [4] 3:20 5:7	1:10 45:5	year[5] 7:12	7:12		
virtually [1]	22:15	21:6 26:12	39:2		!
vote [7] 19:11	20:9	yesterday [6]	6:1		
21:22 23:25 28:14 29:5	28:7	6:22 11:16 25:19 25:23 yet [2] 14:2	12:1		
voted [1]	19:7	yet [2] 14:2 York [3] 2:17	41:1 28:11		
votes [2] 42:2	8:15	29:2	20:11		
voting[1]	28:6	-Z-			
vulnerability [39:24 39:25	2]	zone [1] 24:18			
-W-					
Washington [2]	6:8				
waters [9] 24:3 24:17	11:3 24:18				
26:22 26:24 36:16 36:17	26:25				
weakfish [27]	5:23				
6:1 6:12	6:22				
7:1 7:7 8:9 8:12	8:5 8:19				
10:18 10:21	11:9				
11:17 11:25	12:25				
13:5 13:14 15:6 15:7	14:25			_	
15:6 15:7 17:1 32:12	16:25 42:1				
43:20 44:1	=				
Wednesday [1]			:		
	13:11				
13:13 14:12 42:18	41:13				
74,10					